## Foreword

Minneapolis presents herewith the statistical facts that tell the story of the rapid growth of the country and of the City. It offers herewith the reasons why the Northwest should have a Federal Reserve Bank and why Minneapolis is the logical place for its location.

Minneapolis represents the Northwest, the most prosperous and rapidly growing part of the United States. The City and the vast country over which its financial influence extends, are vitally interested in the new currency law.

The financial legislation known as the Federal Reserve Act, Minneapolis business men believe and business men of the Northwest as a whole agree, will work out successfully and beneficially, if in the regional alignment at organization time proper consideration be given to great fundamental economic factors that arc existent. The agricultural, commercial and financial tendencies will shape conditions of the near future should also be considered.

The growth of the Northwest and the ever-increasing financial necessities, find presentation herein. Billions of dollars are recorded in the annual turnover.

For the consideration of the organization committee this representation sets forth elsewhere in full detail the facts concerning Minneapolis, as the

Financial center of the Northwest<br>Grain trade center<br>Manufacturing center<br>Distributing center<br>Milling center<br>Freight traffic center

## What Minneapolis Presents

Minneapolis finances the major portion of the crop movement from the farms of Minnesota, North and South Dakota and Montana. It is the greatest wholesale market. The lumber trade is financed and managed from Minneapolis. It is the world's greatest milling city. Its predominant position was gained by, and is based upon agriculture. Considered by itself, in relation to the Northwest or in relation to St. Paul it presents these facts and comparisons:
Minneapolis bank clearings, 1913. . $\$ 1,312,000,000$
St. Paul bank clearings, 1913 ..... $\$ 530,000,000$
Minneapolis bank deposits, not in- cluding savings banks ..... $\$ 101,000,000$
St. Paul bank deposits, not includ- ing savings banks ..... $\$ 51,000,000$
Minneapolis daily average loaded freight cars received ..... 1,159
St. Paul daily average loaded freight cars received ..... 787
Minneapolis daily average freight cars shipped ..... 1,101
St. Paul daily average freight cars shipped ..... 519
Minneapolis total loaded carlot in and out traffic, 1913 ..... 763,519
St. Paul total loaded carlot in and out trafic, 1 :13 ..... 410,848
Minneapolis aver:se daily skipments of nerehendise, pourds ..... $3,400,940$
St. Paul average daily shipments of merchandise, pounds ..... $1,841,390$
Capital and surplus in all national banks in Minneapolis in 1913 ..... $\$ 13,710,000$
Capital and surplus in all national banks in St. Paul in 1913. ..... $\$ 9,600,000$ The net banking power of Minneapolis is 70 per cent greater than that of St. Paul.

Minneapolis bank clearings in 1913 exceeded those in Spokane, Denver and Seattle combined.
Individual deposits in Minneapolis national banks in $1913 . . . . . . . . . .$. . . $\$ 45,000,000$

Increase in individual deposits in Min. neapolis national banks since 1900...

Individual deposits in St. Paul national banks, 1913
$\$ 35,000,000$
Increase in individual deposits in St. Paul national banks since 1900......

200\%

Balances of St. Paul national banks in 1913
$\$ 17,000,000$
Minneapolis has increased bank balances since 1900 by
$500 \%$
St. Paul has increased bank balances since 1900 by ..... $200 \%$
Accounts carried by outside banks in Minueapolis banks. ..... 3,327
Farm output of Minnesota, North andSouth Dakota and Montana advancednearly 400 per cent in 1890-1900.

Farm output of the United States as a whole advanced 184 per cent in 18901900.

Merchandise cars, forwarded and received, 1913, Minneapolis.

Merchandise cars, forwarded and received, 1913, St. Paul.156,197

Minneapolis-Duluth market in 1913 received 62 per cent of all grain received in Minneapolis, Duluth, Kansas City, St. Louis and Omaha.

# The Northwest and the New Currency System 

## Minneapolis and Its Relation to the Rich and Rapidly Growing Territory Whose Agricultural, Commercial and Industrial Activities the City Finances.

The plea for the location of a federal reserve bank in the Northwest is based on the clause in section 2 of the federal reserve act, which states:
"Provided, that the districts shall be apportioned with due regard to the convenience and customary course of business and shall not necessarily be coterminous with any state or states."

The business men of the Northwest are grateful for consideration accorded by the organization committee to just claims to recognition as an agricultural, commercial, manufacturing and banking district, in putting into effect a piece of legislation which is confidently believed by the great majority of the people to be the most important and beneficent, from an economic standpoint, of any that has been passed in a half, at least, if not a whole, century.
In that vast new and growing territory extending from Minneapolis, St. Paul and Duluth westward to Puget Sound, amounting in area to over one-fifth of the United States and consisting of about one-sirth of the arable lands of the United States, with a variety and extent of natural resources not exceeded, if equaled, by any other territory of like size on this continent, there is a general and universal desire and even cagerness on the part of all national and most state banks and trust companies to enter the system provided by this new carrency bill. No section of the entire country will give this bill a more cordial welcome or a heartier support.
In speaking of the Northwest, reference is made particalarly to the states of Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Montana and Washington, comprising an area of 447,070 square miles. This is nearly three times the area of New York, Pennsylvania and all of the New Fingland states combined, which is 160,850 square miles.

## The Railroads and the Banks

Through this entire district, running east and West, are four great trunk lines centering into Minneapolis and St. Paul. These lines are the Minne. apolis, St. Paul \& Sault Ste. Marie, Great Northern, Northern Pacific, and Ohicago, Milwaukee \& St. Panl. With all of the traffic, freight, passenger, mail and express, passing over these lines from the West to the east, trains on these roads enter Min-
neapolis from a half hour to three-quarters of an hour sooner than St. Paul, and depart westward from a half hour to three-quarters of an hour later. This district is served by railroads whose mileage has grown from 19,706 miles in 1900, to 29,642 miles at the end of June 30 , 1911, with terminals at Minneapolis and St. Paul.

Minneapolis and St. Paul constitute a center with a population, according to the census figures of 1910, of 516,152 people, of which 301,408 lived in Minneapolis. Minneapolis gained in population from 1900 to 1910, 47 per cent, and St. Paul gained, during the same period, 31 per cent. At the same rate of increase, at the next federal census in 1920 the population of Mínneapolis will be 450,000 , while that of St. Paul will be 280,000 .
This commercial, marketing and banking center represents essentially an agricultaml people, and the value of total farm products from these states from 1870 to 1910 is shown by the following table:

Value of Farm Products by Decades with the Percentage of Increase in the Last Ten-Year Period. Totals Include the Leturn from Dairy Products and Live Stock.

|  | Per Cent of Increase in Last Decade | 1910 | 1900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. | 68 | \$270,000,000 | \$161,217,000 |
| North Dakota. | 211 | 200,000,000 | 64,252,000 |
| South Dakota | 162 | 173,000,000 | 66,082,000 |
| Montana. | 112 | 60,500,000 | 28,616,000 |
| Washington | 101 | 101,300,000 | 34,827,000 |
|  | 126 | \$804,800,000 | \$354,994,000 |
|  | 1800 | 1880 | 1870 |
| Minnesota. <br> North Dakota.. <br> South Dokota. <br> Montana. . . . . <br> Washington. | \$71,238,000 | \$49,468,000 | \$27,440,000 |
|  | 21,264,000 |  |  |
|  | 6,273,000 | 2,024,000 | 1,376,000 |
|  | 13,674,000 | 4,212,000 | 2,000.000 |
| Total. | \$134,496,000 | \$61,352,000 | \$31,216,000 |

The Rapidly Growing Northwest
Minnesota, North and South Dakota, according to the reports of the Agricultural Department of the United States, had from 27 to 35 per cent of
their tillable soil under cultivation in 1909. They are credited by the same authority with having $146,000,000$ aeres capable of cultivation, as against $311,000,000$ acres actually cultivated in the entire United States in 1909. Judging from the tremendous increase in the production of these states during the last thirty years, it is hardly possible to over-estimate the probable production during the next ten or twenty years.

The population of these states in 1890 was 2 ,350,022 , while the 1910 census gives the same states a population of $4,654,695$, or a gain of nearly 100 per cent.
The increase in business, agricultural products and banking capital and deposits is many times greater than the increase in population, as will be shown by the following figares:
In 1898 the total deposits of the 216 banks in Minnesota were $\$ 59,370,000$; in South Dakota the deposits of the 190 banks were $\$ 9,713,000$; total deposits of the 111 banks in North Dakota were \$9; 109,000 , or a total for the three states of $\$ 78,192$,000, while in 1913 the deposits of the 1,046 banks of Minnesota were $\$ 379,013,000$; deposits of the 625 banks in South Dakota were $\$ 90,535,000$, and of the 751 banks in North Dakota, $\$ 90,321,000$, or a total of $\$ 559,869,000$.
It is not possible to take any similar area in the United States and show any increase even approximating this.

## State Banks and the New Law.

Of the 2,978 banks in the five states comprising the district under consideration, Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Montana and Washington, with a combined capital of $109,944,000$ and surplus of $\$ 61,711,000$, with deposits of $\$ 858,660,000$ and loans to customers of $\$ 765,220,000,652$ are national banks and the remaining 2,326 are state or private banking institutions. In round numbers, $\$ 80,000,000$ of the capital and surplus of the total of $\$ 170,000$,000 , is held by national banks and the balance, $\$ 90$, 000,000 , by state banks.
The only possible inducement that could be offered these 2,326 state banks to join the Federal Reserve System is the convenience and usefulness of such a bank to them, and that convenience and usefulness lies in making it possible for them to use the system along the lines of present established relations.
In a map presented herewith is shown the distances between the Twin Cities and the various supply centers for the Northwest, also the distance between Chicago and these centers. It is important to note the fact that corrency can reach the
eastern border of Montana within one day from Minneapolis, while from Chicago the time required is two days. This means much to the local bank as well as to the local grain buyer.
Less than a quarter of a century, and, as to a large part of this territory, less than a decade, measures the period of its greatest growth and development. Its past performance and its present prosperity and condition are but an earnest of what it will do in the future.

While this representation covers particularly the agricultural products of this territory, it is important to consider that Minnesota is the greatest iron producing state in the Union, and Montana likewisa takes the lead in copper production, and this is clearly shown by the data and charts herewith.

## Western Canads and the Future.

Enhancing the importance of this district, is the fact that within the last few days the local parliaments of the provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewau and Alberta have unanimously passed resolutions to be forwarded to the Dominion Parliament at Ot . tawa, in favor of removing the Canadian tariff on wheat, and the presnt premier of Manitoba, who strenuously opposed reciprocity, and also one of the conservative members of the present cabinet, have come ont strongly in favor of such removal. It is confidently predicted in Canada that it is only a question of a short time when this tariff will be removed, and, when it is removed, Minneapolis will be the cash market for a large amount of the wheat to be grown in those provinces. Thousands of citizens of the United States are now making their homes in Canada. Their desire is to trade with the States, and the business of the two countries would be greatly facilitated by the location of a Federal Reserve Bank at Minneapolis, the natural gateway to all Western Canada.
In addition to being the greatest primary grain market in the world, Minneapolis is the leading dis tributing center of agricultural implements to this entire Northwestern country.
In diversity, variety and volume of productios from the soil, the forests and the mines, no other district of similar area in the United States can begin to equal it.

## The Northwest Is Optimistic.

Even, during the natural and temporary business lull of a presidential year, and of the one imme diately following a change of federal administration, this entire territory, with only negligible ex ceptions, and Minneapolis its natural metropolis, were prosperous to an unprecedented degree. With
the passage of the recent tariff bill, fraught with an almost certain increase in our trade intercourse with the Canadian Northwest, which is beyond the fair estimate of the most far-sighted and even visionary, supplemented by the beneficial provisions of the currency bill, its people of the Northwest, and Minneapolis business men believe, of the whole country, are entering upon a period of safe and sane development and prosperity, such as we
have never before experienced. Happily, the doleful forebodings of impending business disaster which in the near past have been emanating from certain quarters, have largely passed away, and optimistic predictions as to an immediate awakening in all lines of business are now being generally made. These predictions are in line with the best judgment of the business men and bankers in this great district.

# Size of Farms Has Bearing on Reserve Bank Problem 

Northwest Section Requires Extraordinary Agencies for Gathering, Storing, Marketing and Financing of Agricultural ProductsUnique Credit :System Developed.

The prevailing size of farms taken in connection with the nature of agricultural industries and conditions of farm tenure, may be indicative of certain commercial activities and associated banking operations of regional significance.

Minnesota, the Dakotas and Montana are states of large farm units. These farms are mainly engaged in specialized production and contribute strongly to the national food supply, and to the export trade. For these reasons this section requires extraordinary agencies for the gathering, exchange, storage and distribution of such products, and for the financing of great values involved. In certain instances the elaboration of crude products has come to constitute large manufacturing industries, particularly, the milling of grains, flax, and forest products.

These industrial activities and commercial transactions are largely seasonal, and involve relatively short periods of time and likerwise a short-time financial and trade turnover, thus constituting the most substantial basis of bank credit as recognized by accepted banking theory and modern laws in all commercial nations.

## Grain Financing System Developed

The banks, grain houses and millers of Minneapolis, have of necessity developed a system of handling and financing grain which is not only unique and indigenous to this district, but is remarkably similar, almost identical in principal, to the European discount system, an adaptation of which is apparently contemplated by the new currency law.

A study of farm credits reveals noteworthy facts concerning agricultural finances in the country tributary to the Minneapolis money market, namely the relatively high and seasonal demand for bank accommodations as compared with the South and West, and a comparative absence of tenancy in contrast with equally productive areas in other regions. In other words, the lands of Minnesota, the Dakotas and Montana, are cultivated and managed by their owners; and, in view of the fact that they produce
a magnificent surplus of values each year, it logically follows that the prevalent farm credit is a relatively short-time obligation associated with the im. provement and equipment of farms and the financing of crops. Such securities do not lie within the category of the usual farm mortgage in static communities or on the margin of settlement where the farm debt carries the first costs of acquisition and development.

It has been demonstrated that Minnesota mortgages are of such nature, as to time, purpose and amount, as to place them in the highest class of real-estate securities, indeed, to a degree, analogous to "commercial paper."

## Payments Have Significance

The significance of expenditures for farm labor in the northern states becomes apparent when such payments are considered in connection with the relatively sparse population, small number and large size of farms, and the relatively extreme seasonal nature of farming activities in this part of the United States.

The seasonal demand for labor in the wheat fields of Kansas is well known. It is a matter of fact that the harvest demand for labor in Minnesota and the Dakotas surpasses that of any other food producing section of equal area and importance in the world.

The seeding and harvesting of wheat in the North is not co-ordinated, as in states farther south and east, with the sequential operations of general farming and the contiguous processes of cultivation, harvesting and feeding characteristic of the corn country. Furthermore, the share tenant system and stable labor supply of the southern country reduces to a minimum the need of banking accommodation in aid of harvest operations.

The seasonal demand for wage payments is a unique factor of considerable importance in the necessary funding operations of the banks of the Northwest. This demand arises out of the necessity for a relatively large number of farm laborers,
for relatively short periods of employment, and at a relatively high cash wage.

The fact that such expenditures are almost immediately reflected in commercial products, commanding a world market and stable and certain values, is especially significant.

## Farm Employment Figures from Census

Census reports upon farm employment for 1909, including approximately 60 per cent of all farms, for Minnesota, the Dakotas and Montana as compared with Kansas, Nebraska and Iowa, appear in the accompanying table:

*Report on conditions in Minnesota with regard to agricultural credit, by committee appointed by Gov. A. O. Eberhart, December 9, 1913.

# Minneapolis Finances The Agricultural Northwest 

Grain Drafts Aggregating \$217,909,000 W ere Paid By Minneapolis<br>Banks Last Year. Ciearings Totaled $\$ 1,312,000,000$.<br>Currency Shipments Amounted to $\$ 34,358,000$.

If the organization committee shall designate the territory embracing Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Montana and Washington as a federal reserve district, it will be charged with the further duty, second only in importance, of designating within such territory a federal reserve city. Section 2 of the act requires "that the federal reserve districts shall be apportioned with due regard to the convenience and customary course of business and shell not necessarily be coterminous with any state or states." The real purpose and spirit of this requirement, with respect to the reserve districts, is peculiarly applicable in designating the reserve cities. It is especially the convenience of the people within the district and the usual and customary course of business therein which must necessarily be controlling in the selection of a reserve city. Merely geographical, educational, social, sentimental, governmental or political reasons should have little if any weight, in the selection of such a city.
By the census of 1910 Minneapolis had a population of 301,408 , while St. Paul was given 214,744. This lead in population of Minneapolis over St.' Paul of 86,664 in 1910 (and now in all probability considerably greater) tells but a small part of the real story. The constantly increasing prestige and precedence of Minneapolis over St. Paul as the commercial, manufacturing and banking center of the Northwest is so marked and indisputably proven by the facts and figures of official records as to leave no room for donbt or discussion.
St. Paul had the advantage of being the older city and the capital of the state, which, in the days of small things, gave it an artificial lead over Minneapolis, but commencing with 1880 , a decade of real rivalry and competition set in, at the end of which Minneapolis was well in the lead of St. Paul in practically all the lines of activity in which these cities were engaged, and every year since has but emphasized and increased this lead. Comparisons are said to be odions, but if this be true, circamstances sometimes make them necessary.

## Minneapolis the Logical Place

That city should be selected which, by reason of its location, the extent and variety of its basiness, the volume of its banking capital and surplas, its resources in available deposits, as well as its size and
commercial and general importance, is most intimately connected with, and most closely touches, the various activities of the whole district.

We wish to show the supremacy of Minneapolis as the location for a reserve bank as compared with St. Paul.
First, in reference to its banking capital and surplus at the present time:

Minneapolis-
Capital . . . . . . . . ............. . $\$ 10,680,000.00$
Surplus ...................... 9,723,000.00
Total................. . $\$ 20,403,000,00$
as against
St. Paul-

| Capital $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\quad \$ 6,750,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Surplus $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $5,241,000.00$ |

Total.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 11,991,000.00$
Second, deposits:
Minneapolis
\$112,244,000.00
St. Paul . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 58,403,000.00
Third, bank clearings for 1913:
Minneapolis
. $\$ 1,312,412,257.00$
St. Paul . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 530,515,562.00
This makes total clearings for the year 1913 for the two cities of $\$ 1,842,927,819.00$, of which Minneapolis had 72 per cent and St. Paul 28 per cent.
Just in what degree the beginning of the crop movement annually affects Minneapolis may be seen in a chart presented herewith, which shows that weekly clearings rose from $\$ 17,776,000.00$ in August, 1913, to $\$ 37,616,000.00$ in October, 1913, and in St. Paul from $\$ 9,790,000.00$ in August, to $\$ 12$; $588,000.00$ in October. Comparisons for a period of years show that these changes always occur at crop moving time and that Minneapolis always carries the load of providing money or credit for the Northwest.

In this connection, it is an interesting and signif. cant fact that the lowest weekly clearings of Minneapolis exceeded by about $\$ 4,000,000.00$ the highest weekly clearings of St. Panl for the year 1913.

Minneapolis banks handled in 1913, $\$ 217,909$, 000.00 worth of grain drafts, and shipped out for the purchase of grain in currency, $\$ 34,358,000.00$, of which $\$ 20,782,000.00$ was shipped during the
months of August, September, October and November.

There are 2,978 banks in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana and Washington, and the number of country bank accounts carried in the Minneapolis banks all told during December, 1913, was 3,329 .

The total of out-of-town checks handled by the banks of Minncapolis during 1913 was $\$ 1,328,274$, 000.00 .

The process of growth in national bank capital and surplus of the two cities from 1872 to the present time is strikingly illustrated by the following figures:

In 1872 the

| Capital of national banks in Minneapolis was $\qquad$ | \$542,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Surplus | 41,585.00 |
| Total | \$583,585.00 |
| In St. Paul |  |
| Capital | \$1,077,900.00 |
| Surplus | 249,021.00 |
| Total | \$1,326,921.00 |

In 1880
Minneapolis

Surplus ...................... 105,588.00
Total
$\$ 1,355,588.00$
St. Paul
Capital . . . . . . . . .............. $\$ 2,200,000.00$
Surplus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 505 505,000.00
Total
$\$ 2,705,000.00$
In 1890
Minneapolis
Capital ....................... \$4,500,000.00
Surplus . . ..................... 602,000.00
Total
$\$ 5,102,000.00$
St. Paul
Capital . ...................... $\$ 5,200,000.00$
Surplus . ..................... $1,290,000.00$
Total
$\$ 6,490,000.00$

## In 1900

Minneapolis
Capital ......................... . $\$ 4,000,000.00$
Surplus
697,000.00

## Total

$\$ 4,697,000.00$

St. Paal
Capital ....................... \$3,800,000.00
Surplus ...................... 667,000.00
Total
$\$ 4,467,000.00$
Financial Strength of Northwest
As showing the banking resources of the states of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana and Washington, the following figures are significant:
Capital of state and national banks
in Mlinnesota ..................... $\$ 45,426,000.00$
Surplus of state and national banks
in Minnesota $. \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. . $30,315,000.00$
Capital of state and national banks
in North Dakota. .................. 14,015,000.00
Surplus of state and national banks
in North Dakota.................. 6,585,000.00
Capital of state and national banks
in South Dakota.................. 12,644,000.00
Surplus of state and national banks in South Dakota.
$5,470,000.00$
Capital of state and national banks in Montana
$13,591,000.00$
Surplus of state and national banks
in Montana ........................ 7,262,000.00
Capital of state and national banks
in Washington
$24,268,000.00$
Surplus of state and national banks
in Washington ................... $12,079,000.00$
Total capital of the states named. ... $\$ 109,944,000.00$
Total surplus of the states named.. $61,711,000.00$
Total deposits of the branks of the states above enumerated, $\$ 858,666,000.00$, with loans of $\$ 765$,$220,000.00$.

Minneapolis has long financed the Northwest crop movement. Its ownership of grain elevators, line lumber yards, branch houses of produce firms and its interests in numerous country banks, have made banking records that afford immediate access to the credit situation in the Northwest.
The intimate acquaintance of the Minneapolis bankers with the bankers of the entire Northwest and their personal knowledge of the territory in which they are operating would be quite indispensable to the proper management of a federal reserve bank in this territory.

## The Strategic Situation

The strategic position of Minneapolis as a location for a federal reserve bank as against the claim of St. Paul, can be shown in no better manner than by stating that in North Dakota only one town can reach St. Paul without first passing through Min-
neapolis. No cities or towns in Montana or Washington can reach St. Paul without first passing through Minneapolis. This is true also of threefourths of Minnesota and more than one-half of South Dakota.
Minneapolis especially represents and is the natural center for all agricultural, commercial and banking interests of this entire district. It is the peculiar merit of this bill which has so generally commended it to the intelligence and conscience of the American people that it is to be the especial handmaid of the legitimate industries of the whole country, be they agricultural, commercial or manufacturing. Those speculative activities which are, and always have been, essentially parasitical are, with rare wisdom, not fostered by this bill and are
only recognized by it to be expressly excluded from any of the benefits of its provisions.
The federal reserve districts and the federal reserve cities which your committee will designate, will, in all human probability, remain unchanged for five, ten, fifteen or perhaps twenty-five years. The important and far-reaching effect of your work in these respects cannot well be exaggerated. You are charged with the duty of meeting not merely the necessities of the present but also of providing for the probable requirements of the future. The designation of this territory as a federal reserve district and of Minneapolis as the reserve city will best serve the interests of that portion of the country and fully meet the requirements of the currency bill.

# Grain Crops of the Northwest Flow to Minneapolis 

## City is Distributing Center of Agricultural Yields of Minnesota, North Dakota, SouthDakota and Montana, and of All Products Manufactured Therefrom.

Minneapolis is the market through which primarily the great bulk of the agricultural products of Minnesota, North and South Dakota and Montana finds distribution. An important part of the grain and agricultural products of Northern Iowa and Nebraska is also distributed through this market center. While a certain portion of the grain from North Dakota and Northern Minnesota is marketed at Duluth, nearly all this grain is received and handled at Duluth by branch offices of Minneapolis grain firms, and nearly all the financing of the crops of Minnesota, North and South Dakota and Montana is arranged for in Minneapolis.
Attention is dirceted first to the character and value of the products of the farms of these four states, the extraordinary growth in total quantity produced, and the value thereof, during the past thirteen years. It will be shown later that the increase in quantity and value of farm products throughout the Northwest is vastly greater than the proportional increase in the Southwest.
Production and value at the farm of wheat, corn, oats, barley, rye, flaxseed, buckwheat, potatoes and hay, are given in Grain Exhibit " $A$ " hereto attached, showing the yield and the value for Minnesota, North and South Dakota and Montana, separately, also the total production and value of these four states. These are shown also for the crop of 1900 , 1903, 1906, 1909, 1912 and 1913. All estimates of production and farm values are taken as of December 1 each year, and are from the tables compiled by the United States Department of Agriculture.

The total production of grain and potatoes in the crop of 1900 for these four states was nearly $242,000,000$ bushels, total value being estimated at $\$ 97,690,000$.

Contrast this with the production in the crop of 1912 of over $928,000,000$ bushels of grain and potatoes, with an estimated value of $\$ 421,745,000$.
The crop of 1913 in the Northwest was less than that of 1912, and yet the total production of grain and potatoes in these four states alone equaled nearly $759,000,000$ bushels, with an estimated value, December 1, 1913, of $\$ 407,413,000$. Adding to this the production of $4,618,000$ tons of hay, with an estimated value of $\$ 33,677,000$, gives a total farma
value of the 1913 crop of grain, including hay and potatoes, of $\$ 441,090,000$.
Receipts of grain and flaxseed at Minneapolis and Duluth, by crop years, with average price per year, and values, for 1900, 1903, 1906, 1909, 1912 and 1913, are shown in Grain Exhibit "B.'

Attention is called to the fact that receipts at Minneapolis and Duluth combined, for the year 1900, totaled more than $150,000,000$ bushels, and that of the crop of 1912, nearly $337,000,000$ bushels were received by both Minneapolis and Duluth combined, of which about $207,000,000$ bushels were received by Minneapolis, and $130,000,000$ bushels by Duluth.
Estimated value of the receipts at Minneapolis was over $\$ 193,000,000$ for the crop of 1912, and $\$ 135,742,160$ for Duluth, making the total value of the grain and flaxseed received at these two markets during the crop year of $1912, \$ 328,783,180$.
Minneapolis is a very important shipping center, and on Grain Exhibit "C," shipments of grain and flaxseed from Minneapolis by crop years, with the average price per year and value, are set forth, for the crop years of $1900,1903,1906,1909,1912$ and part of 1913. Total value of the grain and flaxseed shipped from Minneapolis in 1900 was slightly over $\$ 16,000,000$, while shipments from Minneapolis in the crop of 1912 reached $\$ 77,745,000$. This shows the enormous growth of Minneapolis as a shipping and distributing center during the past twelve years.

The Farmers and Country Elevators. $V$
It is a well known fact that the farmers and producers of the Northwest desire to market the bulk of their crop during the months of September, October, November and December following the harvest, and the quantity of farm products thrown upon the market during the crop-moving period is therefore vastly in excess of the requirements of consumers. This necessitates the carrying of the surplus until demand is reached, and it is to the banks of Minneapolis that those engaged in carrying this grain look for the funds necessary for this work.

The enormons strain which this situation places upon the resources of the banks of Minneapolis is clearly shown by Grain Exhibits " $D$, " " z " and "F."

Grain Exhibit "D" shows the stocks of grain and flaxseed (and values) in store in terminal elevators at Minneapolis, on various dates from August 31, 1913, to January 1, 1914, showing an increase in value from August 31, when the amount was $\$ 8,853,700$, to $\$ 21,673,500$, on January 1, 1914, an increase of about $\$ 13,000,000$ in the value of the stocks in store in Minneapolis in four months. This increase was less, in fact, during September, October, November and December, 1913, than is usually the case for corresponding months of previous years, owing to the fact that an unusually large quantity of grain was carried over during the midsummer months; the fact being that in the majority of years the terminal stocks are very low during the mid-summer months and at the beginning of the crop movement in the fall.

Grain Exhibit " $E$ " shows the same features regarding grain and flaxseed in store in terminal elevators at Duluth, during the same period, the total value of grain and flaxseed in store at the terminal elevators on August 31, 1913, being $\$ 5,485,690$, and on January 1, 1914, $\$ 13,042,490$.

In other words, on August 31, 1913, in the terminal elevators in both Minneapolis and Dalath, there was in store grain and flaxseed to the value of $\$ 14,339,390$, and in four months from that date this amount had been increased to $\$ 34,715,990$, an increase of over $\$ 20,000,000$.

In Grain Exhibit " $F$ '" is set forth a statement, showing the total number of country grain elevators in the four states tributary to Minneapolis to be 5,239 , with a total capacity of about $104,780,000$ bushels. The total quantity of grain in store in these country elevators, as per the statement in the "Northwestern Miller" (in January 7, 1914, issue, page 26), is from twenty-five to twenty-seven million bushels. This represents a value of about $\$ 18,200,000$.

Taking the stocks of grain and flaxseed on hand in the Minneapolis terminal elevators, Dulath terminal elevators, and country elevators, on January 1,1914 , the total amounts to nearly $\$ 53,000,000$ in value; and practically all 0 fthe money necessary to carry this grain is arranged for at Minneapolis.

Country elevators are as a rale almost entirely empty on August 31 of each year; and if to the increase in value of grain in store in terminal elevators at Minneapolis and Duluth, from August 31, 1913, to January 1, 1914 (which, as stated before, is over twenty million dollars) be added the value of country elevator stocks on hand January 1, 1914, it makes an increase of about $\$ 38,500,000$,
nearly all of which must be arranged for by the Minneapolis banks during these four months.

## Experience in the 1907 Panic

One of the main purposes of a federal reserve bank is to relieve periods of extraordinary strain. In this connection, attention should be called to the practice of the farmers and grain producers of storing grain in country elevators in enormons quantities, taking storage receipts therefor, which storage receipts are later surrendered and the grain sold.

Storage receipts outstanding in farmers' hands daring the height of the crop movement of the crop of 1912 were estimated to represent a total value of $\$ 8,000,000$. In case of a panic, or other abnormal condition, all of these storage tickets are likely to be presented and surrendered, and demand made upon the elevator companies for their value. This actually happened during the fall of 1907, and, elevator companies, being unable to secure funds from the Minneapolis banks, were entirely unable to purchase the grain represented by the storage receipts. It is a condition such as this that a reserve bank is designed to care for.

## The Great Milling Industry

Minneapolis is well known to be the largest flour manafacturing center in the world. Grain Exhibit "G" sets forth that there were manufactured and shipped by the Minneapolis mills during the calendar year 1913, 17,673,725 barrels of flour, with a total value of $\$ 68,043,841$. Of this amount, $1,764,805$ barrels were exported, having a value of $\$ 6,794,499$.

Some fifty-one country mills are located in the territory immediately tributary to Minneapolis, with a total daily capacity of 40,865 barrels. The output of these country mills was 62 per cent of their capacity in 1913, making the total daily output of these country mills about 25,000 barrels, and the yearly output $7,500,000$ barrels, with a total value of $\$ 28,875,000$.
In other words, the Minneapolis flour mills and the country mills in the territory tributary to Minneapolis manufactured $25,173,725$ barrels of flour during 1913, with a total value of $\$ 96,918,841$. Practically all of this enormous flour manufacturing business is financed by banks in Minneapolis. and the smaller banks of the Northwest.

## Linseed Oil and Mill By-Products

Minneapolis is also the largest linseed oil manufacturing center in the world. Grain Exhibit "H" shows that during the calendar year 1913, 216,222,794 pounds of linseed oil were manofactured, with
a total value of $\$ 14,414,853$. The oil cake manufactured at the same time equaled $432,445,590$ pounds, with a value of $\$ 6,486,684$, making a total value of the products of the linseed oil manufactured of $\$ 20,901,537$. About 75 per cent of this oil cake was exported.
The manufacture of ground screenings in Minneapolis is a growing industry, and the 1913 output was valued at about $\$ 500,000$.
Stock foods manufactured in Minneapolis during 1913 represent $\$ 1,000,000$ in value, and the stock foods manufactured outside of Minneapolis, but financed in Minneapolis, represent $\$ 800,000$ in value.
Grain Exhibit "I' sets forth the importance of the malting and ground fced industries in Minneapolis, showing the total value of malt manufac. tured at Minneapolis during 1913 to have been \$3,500,000 , and of ground feed, $\$ 1,500,000$.
The manufacturing processes directly connected with the grain and flaxseed receipts at Minneapolis alone represent a grand total of $\$ 95,445,378$ of output, the financial arrangements for all of these onterprises being arranged for at Minneapolis.
These in order are made up as follows:
Flour manufacturing ................... $\$ 68,043,841$
Linseed oil and oil cake................... $20,901,537$
Ground screenings
500,000
Stock foods
1,000,000
Ground feed
1,500,000
Malt
3,500,000
$\$ 95,445,378$
The New Tariff, and Western Canada
In Grain Exhibit " $J$ '" is set forth the production of grain and flax in the three Northwestern Canadian provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta, according to the dominion census for the crop of 1913, the total being $472,109,000$ bushels in the crop of 1913.

The production of grain in Western Canada is increasing yearly at a rapid rate. During 1913 aboat $1,750,000$ bushels of grain and flaxseed were received at Minneapolis from Western Canada, and the duty paid, the value being about $\$ 1,000,000$.
Duluth received since August 1, 1913, about $6,330,000$ bushels of grain and flasseed in bond and otherwise, with an estimated value of over $\$ 4,000$,000 . If the duty of Canadian grain entering the United States is removed, possibly one-fifth of the grain shipped to Fort William will be shipped to Minneapolis and Duluth. Receipts at Fort, William for the year 1913 , would exceed $200,000,000$ bushels. If one-fifth of this amount should be diverted
on account of the removal of the tariff to Minneapolis and Duluth, it would represent a total of about $40,000,000$ bushels, with a value of $\$ 30,000$, 000 , which would be added to the value of the grain necessarily financed by the banks at these market places.

Northwest Compared with Southwest
In considering the question of the location of a federal reserve bank at Minneapolis the importance and value of the agricultural products of the Northwest should be compared with similar data regarding the Southwest, tributary to Kansas City and St. Louis and Omaha.

Grain Exhibit "K" sets forth the United States goverument crop reports, showing the production and farm value of the crops of Missouri, Kansas, Nebraska, Oklahoma and Colorado, and the totals for the same crops, with reference to Minneapolis. The United States Department of Agriculture estimates of production and values are used, as in the tables for the Northwest, the values being based on December of each calendar year.

These five states are tributary to the grain markets of St. Louis, Kansas City and Omaha.

In Grain Exhibit " $K$ ' your attention is called to the fact that the total farm value of the products of these five states for the crop of 1900 was $\$ 356$,000,000 , and for $1913 \$ 565,591,000$; while the value of the products of the four Northwestern states for 1913 is $\$ 441,090,000$, compared with $\$ 97,690,000$. This shows that the Northwestern states are increasing at a vastly greater rate in agricultural importance than is the case with the Southwestern states.

Grain Exhibit " $I$ " sets forth the receipts of grain at St. Louis, Kansas City and Omaha, the three leading grain markets of the Southwest, at 201,940,111 bushels, while receipts of grain at Minneapolis alone for the same crop year reached $206,812,670$ bushels. In other words, Minneapolis, alone received more grain and flaxseed than all of the three Southwestern markets combined.

## Terminal Grain Storage

Grain Exhibit "M' sets forth the terminal elevator stocks at Minneapòlis and Duluth, as contrasted with the Southwestern terminals. On April 2, 1913, there was in store in the terminal elevators at Minneapolis alone $24,426,000$ bushels of grain and flaxseed, and on the same date there was in store at Duluth $26,102,000$ bushels, a total of $50,528,000$ bushels in both markets. The grand total on these dates for the three Southwestern markets was 19,261,000 bashels. In other words, Minneapolis alone, or Duluth alone, had in store in their terminal elevators a very much larger quantity of grain than
the total amount in store in the terminal elevators at St. Louis, Kansas City and Omaha combined, tak. ing the greatest amount in store on any day in the year for each of these three markets.
Minneapolis has 50 terminal elevators with storage capacity of $38,550,000$ bushels. Duluth and Superior combined have 34 elevators with a storage capacity of $32,275,000$ bushels. Together, Minneapolis and Duluth-Superior have a joint terminal capacity of over $70,000,000$ bushels.

Terminal elevator capacity at St. Louis is 10 ,000,000 bushels; Kansas City, 11,235,000 bushels; Omaha, 6,575,000 bushels. In other words, the terminal elevator capacity of the three Southwestern grain markets combined is only $27,830,000$, as compared with the terminal capacity of $38,550,000$ bushels at Minneapolis alone.
The flour milling capacity at Minneapolis is 77,160 barrels daily. The milling capacity of the flour mills at St. Louis, Kansas City and Omaha, combined, is 26,100 barrels daily, or about one-third of the capacity at Minneapolis alone.

Grain Exhibit " N " sets forth the elevator and milling capacity of all the grain markets of any importance in the United States and Canada.

One small flour mill of 500 barrels capacity is located at St. Paul. A few country flour mills are financed from St. Paul. Two very small elevators, with a capacity of about 40,000 bushels, are located at St. Paul, these elevators being of the size of the ordinary elevator located at a country station.

St. Paul distributes more hay than Minneapolis, the receipts of hay at Minneapolis amounting to 37,870 tons, with a value of about $\$ 378,700$; St. Paul receipts being 209,950 tons, with a value of $\$ 2,099,500$. This one agricultural item, however, is relatively unimportant as compared with the agricultural data generally. Grain receipts at St. Paul for the year ending Angust 31, 1913, amounted to 114 cars inspected at St. Paul. About 600 cars were forwarded from Minneapolis to St. Paul during this period.

## Minneapolis and the "Midway."

A switching yard, called the Minnesota Transfer, is located in the "Midway," strictly speaking within the city limits of St. Paul, but immediately adjacent to the eastern boundary of Minneapolis, and is included within the Mlinneapolis switching district. The linseed oil industries and the terminal elevator located at the Minnesota Transfer are operated from Minneapolis, and the offices of the linseed oil companies and the elevator company, whose properties are located at Minnesota Transfer, are with one exception located in Minncapolis, and all of the financial arrangements connected with their operation are made at Minneapolis. Finally, the enormous total capacity of the agricultural products of the Northwest, taken into consideration with the commercial importance of the Northwest along many other lines, unquestionably entitles the Northwest to one of the reserve banks. The financial supremacy of Ninneapolis over St. Paul, Duluth or any other city in the Northwest, is beyond question, and this is true in many lines, but in none other is this pre eminence more striking than in the distribution of the agricultural products of the Northwest.

The enormous quantity and value of grain which must be "carried"' by the banks of the Northwest from the marketing period to the time of consumption, and the exceedingly great value of the output of flour mills, linseed oil mills, and other manufacturing industries connected with the movement of the agricultural product, all indicates Minneapolis as the city entitled from every standpoint to the location of a reserve bank; for the reason that it is through this market place that the grain of the Northwest naturally flows. The grain distributed through the grain-market of Duluth must all be credited to Minneapolis, since the banks of Minne apolis are expected to furnish the funds necessary for the distribution of grain through that market place.

GRAIN EXHIBIT "A"
Government Orop Figures.

(Table continued on next page)

## GRAIN FXHIBIT "A"-Continued

Government Orop Figures.

| KIND OF TRAIN | MINNESOTA |  | NORTH DAKOTA |  | SOUTH DAKOTA |  | MONTANA |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production | Value 12/1 | Production | Value 12/1 | Production | Value 12/1 | Production | Value 12/1 | Production | Value |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crop 1009- } \\ & \text { Wheat.......... } \end{aligned}$ | Bu. ${ }_{\text {94,080,000 }}$ | \$90,317,000 | Bu. $90,762,000$ | 883,501,000 | Bu. ${ }_{\text {B7, }}$ | \$42,829,000 | -8u. | \$9,364,000 |  | \$226,011,000 |
| Corn. | 58,812,000 | 28,818,000 | 6,045,000 | 3,325,000 | 65,270,000 | 32,635,000 | 175,000 | 150,000 | 130,302,000 | 64,928,000 |
| Oats. | 90,288,000 | 31,601,000 | 40,600,000 | 16,308,000 | 43,500,000 | 14,790, 100 | 15,390,000 | 6,464,000 | 108,778,000 | 60,223,000 |
| Barley | 31,600,000 | 14,852,000 | 20,727,000 | 8,913,000 | 19,910,000 | 8,960,000 | 1,900,000 | 1,107,000 | 74,137,000 | 33,922,000 |
| Ryo. | 2,280,000 | 1,368,000 | 478,000 | 272,000 | 678,000 | 341,000 | 58,000 | 44,000 | 3,394,000 | 2,025,000 |
| Flaxgeed. | 4,500,000 | 6,750,000 | 14,229,000 | 22,340,000 | 5,040,000 | 8,516,000 | 120,000 | 102,000 | 24,489,000 | 37,798,000 |
| Potatoes. | 18,400,000 | 6,440,000 | 4,400,000 | 1,980,000 | 4,000,000 | 2,620,000 | 4,500,000 | 2,295,000 | 31,300,000 | 13,235,000 |
| Buckwhen | 76,000 | 54,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76,000 | 54,000 |
| Total <br> Hay, tons. <br> Total value.. | 300,036,000 | \$180,200,000 | 186,241,000 | \$136,699,000 | 186,486,000 | \$110,591,000 | 2,907,000 | \$19,706,000 | 705,070,000 | \$446,096,000 |
|  | 1,622,000 | 9,732,000 | 266,000 | 1,330,000 | 804,000 | 4,100,000 | 996,000 | 9,950,000 | 3,687,000 | 25,112,000 |
|  |  | \$180,032,000 |  | 8138,020,000 |  | \$114,691,000 |  | \$20,056,000 |  | \$472,308,000 |
| Crop 1912- <br> Wheat | 67,038,000 | 48,038,000 | 143,820,000 | 90,236,000 | 62,185,000 | 336,008,000 | 19,346,000 | \$12,381,000 | 282,380,000 | 196,563,000 |
| Corn. | 78,177,000 | 28,025,000 | 8,758,000 | 3,766,000 | 76,347,000 | 28,248,000 | 612,000 | 428,000 | 162,894,000 | 61,367,000 |
| Flaxieed | 4,121,000 | 4,945,000 | 12,086,000 | 13,778,000 | 5,323,000 | 6,015,000 | 5,520,000 | 6,182,000 | 27,050,000 | 30,920,000 |
| Barley. | 42,018,000 | 17,227,000 | 35,162,000 | 12,307,000 | 23,082,000 | 9,686,000 | 1,424,000 | 755,000 | 101,686,000 | 39,975,000 |
| Oats. | 122,932,000 | 31,162,000 | 05,220,000 | 20,948,000 | 52,062,000 | 13,098,000 | 22,848,000 | 7,997,000 | 203,062,000 | 73,205,000 |
| Rye. | 6,026,000 | 3,013,000 | 864,000 | 406,000 | 312,000 | 162,000 | 235,000 | 141,000 | 7,437,000 | 3,722,000 |
| Potatoes. | 33,075,000 | 9,261,000 | 6,656,000 | 1,864,000 | 6,510,000 | 2,344,000 | 6,105,000 | 2,442,000 | 52,346,000 | 15,911,000 |
| Buckwhe | 126,000 | 82,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126,000 | 82,000 |
| Total. <br> Hay, tons. <br> Total value...... | 353,513,000 | \$143,553,000 | 302,566,000 | \$152,305,000 | ,509,000 | \$95,561,000 | 56,090,000 | \$30,326,000 | 928,678,000 | \$421,745,000 |
|  | 2,541,000 | 16,202,000 | 510,000 | 2,805,000 | 672,000 | 4,090,000 | 1,216,000 | 10,093,000 | 4,930,000 | 33,259,000 |
|  |  | \$150,815,000 |  | \$155,110,000 |  | \$99,660,000 |  | \$40,419,000 |  | \$455,004,000 |
| Crop 1913- <br> Wheat. $\qquad$ | $67,280,000$$96,000,000$ | \$51,776,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 78,855,000 \\ & 10,800,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 57,564,000 \\ 5,016,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 3 , 1 7 5 , 0 0 0} \\ & 67,320,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 24,383,000 \\ \mathbf{3 7}, 699,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,673,000 \\ 882,000 \end{array}$ | \$19,346,000 | 199,883,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 153,069,000 \\ 94,874,000 \end{array}$ |
| Corn........... |  | 50,880,000 |  |  |  |  |  | 679,000 | 175,002,000 |  |
| Oats | 112,644,000 | -36,046,000 | 57,825,000 | 17,348,000 | 42,135,000 | 14,326,000 | 21,750,000 | 6,960,000 | 234,354,000 | 74,680,000 |
| Barley | 34,800,000 | 16,704,000 | 25,500,000 | 10,200,000 | 16,765,000 | 7,712,000 | 1,860,000 | 893,000 | 78,925,000 | 35,500,000 |
| Rye. | 5,700,000 | 2,736,000 | 1,800,000 | 810,000 | 660,000 | 312,000 | 210,000 | 116,000 | 8,370,000 | 3,974,000 |
| Flaxseed | 3,150,000 | 3,874,000 | 7,200,000 | 8,712,000 | 3,060,000 | 3,672,000 | 3,600,000 | 4,140,000 | 17,010,000 | 20,398,000 |
| Potatocs. | 30,250,000 | $15,730,000$63,000 | 5,100,000 | 2,836,000 | 4,680,000 | 2,948,000 | 5,040,000 | 3,377,000 | 45,070,000 | 24,891,000 |
| Buckwhe | 99,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99,000 | 63,000 |
| Tot | 350,923,000 | \$177,809,000 | 187,080,000 | \$103,086,000 | 167,795,000 | \$91,052,000 | 44,015,000 | \$35,511,000 | 758,813,000 | \$407,458,000 |
| Hay, ton | 2,490,000 | 16,434,000 | 388,000 | 2,250,000 | 552,000 | 3,588,000 | 1,188,000 | 11,405,000 | 4,618,000 | 33,677,000 |
| To |  | \$194,178,000 |  | \$105,356,000 |  | \$94,640,000 |  | \$46,916,000 |  | \$441,000,000 |

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "B."

RECEIPTS AT MINNEAPOLIS BY CROP YEARS, WITH AVERAGE PRICE PER YEAR AND VALUES

| YEAR | WHEAT <br> Bushels | Aver. Price | VALUE | CORN <br> Bushels | Aver. Price | Value | OATS Bushela | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | BARLEY Bushels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | RYE Bushels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | Bushels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | VALUE | $\underset{\text { TOTAL }}{\text { VALUES }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900. | 81,961,600 | 70 | 857,373,120 | 9,266,270 | . 35 | \$3,243,195 | 12,909,710 | . 24 | \$3,098,330 | 5,248,940 | . 40 | 32,099,576 | 814,520 | . 50 | \$407,260 | 7,180,060 | 1.59 | \$11,416,296 | 877,637,777 |
| 1903. | 85,139,130 | . 77 |  | ${ }^{3,912,090}$ | . 45 | ${ }^{1,760,440}$ | 25,057,710 | . 34 | -8,510,621 | 12,249,040 | . 42 | 5,634,378 | $1,786,430$ 1,911730 | . 49 | 875,351 1,089686 | 8,216,970 | ${ }_{1}^{1.05}$ | $8,627,819$ $11,483,32$ | ${ }_{97}^{92,677,522}$ |
| 1909 | 101,566,660 | 1.14 | 115,785,992 | 7,021,70 | . 63 | ${ }_{4,423,320}^{2,205}$ | 17,610,030 | . 44 | 7,748,413 | 22,555,170 | . 58 | 13,081,999 | 2,442,450 | . 74 | 1,807, 1 | 9,251,180 | ${ }_{1.63}$ | 15,079,424 | 157,926,581 |
| 1912 | 125,498,420 | 1.00 | 125,498,420 | 6,127,220 | . 64 | 3,921,421 | 21,063,960 | . 41 | 8,636,224 | $35,810,150$ <br> $18,43,770$ | . 76 | ${ }_{10}^{27,215,714}$ | 5,948,720 | . 74 | 4,402,793 | 12,363,200 | 1.89 | + | 193,041,020 |
| 4 Mcs 1913 | 54,210, 140 | . 83 | 44,995,000 | 4,172,850 | . 62 | 2,588,000 | 12,388,780 | . 36 | 3,460,000 | 18,433,770 | . 55 | 10,139,000 | 3,115,640 | . 54 | 1,683,000 | 5,078,450 | 1.40 | 7,110,000 | 69,975,000 |

GRAIN EXHIBIT "C."
MINNEAPOLIS SHIPMENTS BY CROP YEARS, WITH AVERAGE PRICE PER YEAR AND VALUES

| YEAR | WHEAT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | CORN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | OATS | $\xrightarrow{\text { Aver. }}$ Price | value | BARLEY <br> Bushels | Aver. Price | value | $\begin{gathered} \text { RYE } \\ \text { Bushels } \end{gathered}$ | Aver Price | value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FLAXSEED } \\ & \text { Bushels } \end{aligned}$ | Aver. | value | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 10,096,970 | . 72 |  | 1,812,250 | . 37 | 670,533 |  | . 26 | 1,056,825 | 3,672,810 | . 42 | \$1,542,580 | 533,260 | 52 | \$277,295 | 3,295,260 | 1.61 |  | \$16,120,810 |
| 1903. | 17,153,160 | . 81 | 13,894,060 | 757,020 | . 47 | ${ }_{\text {1 }}^{3518,800}$ | $13,572,220$ 10 | $\begin{array}{r}.36 \\ .32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4, $4,885,989$ | $8,727,850$ $10,661,310$ 1 | . 48 | $4,189,368$ <br> 4,690976 | $1,115,860$ $1,710,110$ | . 51 | $\begin{array}{r}569,088 \\ 1,08896 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $3,347,600$ 5,196640 | 1.07 1.15 | 3,581,932 <br> 5 <br> $5,976,136$ | $27,476,247$ 35,759363 |
| 1906 1909 | ${ }_{22,093,800}^{20,88130}$ | .79 1.16 | - ${ }_{25,628,808}$ | $3,450,150$ $5,041,300$ | . 44 | -1,518,066 | 15,097,370 | .32 <br> .46 | - 6 6,983,444 | - $20,5666,790$ | . 60 | - ${ }^{42,334,074}$ | 1,460,260 | . 76 | $1,008,965$ $1,089,798$ | 5,196,640 | 1.15 1.65 | $5,976,136$ <br> $3,448,583$ | - $52,761,559$ |
| 1912. | 33,266, 350 | 1.02 | 33,931,677 | 4,125,820 | . 66 | $2{ }^{2}, 723,041$ | 16,081,450 | . 43 | 6,915,024 | 33,297,570 | . 78 | 25,972,105 | 4,089,340 | 76 | 3,107,898 | 2,667,910 | 1.91 | 5,095,708 | 777,745,453 |
| Part 1 | 11,141,060 | . 85 | 9,469,001 | 3,160,010 | . 64 | 2,022,406 | 10,050,370 | . 38 | 4,161,150 | 14,823,530 | . 57 | 8,449,412 | 2,142,060 | . 56 | 1,199,554 | 576,310 | 1.42 | 818,360 | 26,120,783 |

Percentage Crop Marketed at Minneapolis:

| YEAR | Crop | Minneapolis Receipts Bushels | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per- } \\ \text { cent- } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900. | 241,975,000 | 117,381,100 | . 485 |
| 1903. | 480,094,000 | ${ }^{136,361,310}$ | ${ }_{244}$ |
| 1909 | ${ }_{705,670,000}^{381,06000}$ | ${ }^{1460,446,660}$ | . 2247 |
| 1912. | 928,298,000 | 206,812,670 | . 222 |

recerpts at duluth by crop years, with average price per year and values.receipts at duluth by orop years, with average prioe per year and values.

| YEAR | $\underset{\text { Bushels }}{\text { WHEAT }}$ | Aver. Price | value | CORN | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Aver. } \\ \text { Price } \end{array}$ | VALUE | $\underset{\text { Bushels }}{\text { OATS }}$ | $\underset{\text { Price }}{\substack{\text { Aver. }}}$ | value | BARLEY Bushels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | $\underset{\text { Bushels }}{\text { RYE }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | $\underset{\text { Bushels }}{\text { FLAXSEED }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver. } \\ & \text { Price } \end{aligned}$ | value | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 19,434 | . 70 | \$13,603 | 6,480,000 | . 35 | \$2,271,150 | 1,637,000 | . 24 | \$392,8 | 2,452 | . 40 | \$9 | 759, | . 50 | \$379, | 6,23 | 1.59 | 9,9 | \$27,544,960 |
|  | 29,063,000 | . 79 | $22,959,770$ $40,676,790$ | 12,000 129,000 | . 42 | 54, ${ }^{5,400}$ | $4,940,000$ $4,608,000$ | . 34 | 1,679,600 | $6,754,000$ $10,450,000$ | . 42 | $3,106,840$ $4,389,000$ | 932,000 654,000 | . 49 | 456,680 $\mathbf{3 7 2 , 7 8 0}$ | -18,785,000 |  | ${ }^{19,724,050}$ | $47,932,540$ 705059 |
|  | 58,294,000 | 1.14 | 66,455,160 | 920,000 | . 63 | 579,600 | 8,167,000 | . 44 | 3,593,480 | 12,757,000 | . 58 | 7,399,060 | 738, | 74 | 546,120 | 9,826,000 | 1.63 | 16,016,380 | -94,589,800 |
| 1912 |  | 1.0 |  | 446,867 | . 64 | 286,000 |  | . 41 | 3,829 | 14,600 | 76 | 11,096 | 2,33 | 74 | 1,7 | 17,310 |  |  |  |
| Part 19 | 52,198,000 | . 83 | 43,324,340 | 73,000 | . 62 | 45,260 | 4,349,000 | . 36 | 1,565,640 | 9,363,000 | . 55 | 5,149,650 | 953,000 | . 54 | 514,620 | 7,539,000 | 1.40 | 10,554,600 | 61,154,110 |



GRAIN EXHIBIT "D."
Minneapolis Stooks By Months.

| DATE | WHEAT | VALUE | CORN | VALUE | OATS | VALUE | BARISY | VALUE | RYE | VALUE | FLAX | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August 31, 1013.. | $\underset{7,014,978}{\mathrm{Bu}}$ | \$5,962,700 | $\underset{16,826}{\mathrm{Bu} .}$ | \$11,800 | $\underset{1,777,606}{\text { Bu. }}$ | \$665,500 | $\underset{120,053}{\text { Bu. }}$ | \$80,000 | $\underset{128,757}{\mathrm{Bu}}$ | \$78,500 | $\underset{36,043}{\mathrm{Bu}}$ | \$53,500 |
| October 4, 1913.. | 10,834,386 | 9,209,200 | 37,617 | 24,800 | 3,124,089 | 1,124,700 | 768,055 | 483,900 | 374,072 | 213,200 | 68,574 | 90,700 |
| Nov. 1, 1913. | 14,450,972 | 11,999,300 | 18,140 | 11,200 | 3,355,890 | 1,174,600 | 1,155,480 | 670,200 | 586,084 | 316,500 | 115,917 | 163,400 |
| Dec. 1, 1913. | 16,151,795 | 13,406,000 | 21,388 | 13,300 | 3,452,082 | 1,208,200 | 1,224,244 | 673,300 | 752,573 | 308,000 | 238,773 | 429,500 |
| Jan. 1, 1914.. | 19,050,337 | 15,811,800 | 178,813 | 100,200 | 3,157,267 | 1,041,900 | 1,215,311 | 668,500, | 748,120 | 396,500; | 210,021 | 304,530 |
| PRIVATE HOUS | ES-Estim | ated- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 31, 1013. | 500,000 | 425,000 | 10,000 | 7,000 | 1,700,000 | 646,000 | 1,300,000 | 806,000 | 100,000 | 01,000 | 40,000 | 56,000 |
| Oct. 1, 1013. | 800,000 | 680,000 | 20,000 | 13,200 | 3,100,000 | 1,110,700 | 700,000 | 441,000 | 200,000 | 114,000. | 70,000 | 102,200 |
| Nov. 1, 1913. | 1,000,000 | 830,000 | 20,000 | 13,200 | 3,300,000 | 1,155,000 | 1,100,000 | 638,000 | 200,000 | 108,000 | 100,000 | 141,000 |
| Dec. 1, 1913 | 1,000,000 | 830,000 | 20,000 | 12,400 | 3,400,000, | 1,190,000 | 1,200,000 | 600,000 | 300,000 | 159,000 | 150,000 | 201,500 |
| Jan. 1, 1914...... | 1,500,000 | 1,245,000 | 80,000 | 45,600 | 3,100,000 | 1,023,000 | 1,200,000 | 000,000 | 300,000 | 159,000 | 150,000 | 217,500 |
| RECAPITULAT | ION- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug. 31, 1913.... | \$8,853,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. 1, 1913...... | 13,618,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. 1, 1913..... | 17,219,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. 1, 1913..... | 19,181, 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. 1, 1914....... | 21,073,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

GRAIN EXHIBIT "E."
Duluth Stocks By Months.

| DATE | WHEAT | VALUE | CORN | VALUE | OATS | VALUE | BARLEY | VALUE | RYE | VALUE | FIAAX | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug. 31, 1913.... <br> Oct. 4, 1913. $\qquad$ <br> Nov. 1, 1913. $\qquad$ <br> Dec. 1, 1913. $\qquad$ <br> Jan. 1, 1914....... <br> RECAPITULAT <br> 'Aug. 31, 1913.... <br> Oct. 4, 1913. <br> Nov. 1, 1913 <br> Dea. 1, 1913. <br> Jan. 1, 1914....... | $\underset{3,083,000}{\mathrm{Bu}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{\$ 2 , 5 2 0 , 5 5 0} \\ 7,794,530 \\ 9,584,040 \\ 8,665,200 \\ 10,298,400 \\ \text { ULUTH- } \\ \end{array}$ | Bu. |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Bu. }}$ | $\$ 168,000$$\mathbf{0 6 9 , 7 5 0}$ | Bu. 812,000 | \$503,440 | $\underset{85,000}{\mathrm{Bu}_{1}}$ | \$52,700 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bu} . \\ 1,535,000 \end{gathered}$ | \$2,241,000 |
|  | 9,391,000 |  |  |  | 1,786,000 |  | 2,005,000 | 1,280,300 | 331,000 | $186,360$ | 801,000 | 1,231,000 |
|  | 11,548,000 |  |  |  | 1,323,000 | 467,930 | 1,805,000 | 1,200,750 | 308,000 | 198,720 | 1,640,000 | 2,239,000 |
|  | 10,440,000 |  |  |  | 1,093,000 | 400,310 | 936,000 | 524,160 | 312,000 | 162,240 | 2,608,000 | 3,721,722 |
|  | 12,260,000 |  |  |  | 1,214,000 | 341,600 | 911,000 | 610,160 | 332,000 | 170,980 | 1,169,000 | 1,721,350 |
|  | ION AT D |  |  |  | ECAPIT | ATION O | TERMIN | ALS MIN | APOLI | AND DU |  |  |
|  | \$5,485,680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11,161,940 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24,78 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $13,696,020$ $13,473,632$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 13,473,632 \\ & 13,042,490 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REC | APITULA | ON M | EAPOL | ND DUL | TH THR | INAL STO | CKS AND | OUNTR | ELEVATO | R STOC |  |
|  |  |  | Januar | $\begin{array}{r} 1914 \mathrm{Min} \\ \text { Dul } \\ \text { Cou } \end{array}$ | apolis Term <br> Terminal <br> ry Elevator | nals. <br> Stocks. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 2 1 , 6 7 3 , 5 0 0 .} \\ & 13,042,490 \\ & 18,200,000 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52,915,990.00 |  |  |  |  |

GRAIN EXHIBIT 'F."
Capacity of Country Elevators By States. Stocks County Elevators.

| ELEVATORS | CAPACITY-Bushels | As per atatement in "Northwestern Miller", issue of January 7, 1914, page 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. . . . . . . . . . . . 1536 | 30,720,000 | 25,000,000 to 27,000,000 bushels-Value \$18,200,000.00 |
| North Dakota. . . . . . . . . . 1883 | 37,660,000 |  |
| South Dakota. . . . . . . . . 1160 | 23,200,000 |  |
| Montana. . . . . . . . . . . . 600 | 13,200,000 |  |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . 5239 | 104,780,000 |  |

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "G."

VALUE OF FLOUR OUTPUT OF MINNEAPOLIS

| Year | Barrels | Average Price Per Barrel | Value - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900. | 15,082,725 | \$3.08 | \$46,454,793 |
| 1903. | 15,582,785 | 3.50 | 54,539,747 |
| 1906. | 13,825,795 | 3.46 | 46,837,250 |
| -1909. | 14,867,245 | 4.93 | 73,295,517 |
| 1912. | 17,031,935 | 4.46 | 75,960,230 |
| 1913. | 17,673,725 | 3.85 | 68,043,841 |
|  | EXPORTS |  |  |
| 1900. | 4,702,485 | 3.08 | 14,483,653 |
| 1903. | 3,081,115 | 3.50 | 10,783,903 |
| 1906. | 2,425,035 | 3.46 | 8,390,621 |
| 1909. | 1,645,970 | 4.93 | 8,114,632 |
| 1912. | 1,132,640 | 4.46 | 6,051,574 |
| 1913. | 1,764,805 | 3.85 | 6,794,499 |

51 Country Mills with daily capacity of $\mathbf{4 0 , 8 6 5}$ barrels. These country mills average $62 \%$ active in 1913, making daily output 25,000 barrels.

Yearly Output-7,500,000 barrels at $\$ 3.85$ average price per barrel- $\$ 28,875.000$.
TOTAL OUTPUT MINNEAPOLIS MILLS AND COUNTRY MILLS TRIBUTARY TO MINNEAPOLIS
$25,173,725$ barrels at $\$ 3.85$ average price per barrel$\$ 96,918,841.00$.

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "'H" <br> LINSEED OIL

1913
216,222,794 lbs. at 6.666 cents per pound.....
OIL CAKE
$.432,445,590 \mathrm{lbs}$. at 1.5 cents per pound.......
About 75 percent exported.

## GROUND SCREENINGS

Capacity
134 tons per day.
Value of output. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 500,000$.
STOCK FOODS
Value of Output. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 1,000,000$.
Outside plants financed............... . . $\$ 800.000$.

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "I'"

Malting Capacity of Minneapolis
$4,500,000$ bu.
Value.
$. \$ 3,500,000$.
Ground Feed Mills, capacity
800,000 tons
Value of product.
$\$ 1,500,000$.

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "J."

 CANADIAN CROPS

Receipts at Fort William and Port Arthur, crop year ending August 31, 1913-

|  | 34,523,460 bu. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Barley. | 9,857,206 bu. |
| Flax. | 18,051,139 bu. |
|  | 169,664,495 bu. |

On Basis of $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Fort William and Port Arthur Receipts-

| Wheat...........21,440,140 bu. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \$ 18,229,219 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Oats. . . . . . . . . 6,904,690 bu. | 2,623,782 |
| Barley........... 1,971,840 bu. | 1,123,948 |
| Flax. . . . . . . . . 3,610,230 bu. | 5,126,526 |
|  | \$27,103,475 |

Canadian Receipts at Duluth from August 1, 1918, to January 3, 1914-

| Wheat. | 2,580,000 bu. at 87 | \$2,244,600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oats. | 2,845,000 bu. at . 40 | 1,138,000 |
| Barley.. | 694,000 bu. at .. 59 | 409,460 |
| Flaxseed.. | 250,000 bu. at 1.44 | 360,000 |
|  |  | \$4,152,060 |

Canadian Receipts at Minneapolis for calendar year 1918-

| Wheat.......... | 78,080 bu. at . 85 | \$86,388 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oats............ | 1,314,000 bu. at . 38 | 499,320 |
| Barley.......... | 58,050 bu. at . 51 | 30,088 |
| Flaxseed......... | 277,290 bu. at 1.42 | 393,752 |
|  |  | 8989,528 |

## GRAIN FXHIBIT "K."

Government Orop Reports. Southwest Tributary to Kansas City, St. Louis and Omaha.

(Continued on next page.)

GRAIN EXETBIT "K."-Oontinued.


GRAIN EXHIBIT "L."
Southwest Receipts.


## GRAIN EXHIBIT "M."

High Point Terminal Stocks. Contrasting Minneapolis and Duluth with Bouthwestern Terminals.

| Date Point | Wheat | Corn | Oats | Rye | Barley | Flax | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 2, 1913 Minneapolis. . . . . April 2, 1913 Duluth. . . . . . . . | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bushels } \\ 21,668,000 \\ 18,156,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { Bushels } \\ 64,000 \\ 44,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bushels } \\ & 1,308,000 \\ & 807,000 \end{aligned}$ | Bushels $\begin{aligned} & 286,000 \\ & 158,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bushels } \\ & 675,000 \\ & 1,537,000 \end{aligned}$ | Bushels $\begin{array}{r} 425,000 \\ 5,400,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bushels } \\ 24,426,000 \\ 26,102,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total both markets | 39,814,000 | 108,000 | 2,115,000 | 444,000 | 2,212,000 | 5,825,000 | 50,528,000 |
| Southwest Terminals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Statement | madeby taking | highest po | int in each | market, whe | ther same d | ate or not) |  |
| Aug. 30, '13 Kansas City. | 8,881,000 | 118,000 | 746,000 |  |  |  | 9,745,000 |
| Sept. 13, '13 Omaha. | 2,020,000 | 514,000 | 2,243,000 | 20,000 | 17,000 |  | 4,814,000 |
| Jan. 11, 13 St. Louis. | 3,345,000 | 150,000 | 170,000 | 25,000 | 3,000 |  | 4,702,000 |
| Total threc markets. | 14,246,000 | 782,000 | 3,168,000 | 45,000 | 20,000 |  | 19,261,000 |

TERMINAL ELEVATOR CAPACITY AND MILLING CAPACITY.

| Points | Elevators | Capacity Bushels | Mills | Daily Capacity Barrels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneapolis. | 50 | 38,550,000 (Des nct include mill capacity.) | 24 | 77,160 |
| Duluth and Superior. | 24 | 32,275,000 | 3 | 7,000 |
| St. Louis. | 36 | 10,020,000 | 4 | 7,500 |
| Kansas City. | 38 | 11,235,000 (Includes mill capacity) | ! 8 | 14,600 |
| Omaha. | 12 | 6,575,000 | 2 | 4,000 |

COMPARISON
Minneapolis Terminals . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 38,550,000 bushels.

## GRAIN EXHIBIT "N."

Elevator and Milling Capacity in Various Cities.

| Cities | Flour $\mathrm{Mills}_{8}$ | Daily Barrel Capacity | No. of Elevators | Capacity <br> Bushels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneapolis. | 24 | 77,160 | 50 | 38,550,000 |
| Chicago. | 2 | 12,000 | 65 | 45,360,000 |
| Duluth-Superior. | 3 | 7,000 | 24 | 32,275,000 |
| Buffalo. | 7 | 20,300 | 22 | 18,900,000 |
| New York. | 1 | 11,000 | 16 | 13,005,000 |
| St. Louis. | 4 | 7,500 | 36 | 10,020,000 |
| Kansas City. | 8 | 14,600 | 38 | 11,235,000 |
| Baltimore. |  |  | 6 | 5,550,000 |
| Philadelphia. | 2 | 1,950 | 5 | 3,450,000 |
| Milwaukee. | 2 | 3,800 | 4 | 1,500,000 |
| Boston. |  |  | 3 | 2,500,000 |
| New Orleans. |  |  | 6 | 4,700,000 |
| Newport News. |  |  | 2 | 2,750,000 |
| Montreal. | 4 | 12,500 | 5 | 5,750,000 |
| Detroit. | 2 | 1,800 | 8 | 3,515,000 |
| Winnipeg. | 2 | 8,000 | 13 | - 2,825,000 |
| Cincinnati. | 8 | 1,500 | 5 | 1,200,000 |
| Fort William and Port Arthur. |  |  | 15 | 25,700,000 |
| Galveston. | 1 | 1,400 | 5 | 4,000,000 |
| Cleteland. | 1 | 1,500 | 10 | 1,912,000 |
| Toledo. | 5 | 8,000 | 8 | 5,000,000 |
| Peoria. | 2 | 400 | 3 | 2,250,000 |
| Omaha. | 2 | 4,000 | 12 | 6,575,000 |
| Kenora and Keewatin, Ont. | 2 | 12,250 | 4 | 1,740,000 |
| Includes mill elevators. |  |  |  |  |

## GRAIN EXHIBIT " 0. " <br> SAINT PAUL

[^0]
# Traffic of the Northwest Centers in Minneapolis 

# Railroads, Comprising Nine Systems and Representing 48,591 Miles of Trackage in Operation, Bring 8,065 Communities Into Connection With Northwest's Largest City. 

Minneapolis, believing that its position as the traffic center of the Northwest, gives great weight to its argument for the location of the proposed federal reserve bank, submits the record of its traffic business for the past six years and invites analysis in support of its contention.
Twenty-one states are traversed by Minneapolis railroads, representing 48,591 miles of rail actually in operation, and bringing 8,065 cities, towns and villages into direct connection with Minneapolis. In the last six years a total of 7,205 miles of rail has been added to the Minneapolis system, and the mileage added in 1913 was 502 . Nine railroad systems are tributary to this field, comprising 24 lines serving Minneapolis. The mileage in the proposed Northwest federal reserve district is 35,846 .
The following statements are presented as significant of the traffic activities of Minneapolis:

## Statement No. 1

This is a monthly comparison of all traffic, expressed in car units, received and forwarded at Minneapolis during the years 1908 to 1913, inclusive. It includes only traffic destined to or forwarded from Minneapolis proper.

| Inbound Traffic, 1908 | 281,375 cars |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inbound Traffic, 1913 | 362,740 ears |
| Increase during six-year | 81,365 cars |
| Percentage of increase | 29\% |
| Outbound Traffic, 1908 | 269,845 cars |
| Outbound Traffic, 1913 | 344,654 cars |
| Increase during six-year period | 74,809 cars |
| Percentage of incr | 28\% |
| Percentage of increase all |  |
| United States, 1911 over 1908. | 14\% |
| Percentage of increase all tonnage in United States, 1911 over 1908 | 11\% |

The last report of railway statistics published by the Interstate Commerce Commission is for the year ending June 30, 1911. It states these facts:
Total loaded car miles on all railroads in the United States.

12,859,386,385
Average haul.......................
by all roads in the year ending June
30, 1911.
Cars received at Minneapolis during same period................
Cars forwarded from Minneapolis, during same period.
Total cars received and forwarded.
Percentage of total cars handled by all roads in United States

50,607,581
311,315
286,950
598,265
1.18\%

Statement No. 2

This is a monthly comparison of all less-than-carload traffic, expressed in pounds, received and forwarded at Minneapolis during the years 1908 to 1913, inclusive :

| Inbound shipments, 1908. . . . . . . . . . 4 416,060,066 lbs. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inbound shipments, 1913 . . . . . . . . . . . . . 482,485,923 lbs. |  |
| Increase during six-ye | 65,825,857 lbs. |
| Percentage of in |  |
| Outbound shipments, 1908.......... . 810,893,278 108. |  |
| Outbound shipments, 1913 | 1,092,663,991 lbs. |
| Increase during six-year period. . ${ }^{2} 281,770,713 \mathrm{lbs}$. |  |
| Percentage of increase. . . . . . . . . |  |
|  |  |
| United States in 10 | 13\% |

A reliable index of the importance of Minneapolis as a manufacturing center is the excess shown in outbound shipments over inbound shipments and the measure of industrial growth is expressed by the increase in the excess outbound shipments for 1913 over 1908.

Excess of outbound shipments, 1908... $394,233,212$ lbs.
Excess of outbound shipments, 1913... 610,178,068 lbs. Increase in excess outbound ship-
ments. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2 215,944,850 lbs. Percentage of increase................... $55 \%$
The interstate commerce commission's report of railway statistics for year ending June 30, 1911, shows:

| Total lese-than-carlond traffic of all roads 3 in the United States, tons. . . . . .............. 9 ; $36,519,321$ |
| :---: |
| Total tons received at Minneapolis during the |
| Total tons forwarded from Minneapolis dur- |
| Total tons received and forwarded. ....... 682,291 |
|  |
|  |

Statement No. 3
This is an analysis of Statement No. 1, showing distribution of inbound and outbound traffic by commodities, in 1913:

| Grain recived Min |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grain forwarded from Min |  |
| Grain milled at Minneapo |  |
| Coal received at Minneapolis (40 tons per car) | 32,905 |
| Coal forwarded from Minneapolis ( 40 tons $p$ per car) | $229$ |
| Coal consumed by Minneapolis indus | 32,676 |
| Total ears received (Statement No. 1) | 62,740 |
| ars of raw material used by Minneapo | - Brambin |
|  |  |
| otal cars received for local |  |
| distribution..................... |  |
| Total cars forwarded (Statement No. |  |
| ess | ,325 |
| er | $39 \%$ |

Statement No. 3-Continued

The Interatate Commerce Commission's report for the year ending June 30, 1911, shows that the total tonnage of grain and grain products handled by all roads in the United States, was...
That the total tonnage of grain and grain products received and forwarded at Minneapolis during the year 1911 That the percentage of total tonnage of grain and grain products handled by all roadsin United States was.......

That the flour forwarded from Minncapolis in 1008 totaled. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14,062,655 bbls. That the flour forwarded from Minncapolis in 1913 totaled. ............... 18,254,260 bbls The increase during six year period was $\quad 30 \%$
A comparison of the traffic business of Minneapolis and St. Paul for the year 1913 shows the following facts, as gathered from the reports of the trafic departmeats of the railroads carrying the business:
Loaded freight cars forwarded and reccived by
Minneapolis proper. . .......................
Loaded freight cars forwarded and received by
$14 \%$

St. Paul proper.
410,848

# Minnesota Transfer Figures in Business of Minneapolis 

This City Entitled to Credit for Much of Commodity Traffic Passing Through Minnesota Transfer Now Included in St. Paul Figures.

Properly to measure traffic activities in Mlinneapolis and St. Paul one must understand conditions obtaining at Minnesota Transfer. This is a railroad trackage within the corporate limits of St. Paul, but much of the traffic in and out of the transfer rightly is to be credited to Minneapolis.
To illustrate, a terminal clevator of 900,000 bushels capacity and two linseed oil mills of a joint capacity of 192,500 barrels of oil and 60,000 tons of oil cake located at Minnesota Transfer are financed through Minneapolis banks; and the elevator and one of the linseed oil companies are operated from offices in Minneapolis.
On the other hand, a large quantity of commodi. ties routed from the east or south to points west of Minnesota in transit passes through the Transfer and gets credited in the St. Paul traffic total.
In the St. Paul commerce statement for the year ending October 31, 1913, all roads in and out of St. Paul are said to have received 4,934 cars of grain and seeds and to have forwarded 1,089 cars. First, the item of receipts will stand looking into. The Minnesota Transfer Company keeps count only of those receipts of grain and seeds that come direct from country points. Cars forwarded from Jinneapolis are not in its report. The company's records show that for the twelve months ending October 31, 1913, there were received at Minnesota Transfer a total of 233 cars. Yet the St. Paul commerce statement gives 4,934 cars. The capacity of the two linseed oil mills at Minnesota Transfer is about 2,037 cars of flax per year. This leaves 2,664 cars of grain and sced to be accounted for.

## State Figures Corroborate.

Inspection figures for St. Paul as shown by the records of the State Railroad and Warehouse Commission for the year ending August 31, 1913, show 114 cars (the number would be approximately the same for the year ending October 31, 1913), which would leave 2,550 cars unaccounted for.

Minneapolis, St. Paul and Duluth have been designated by the State Railroad and Warehouse Commission as being what are known as terminal points, under the statute governing the inspection of grain. Under this statute, all grain received at the terminal markets must be inspected by state grain inspectors. Then, if there were only 114 cars inspected by the state grain inspection department, there were only 114 cars received direct from country points at terminal of St. Paul, and the rest of this grain received at St. Paul must have been reconsigned from Minneapolis; and the financing of consigned from Minneapolis; and the financing not only of the balance of 2,550 cars, but also of the dustries at Minnesota Transfer, and also of the 233 cars which were received from the country by industries located at Minnesota Transfer, must have all been done by industries or business firms located at Minneapolis.

## On Grain and Seed Forwarded.

This statement also shows 1,089 cars of grain forwarded. The record received from the Minnesota Transfer Company shows that during this same year there were forwarded from the Minnesota Transfer a total of 588 cars of grain. These cars of grain were practically all loaded out and forwarded from two elevators, whose offices are in Minneapolis, and whose business is all financed from Minncapolis.

This leaves 501 cars of grain and seed unaccounted for, and this undoubtedly is grain billed from St. Paul to South St. Paul; that is, down to the South St. Paul stockyards, and is counted as a shipment from St. Paul.

The application of Minnesota Transfer conditions to lumber and farm implement traffic is referred to the articles on these industries elsewhere in this brief.

# Industrial Growth of Minneapolis Significant 

## City Has Kept Pace With Tremendous Development of the Whole Northwest-Foremost in Field-Five-Year Advance in Permits for Manufacturing Buildings and Their Values.

Industrially the growth of the Minneapolis-St. Paul district as a great primary manufacturing center has been proportionate to and coincident with the development of the whole Northwest. The raw material of the farms, forests and mines have here been converted into finished products. Demand for building material, farm implements and machinery in the territory immediately tributary to this district has been greater than that of any other section of the country comparable with it.

TABLE "A."
Increase in Value


Demand for all other articles of manufacture required by a rapidly growing district, such as furniture, clothing, machine shop and foundry produets and food preparations has been on a scale equal to the demand for building material and farm machinery. Facilities for manufacture being at hand, this demand resulted in the establishment of a great manufacturing center. Table "B" following, compiled by the Census Bureau, shows the thirteen leading metropolitan industrial districts, in which the Minneapolis-St: Paul district ranks twelfth in value of products.

Table "B"
Manufactures, Population, and Area for Thirteen Selected Metropolitan Districts, 1910. Census

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Popula- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | Ares in Acres | Nuanber of Egments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Number } \\ \text { Pergons } \\ \text { Engaged } \end{gathered}$ | Capital | Value of <br> Producta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York. | 6,474,568 | 616,028 | 31.782 | 948,7 | 22.117 |  |
| Philadelphia.... | center | 439,087 | ${ }^{10,202}$ | 393, | 1,144,0 | 1,48,780 |
| Pittsburgh... | 1,044,743, | 406,880 | 2, 2,30 | -163,25 | 8632,96 | 911,014 |
| Boston.... | 1,520,470 | 335,905 | 5,389 | 214,641 | 444,558 | 564,055 |
| St. Louis. | 828.733 | 197,993 | 2,951 | 126,453 |  |  |
| Clevelind. | 613,270 | 103, 174 | 2,230 | 103.709 | 236,911 | 281,092 |
| Detroit. ....... | 500,982 | 96,504 | 2,104 | 101,482 | 230,053 | 269,852 |
| Cincinnati. | 563,809 | 111,772 | 2,827 | 35,571 | 212,556 | 2n0,400 |
| Maltimore. ${ }_{\text {Mple. }}$ | 659,715 | ${ }^{184,669}$ | ${ }^{2}, 688$ | 04,954 | 199,735 | 260.213 |
| SAn Francisoo- | 626,206 | 94,538 | 1,844 | 59,920 | 160,628 | 244,340 |
| Onkland.... | 686,873 | 280,381 | 2.530 | 53,177 | 187,701 | 190,593 |

From the Census Bureau reports are taken the percentages of growth during the ten-year period covered by the United States census in the number of establishments, capital, and value of products which are shown by Table "C." Table " $C$ " shows that the Minneapolis-St. Paul district ranks second among the thirteen metropolitan districts in percent. age of increase in number of establishments, fifth in percentage of increase in capital and fourth in percentage of increase in value of products.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Table "C"' } \\ \text { 1890-1909 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Establishments | Capital | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Products } \end{gathered}$ |
| New York. | 35.8 | 72.6 | 83.9 |
| Chicago. | 27.3 | 97.6 | 62.5 |
| Philadelphia | 14.1 | 64.6 | 51.3 |
| Pittsburgh.. | 36.7 | 58.8 | 37.1 |
| Boston.... | 7.7 | 66.0 | 59.4 |
| St. Louis. | 6.3 | 108.9 | 79.5 |
| Buffalo... | 19.8 | 158.1 | 137.9 |
| - Detroit. |  |  |  |
| Cincinnati. | -7.8 | 69.3 | 62.9 |
| Baltimore........... | 12.8 | 01.2 | 45.4 |
| Minneapolis-St. Paul. . | 37.7 | 100.8 | 80.6 |
| San Francisco-Oakland.. | 24.5 | 134.7 | 65.9 |

-Minus sign denotes decrease.
*Details not shown in census.

## The "Twin City" Industrial District

The Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan industrial district, as considered by the United States Censw Burean, embraces 94,539 acres, of which 32,069 acres represent the area of Minneapolis, 33,300 acres the area of St. Paul, and 29,080 acres the ont side territory. Included in the Minneapolis-St. Paul district, in addition to the cities of Minneapolis and St. Paul, are the villages of Edina and St. Louis Park in Hennepin county, and the cities of SontH St. Panl and West St. Paul in Dakota county. For some reason the Census Bureau has not included Hopkins, sometimes known as West Minneapolin which lies within the limits defining a metropolita" district, viz., "within ten miles of the city limits" It should have been included in the Minneapolis

St. Paul district. Hopkins has several important industries owned and operated by Minneapolis capital, which are essentially Minneapolis industries. Table " $D$ " is a summary by the United States Census Bureau of the statistics of manufacturing industries in this metropolitan district.

Table "D"

|  | District | Minge apolis | St. Paul | District Exclugive of Minat apolis and St. Paul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population ...ighie.......... | 808.0 | \$01,408 | 214.74 | 20,104 |
| Perooss es raged in manufact're | 50, 120 | 33,923 | 23.330 | 2.467 |
| Proprietors \& firm members | 1,674 | 1,012 | ${ }^{51}$ | 13 |
| Enlaried employett.. | 0.978 | 5.919 | 3.512 | 45 |
| Wage carners average No... | 18.788 | 26,902 | 18.738 | 1,967 |
| Primary horac power.......... | 119.219 | 83,247 | 28,2, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.768 |
| Espraseas. | 1100.629 .205 | 0,389,825 | ${ }_{5}^{280,483,77}$ | 80,76,283 |
| Services. | 53s, sresin | 12,915,336 | 811,898,780 | \$1, 6 St $3 \times 3$ |
| Salatics. | \$10, 71.901 | \$5,377,231 | 81,049,175 | 2516,205 |
| Wages | 87,724,700 | 15,638,214 | \$10, min 0 (03 | \$1,154,983 |
| , Materinla.... | 18168, 273.365 | 119,993,195 | 830,299,501 | \$10,530,579 |
| Miscellaneous. | 800,008.707, | 11.652.080 | 87.473.47 | S142, 768 |
| Yalue of products............ | 24439 | 165,404,680 | 85, manne | \$12,946, \%3 |
| Value added by manufacture.. | 57516.250\| | 15,112,646 | 83,090,391 | \$3,414314 |

Table " $E$ " shows the percentage for Minneapolis and St. Panl as compared with the total metropolitan district. The preponderating excess of Minneapolis over St. Paul in the important items of population, number of establishments, wage earners, horse power, and value of products is significant.

Table "E"

|  | Minneapolis | St. Paul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population......... | 57.3 | 40.8 |
| Number of establishments........... . | 89.8 | 39.0 |
| Persons engaged in manufactures. . . | 86.6 | 39.3 |
| Proprietors and firm members. . | 60.5 | 38.8 |
| Salaried employees. . . . | 59.6 | 35.5 |
| Primary earners (average number) | 85.9 | 40.1 |
| Capital horsepower................. | 74.9 | 22.0 |
| Expenses...... | 56.9 68.2 | 37.6 |
| Services. | 66.8 | 38.9 |
| Salaries. | 57.7 | 37.2 |
| Wapes. | 86.4 | 39.5 |
| Materials. | 71.9 | 18.2 |
| Value Miscellancous. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 89.1 | 37.2 |
| Value of products................. | 67.7 58.6 | 24.1 37.0 |
| alue added by manufacture. . . . . . | 68.6 | 37.0 |

Table " $F$ "' exhibits in percentage the relation of Minneapolis to St. Paul in the manufacturing statistics presented in the foregoing Table "D."

> Table "F"

Population
Number of establishments
Persons engaged in manufacture
Primary horsepower.
Capital
Value of products
Value added by manufacture

## Diversity of Minneapolis Industries.

The abstract of the thirteenth census of the United States for 1910, on page 528, presents a comparative summary for the twenty-five principal industrial cities, which ranks Minneapolis fourteenth in value of products. St. Paul is not included among the twenty-five principal cities. Page 446 presents a summary for the fifty principal manufacturing cities. In this summary Minneapolis ranks again fourteenth, with a value of products amounting to $\$ 165,405,000$, and St. Paul ranks fortyfirst, with a value of products amounting to $\$ 58$,990,000.

For a number of years Minneapolis industries consisted largely of the manufacture of flour and lumber. While the former has shown a steady growth, the latter has materially decreased, due to the dwindling forests. While the manufacture of flour is still the most important industry, the diversity of Minneapolis industries in the past ten years has been most marked. Table " $G$ " shows the percentage of increase in the capital invested in fifteen important industries of Minneapolis covered by the period from 1899 to 1909, as shown by the last federal census.

## Table "G"

## Fifteen Important Industries of MinneapolisPercentage of Increase in Capital Invested for Ten-year Period Covered by Last United States Census

Copper, tin and sheet metal products. ....... 402\%
Patent medicines and compounds........... 396\%
Electrical machinery apparatus and supplies $383 \%$
Food products:
bakery products, bread, butter, cheese, condensed milk, confectionery
$367 \%$
Building material industry:
marble, brick, tile, stone and artificial stone

289\%
Clothing, fur goods, hats and caps, etc ..... 229\%
Foundry and machine shop products. ..... 200\%
Carriages, wagons and materials ..... 153\%
Leather goods ..... $172 \%$
Printing, publishing and engraving ..... $89 \%$
Cars and general shop construction, repairs
by steam railroad companies ..... $77 \%$
Cooperage and wooden goods. ..... $76 \%$
Furniture and refrigerators ..... $70 \%$
Flour and grist mill products ..... $39 \%$
Lumber and timber products. ..... $8 \%$

## Minneapolis Compared with St. Paul

That the relative growth of Minneapolis and St. Paul since the United States census of 1909 has been maintained is shown by Table " $H$," which gives the number of building permits and their values for mills, factories, manufacturing buildings and foundries erected in Minneapolis and St. Paul for each year from 1909 to 1913. These statistics were compiled from the official figures in the building inspector's office in each city.

Table "स'"

|  | Minneapolis |  | St. Paul |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Cost | No. | Cost |
| 1910. | 79 | \$1,188,430 | 52 | 8495,820 |
| 1911. | 55 | 1,273,026 | 35 | 317,800 |
| 1912. | 62 | 702,730 | 24 | 224,650 |
| 1913. | 47 | 1,304,215 | 24 | 938,300 |
| Total. | 233 | \$4,468,400 | 135 | \$1,976,570 |

Total, four years, Minneapolis and St. Paul, \$6,444,975. Minneapolis proportion. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 69.32\% St. Paul proportion. $30.68 \%$

The value of the building permits for some of the more important mill and factory buildings erected in Minneapolis since 1909 are classified as shown in Table "I."

Table "I'"
Brewing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 114,500$
Railroad shops . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 679,000
Milling and malting. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 288,600
Furniture . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 74,000
Sheet metal . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 22,000
Candy and crackers. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 297,000
Knit goods . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 250,000
Linseed oil . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 50,000
Wagons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 55,000
Wheelbarrows . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 40,000
Foundry and machine shop............ 174,000
Show cases and store fixtures. . . . . . . . . 19,000
Paper mill . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15,000
Creamery . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 80,000
Sash and doors. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 59,500
Light and power plants. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 615,000
Gasoline cars . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 200,000
Electrical machinery and apparatus. ... 165,000
Automobiles . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 400,000
Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 3,597,600$

## TABLE "J."

## 1010 Census

To exhibit the comparative importance industriálly of Minneapolis and St. Paul among cities in their class, the following table has been compiled from the thirteenth United States census. The nineteen cities selected, ranging in population from 150,000 to 400,000 are fairly indicative of the class in which Minneapolis and St. Paul belong, five hav-
ing a greater population than Minneapolis and five a less population than St. Paul. In value of product, the basis used by the census bureau in ranking cities industrially, Minneapolis ranks third among these cities and St. Paul twelfth. In value of produet per capita Minneapolis ranks second and St. Paul tenth.

| CITIES | Population | Number of Establishments | Wage Earners | Capital | Value of Product | Value of Product Per Capita |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cincinnati... | 363,591 | 2,184 | 60,192 | \$150,254 | \$194,516,000 | \$535 |
| Newrark. | 347,460 | 1,858 | 50,055 | 154,233 | 202,512,000 | 583 |
| New Orleans... | 330,075 | 848 | 17,186 | 56,934 | 78,794,000 | 232 |
| Washington. | 331,069 | 518 | 7,707 | 30,553 | 25,289,000 | 76 |
| Los Angeles. | 319,198 | 1,325 | 17,327 | 59,518 | 68,586,000 | 215 |
| MINNEAPOLIS. | 301,408 | 1,102 | 20,932 | 90,382 | 165,405,000 | 549 |
| Jersey City. | 267,779 | 745 | 25,454 | 79,794 | 128,775,000 | 481 |
| Kansas City. | 248,381 | 902 | 12,294 | 42,729 | 54,704,000 | 220 |
| Seattle. | 237,194 | 751 | 11,331 | 46,472 | 50,569,000 | 213 |
| Indianapolis. | 233,650 | 855 | 31,815 | 76,497 | 126,522,000 | 541 |
| Providence. | 224,326 | 1,080 | 46,381 | 118,512 | 120,241,000 | 536 |
| Louisville. | 223,928 | 003 | 27,023 | 79,437 | 101,284,000 | 452 |
| Rochester. | 218,149 | 1,203 | 39,108 | 95,708 | 112,676,000 | 517 |
| ST. PAUL. | 214,744 | 719 | 19,339 | 60,467 | 58,090,000 | 275 |
| Denver. | 213,381 | 766 | 12,058 | 47,534 | 51,538,000 | 242 |
| Portland. | 207,214 | 649 | .12,214 | 37,996 | 46,861,000 | 226 |
| Columbus. | 181,511 | 586 | 16,428 | 48,747 | 49,032,000 | 270 |
| Toledo. | 168,497 | 760 | 18,878 | 58,319 | 61,230,000 | 363 |
| Atlanta. | 154,839 | 483 | 12,302 | 30,878 | 33,038,000 | 213 |
| TWIN CITIES.. | 516,152 | 1,820 | 46,271 | 150,849 | 224,395,000 | 435 |

*000 omitted.

## TABLE "K."

The building operations during the period from 1909 to 1913, in the nineteen cities referred to in the foregoing table are shown by Table "L." In
building operations for the past five years Minneapolis ranks second and St. Paul sixth.

| CITIES | Population | VALUE OF BUILDING OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1913 | 1912 | 1911 | 1910 | 1909 | Total | Per Capita |
| Cincinnati. | 363,591 | \$8,348,432 | \$8,962,214 | \$13,481,320 | 88,022,915 | 87,794,529 | \$46,609,410 | \$128 |
| Newark. | 469 |  |  | 10,975,334 | 13,394,812 |  |  |  |
| New Orleans | 339,075 | 4,087,261 | 3,496,326 | 3,129,143 | 4,475,959 | 5,165,176 | 20,353,865 | 60 |
| Washington. | 331,069 | 8,390,701 | 21,768,483 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles. | 319,198 | 31,641,921 | 31,367,995 | 23,002,885 | 21,684,100 |  |  | *.... |
| MINNEAPOLIS | 301,408 | 12,857,935 | 14,229,475 | 13,735,285 | 14,363,830 | 13,092,410 | 68,278,935 | 226 |
| Jersey City. | 267,779 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City. | 248,381 | 10,578,162 | 12,396,338 | 13,318,031 | 13,783,196 | 13,368,738 | 63,444,465 | 255 |
| Seattle. | 237,194 | 9,321,115 | 8,415,325 | 7,491,076 | 17,166,368 | 19,044,218 | 61,438,465 | 259 |
| Indianapolis.. | 233,650 | 9,361,973 | 9,150,407 | 8,349,327 | 8,197,311 | 7,156,500 | 42,215,578 | 180 |
| Providence. | 224,326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisville. | 223,928 | 4,054,180 | 6,552,770 | 6,207,972 | 3,690,442 | 3,172,311 | 23,677,675 | 106 |
| Rochester. | 218,149 | 9,642,124 | 12,035,466 | 9,389,775 | 10,082,528 | 9,272,132 | 60,422,025 | 231 |
| ST. PAUL. | 214,744 | 9,441,221 | 8,151,417 | 8,915,008 | 10,052,892 | 12,089,451 | 48,649,989 | 226 |
| Denver. | 213,381 | 2,797,148 | 5,332,675 | 6,084,260 | 11,319,935 | 11,554,983 | 37,080,001 | 174 |
| Portland. | 207,214 | 12,956,915 | 14,652,071 | 19,144,940 | 20,679,072 | 13,470,280 | 80,904,178 | 390 |
| Columbus. | 181,511 | 5,508,400 | 4,675,303 | 4,668,245 | 5,061,828 | 3,508,601 | 23,512,377 | 130 |
| Toledo. | 168,497 | 5,986,079 | 5,321,790 | 3,722,536 | 4,162,934 | 3,044,408 | 22,237,747 | 132 |
| Atlanta. | 154,839 | 5,112,944 | 9,987,444 | 6,192,461 | 7,405,939 |  |  |  |

[^1]Postoffice receipts for 1912 of nineteen cities ranging in population from 150,000 to 400,000 are shown in Table "M." Minneapolis ranks fourth in per capita postoffce receipts for 1912.

|  |  | TABLIE | L.'" |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ostoffice R | eipts, 1912 |  |  |
|  |  | Per Capita |  |  | Per Capita |
| Cincinnati | \$2,621,186.90 | \$6.76 | Providence | 889,707.84 | 3.78 |
| Newark | 1,243,487.72 | 3.36 | Louisville | 1,124,362.85 | 4.90 |
| New Orleans | 1,132,408.19 | 3.22 | Rochester | 1,170,475.56 | 5.07 |
| Washington | 1,739,664.73 | 5.07 | St. Paul | 1,278,597.77 | 5.91 |
| Los Angeles | 1,906,418.91 | 4.93 | Denver | 1,258,253.92 | 5.46 |
| Minneapolis | 2,150,195.00 | 6.67 | Portland |  |  |
| Jersey City | 599,416.34 | 2.12 | Portland | 1,108,474.46 | 4.72 |
| Kansas City | 2,496,411.24 | 9.38 | Columbus | 947,126.87 | 4.88 |
| Seattle ... | 1,049,503.72 | 3.78 | Toledo | 819,255.20 | 4.63 |
| Indianapolis | 1,386,108.39 | 5.61 | Atlanta | 1,260,195.29 | 7.45 |

Table " $N$ " following, shows the postoffice receipts of Minneapolis and St. Paul from the year 1850 to 1913.

TABLE "M."

|  | Minneapolis | St. Paul |  | Minneapolis | St.Paul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1850. |  | \$429.07 | 1905. | 1,306,676.00 | 757,416.23 |
| 1860. | \$2,122.56 | 5,254.47 | 1906. | 1,452,440.00 | 823,663.25 |
| 1870. | 20,940,83 | 23,437.66 | 1907. | 1,547,154.00 | 1,002,474.39 |
| 1880. | 81,983.43 | 102,450.22 | 1908. | 1,576,082.00 | 1,026,961.13 |
| 1890. | 346,834.53 | 317,666.97 | 1909. | 1,739,611.00 | 1,093,396.90 |
| 1900. | 695,988.31 | 521,366.56 | 1910. | 1,968,715.00 | 1,186,140.14 |
| 1902. | $811,381.69$ $961,003.65$ | 541,198.76 | 1911 | 2,000,490.00 | 1,206,334.19 |
| 1903. | 1,070,900.00 | 703,830.16 | 1912. | 2,150,195.00 | 1,278,597.77 |
| 1904. | 1,189,572.00 | 733,830.16 | 1913. | 2,395,281.08 | 1,479,751.19 |

TABLE "N."
Table " 0 " following, exhibits the growth in population of all the cities shown by the 1910 census which have a population between 150,000 and 400 ,000 , also the population of the same cities in 1900, 1890 and 1880.

| CITIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { Population } \\ 1910 \end{gathered}$ | \% of Increase | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Population } \\ 1900 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\%$ of Increase | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population } \\ & 1890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Increase } \end{gathered}$ | Population 1850 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \%of } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { 18s0-1910 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cincinnati. | 363,591 | 11.6 | 325,902 | 0.8 | 296,908 | 16.4 | 255,139 | 42.5 |
| Newark, N. J. | 347,469 | 41.2 | 246,070 | 35.3 | 181,830 | 33.2 | 136,508 | 154.5 |
| New Orleans, La | 339,075 | 81.8 | 287,104 | 18.6 | 242,039 | 12.0 | 216,090 | 58.7 |
| Washington, D. C. | 331,069 | 18.8 | 278,718 | 38.0 | 230,392 | 29.7 | 177,624 | 86.4 |
| Los Angeles.. | 319,198 | 211.5 | 102,479 | 103.4 | 50,305 | 350.6 | 11,183 | 2,758.8 |
| MINNEAPOLIS | 301,408 | 48.7 | 202,718 | 23.1 | 104,738 | 251.4 | 46,887 | 542.8 |
| Jersey City. | 267,779 | 29.7 | 206,433 | 26.6 | 163,003 | 35.0 | 120,722 | 121.8 |
| Kıasas City. | 248,381 | 51.7 | 163,752 | 23.4 | 132,710 | 137.9 | 55,785 | 345.2 |
| Seattle. | 237,194 | 194.0 | 80,671 | 88.3 | 42,837 | 1,112.5 | 3,533 | 6,613.7 |
| Indianapolis. | 233,650 | 38.1 | 169,164 | 60.4 | 105,436 | 40.5 | 75,056 | 211.3 |
| Providence. | 244,326 | 27.8 | 175,597 | 32.9 | 132,140 | 20.0 | 104,857 | 113.9 |
| Louisville. | 223,928 | 9.4 | 204,731 | 27.1 | 161,129 | 30.2 | 123,758 | 80.9 |
| Rochester. | 218,149 | 34.2 | 162,608 | 21.4 | 133,890 | 40.8 | 80,366 | 144.1 |
| ST. PAUL. | 214,744 | 31.7 | 163,065 | 22.5 | 133,156 | 221.1 | 41,473 | 417.8 |
| Denver. | 213,381 | 59.4 | 133,859 | 25.4 | 106,713 | 199.5 | 35,620 | 408.9 |
| Portland. | 207,214 | 129.2 | 90,426 | 94.9 | 46,385 | 163.9 | 17,577 | 1,078.9 |
| Columbus.. | 181,511 | 44.6 | 125,560 | 42.4 | 88,150 | 70.7 | 51,647 | 251.4 |
| Toledo. | 168,497. | 27.8 | 131,822 | 61,9 | 81,434 | 62.4 | 50,137 | 236.1 |
| Atlanta. | 154,839 | 72.3 | 80,872 | 37.1 | 63,533 | 75.2 | 37,409 | 313.8 |
| TWIN CITIES.. | 516,152 | 41.1 | 365,783 | 22.8 | 297,894 | 237.1 | 88,360 | 484.1 |

Minueapolis in 1880 ranked fourteenth in popular tion among these cities and in 1910 ranked sixth. St. Paul in 1880 ranked fiftẹenth and in 1910 ranked fourteenth.

In 1880 Minneapolis, with a population of 46,887 , ranked thirty-seventh, and St. Paul, with a population of 41,473 , ranked forty-fourth among all the cities in the United States. The census of 1910 Table " $p$ ", ' imild A Table "P," compiled from the official records in Hennepin and Ramsey counties, indicates the relative importance of Minneapolis and St. Paul as a center for conducting industrial and commercial
shows. Minneapolis as ranking eighteenth, with a popalation of 301,408 , and St. Paul, with a poprlation of 214,744 , ranked twenty-sixth among all cities.

| TABLE "O." |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneapolis- | No. of New Incorporations | Capital Stock | St Paul | No. of New Incorporations | Capital Stock |
| 1911. | 476 | \$60,804,200 | 1911. | 156 | \$13,323,000 |
| 1912. | 478 | 74,325,600 | 1912. | 138 | 18,492,000 |
| 1913. | 424 | 54,314,000 | 1913. | 107 | 75,716,550 |
| Total. | 1,378 | \$189,443,800 | Total. | 401 | \$47,531,550 |

# Minneapolis is the Jobbing Center of the Northwest 

Trafic Records Prove Minnesota Metropolis Easily Leads in Wholesale<br>Merchandising-Forwarded and Received Total of 225, Cars in 1913 to St. Paul's 156,197.

Minneapolis, always pre-eminent in manufacturing, is also the greatest jobbing center in the Northwest. As the wholesale business is the chief activity of St. Paul, many have assumed that this business exceeded in volume that of Minneapolis, but the contrary is the case.
In R. G. Dun \& Co.'s reference book for January, 1914, there are, elininating manufacturers' agents, brokers and real estate dealers, 6,025 names for Minneapolis and 3,918 for St. Paul. For purposes of comparison, let these names be divided into four classes-manufacturers, jobbers, retailers and miscellaneous. Under the head of manufacturers group all names that actually produce merchandise, from cigars to threshing machines. Under jobbers, group all that sell to others than actual consumers. Under retailers group all that sell to actual consumers. Then the fourth class will comprise all names in such lines as hotels, contractors of all kinds, warehouses, billiard rooms, etc.

## Showing of Classification

This classification will show that there are 1,004 manufacturers in Minneapolis and 396 in St. Paul; 1,129 jobbers in Minneapolis and 402 in St. Paul; 3,389 in the retail business in Minneapolis, and 2,79S in St. Paul and, under the head of miscelalneous, 503 in Minneapolis and 322 in St. Paul.

If a line be drawn from the Sault Ste. Marie canal to Los Angeles, all the towns north of that line will
be found to be nearer Mrinneapolis and St. Paul than Chicago. This would indicate the territory that is tributary to Minneapolis and St. Paul and should be one of the considerations in determining where the reserve banks should be located.
In all the territory included in this immense tract, jobbers of Minneapolis and St. Paul are doing business. As this country is developing rapidly, the jobbing business will keep pace. These facts point the natural place for the location of a reserve bank to best serve this territory.

What Railroad Figures Show
Considering the large amount of agricultural implement business and the business of lumber and lumber products for which Minneapolis has always been noted, it will be conceded that carload shipments by wholesalers from Ninneapolis are very much larger than from St. Paul.
The number of cars of merchandise only forwarded from Minneapolis in 1913 was 160,000 . The toal number forwarded and received in the year was 225,021 . The number of cars of merchandise only formarded from St. Paul last year was 85,000 , while the total number of cars forwarded and received was 156,197 . These figures prove conclusively the supremacy of Minneapolis over St. Paul in the job. bing field. The figures are taken from reports furnished by the traffic departments of the various railroads concerned.

# Lumber Industry Centralized in Minneapolis Market 

## Producing Annually 1,500,000,000 Feet of Pine, Fir and Larch-25 Mills Doing All Their Banking in Minneapolis-Pacific Coast and Spokane Mills Financed. Minneapolis Has 54 Line Yard Firms, Operating 1,294 Yards.

Lumber manufacture was one of the first industries of Minneapolis and the city's prestige has steadily grown and is greater now than ever. Instead of half a dozen mills in Minneapolis, cutting logs and producing large quantities of lumber annually, the character of the Minneapolis market has changed. There are today several hundred firms located in Minneapolis and engaged in the various branches of the lumber trade. The city not only figures predominantly in the Northwest lumber distribating trade, but it is the center to which the industry as it spreads throughout the Northwest looks for its financing.

| Minneapolis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Concerns that do their banking in Minneapolis | . |
| Large manufacturers in the United States maintaining sales offices in Minneapolis.. | All |
| Line yard companies with Minneapolis headquarters | 54 |
| umber of retail yards owned and financed | 1,294 |
|  |  |

kota and Montana are members of the Retail Lumber Dealers' Association, the headquarters of which are in Minneapolis. St. Paul has none. The insurance feature that is so important is handled entirely from Minneapolis, and Minneapolis is headquarters of the mutual company in which retail yards insure.

The St. Paul traffic statement shows receipts of 18,768 cars of lumber, with shipments of 9,354 cars. The last wholesale lumber firm moved from St. Paul to Minneapolis about three years ago. The St. Paul lumber statement is made up from business originating outside.
The standing of the two cities in this relation is shown in this comparison:

St. Paul

Concerns that do their banking in St. Paul.. 1 Large manufacturers in United States maintaining sales offices in St. Paul............. None
Line yard companies with St. Paul headquarters
Number of retail yards owned and financed. 50 Post, pole and cedar companies financed.... None

A great deal of the lumber is cut at points in Northwestern Montana, Idaho and Washington, and in being brought in over the Northern Pacific Railway and Great Northern Railway, is billed through to points east of Minneapolis and St. Paul, and naturally would be billed via the Minnesota Transfer for switching to the eastern line. For instance, a car of lumber billed from Eureka, Mont., on 'the Great Northern Railway, to Aurora, Ill., would be billed in care of the Ohicago, Burlington \& Quincy Railway at Minnesota Transfer. St. Paul's traffic statement counts as receipts the lumber received on through billing at Minnesota Transfer. To this St. Paul is not entitled, as practically all this business is done by lumber companies whose offices are in Minneapolis.

## Implement Trade of Minneapolis is $\$ 40,000,000$

Factories, 27; Wholesalers, 40; Factory Agencies, 14. Annual Shipments of Farm Implements, Machinery, Wagons, Vehicles and Binding Twine, 298,360 Tons, or 24,861 Carloads.

Minneapolis is predominant in the business of supplying the Northwest with its needs in agricultural implements and machinery, and this tonnage, together with wagons, vehicles and binding twine sold by Minneapolis wholesalers and manufacturers, on the basis of twelve-ton carlots, which is considered by traffic authorities a fair average for weight, made a total in 1913, of 298,360 tons, or 24,861 carloads.
The annual sales of the Minneapolis firms engaged in the business amounts to $\$ 40,000,000$. This is a conservative statement, and if anything is an un'derestimate.
Minneapolis is so generally recognized as the essential point from which the Northwest trade field must be carried on that there are 81 firms in the business here. All the country that lies north and west and a considerable portion in an area all the Northwest is covered by the trade. The business enters into the industrial activities of the city through the 27 factories that are located here. These are the plants:
American Graln Separator

## Co.

Bull Tractor Co.
Cleland Manufacturing Co. Dtamond Iron Works.
Dodson Fisher, Brockmann Co.
Ollde Road Machinery Co.
Howell, R. r., \& Co.
Imperlal Machinery Co.
Keller Manufacturing Co.
Kinnard-Halnes Co.
Lenhart Wagon Co.
Lose Saddlery Co.
MartIn Manufacturing Co.
Minneapolis Separator Co.
Minneapolis Steel \& Ma.

Minneapolis Threshing Machlne Co.
minnesota Rubber co.
Monttor Drill Co.
Ney Manufacturing $\mathbf{C o}$.
Nott W. S., Co.
Owens, J. L., Co.
Putfer-Hubbard Manufacturing co.
Russell Grader Manutacturing co.
Strite Governer Pulley Co.
Townsley Manufacturing Co., M.
Twin City Separator Co.
Emerson-Brantingbam Co., Big Four Tractor. chinery co.
There are 40 wholesalers located in Minneapolis. These are distributing houses for machinery and implements manufactured in the Mississippi Valley factories and elsewhere. Through these firms Minneapolis is brought into touch with the agricultural country in intimate degree. These are the firms located in Minneapolis that are :engaged in the Wholesale trade:

[^2]Case Plow Works J. I.
Challenge Co.
Clark \& Son, Geo. A. Crane Co.
Dean Co., A. J.
Deere \& Webber Co.
Downes Co., P. J.
Emerson-Brantingham Implement Co.
Fairbanks, Morse \& Co.
Hart-Parr Co.
Herschel-Roth Manufacturing $\mathbf{C o}$.
Huber Manufacturing Co.
Huber Bros. Manufacturing Co.
Hudson \& Thurber Co.
Iuternational Harvester Co. of America.
La Crosse Implement Co.
Lindsay Bros.

Minneapolis Iron Store Co. Minnesota Moline Plow Co. Nichols \& Shepard Co.
Northern Rock Island Plow Co.
Northwestern Wind Engine Co.
Parlin \& Orendorif Plow Co. of Minneapolis.
Planter Rubber Co.
Port Huron Machinery Co. Power Equipment Co.
Rosenthal Corn Husker Co.
Rumely Co., M.
Studebaker Bros. Co. of Minnesota.
Waterbury Implement \& Storage Co.
Williams Hardware Co.
Wood Bros. Thresher Co.
Wagner-Langemo Co.

The third division of the business is made up of factories located elsewhere that maintain selling offices and carry transfer stocks here. They are:

Clapperton, J. H.
Dodgo Maqufacturing Co.
Fuller \& Johnson Manufacturing Co.
Hayes Pump \& Planter Co.
Hooven \& Allison Co.
lowa Dairy Supply Co.
Madison Plow Co.
Manson-Campbell Co. Maytag Co., The.
Sharples Separator Co. Stoughton Wagon Co. Thomas Manufacturing Co. Wisconsin Carrlage Co. Janesville Manufacturing Co.

There are no comparisons to be made with St. Paul in this connection. No business of this nature in volume sufficient to warrant any consideration is done in St. Paul. Ninneapolis is the farm machinery and implement center.

There is a feature about the business that is like that in the lumber trade, in that there is a quantity of agricultural machinery and implement shipments that annually goes forward from factories located eastward or southward, to points in North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana or the farther west, that in transit passes through the Mincresota Transfer, located between Minneapolis and St. Paul, but within the corporate limits of St. Paul, that appears in the figures that show the annual traffic of that city.

Practically all the agricultural implement business of the entire Northwest is financed from Minneapolis, except in the case where shipments are made from eastern factories direct.

# Minneapolis' Fruit and Produce Trade is Extensive 

## Thade Volume in the City Itself Passed $\$ 35,000,000$ in 1913 -Total in Field Served from Ontario to Montana Runs Into Huge Figures- <br> Branch Houses in 28 Places.

In the territory from eastern Ontario to Montana and south to northern Iowa and Nebraska, Minneapolis wholesale fruit and produce firms have established and are maintaining thirty-three branch or associate houses in twenty-eight cities, doing a volume of business that mounts annually to many millions. This business is financed almost entirely through Minneapolis and it recognizes Minneapolis as its center of operation. It reaches out beyond the district commonly known as the Northwest and includes portions of northern Michigan and southern Ontario in its scope.
These branch or associate houses are located in the following cities, a figure after a name indicating the number of houses in that city, when more than one:

| Aberdeen, S. D. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Albert Lea, Minn. | Mankato, Minn. (2) |
| Brainerd, Minn. | Miles Cithy, Mont. |
| Bismarck, N. D. | Oelvein, Iowa. |
| Bemidji, Minn. | Port Arthur, Ont. |
| Duluth, Minn. (3) | Pipestone, Minn. |
| Des Moines, Iowa. | Rochester, Minn. |
| Fort William, Ontario. | St. Paul, Minn. (3) |
| Fergus Falls, Minn. | Sault Ste. Marie, Ont. |
| Fort Dodge, Iowa. | Superior, Wis. |
| LincoIn, Neb. | Sault Ste. Marie, Mich. |
| Minot, N. D. | St. Cloud, Minn. |
| Mason City, Iowa. | Virginia, Minn. |
| Moberly, Mo. | Watertown, S. D. |

## Volume of Business in Minneapolis

In Minneapolis itself the volume of business in the wholesale produce and fruit line in 1913 is estimated to have passed $\$ 35,000,000$. Figures obtained from records of forty-eight houses gave a total of $\$ 31,224,060.19$ for the year's business. To this it is fair to add $\$ 5,000,000$ as an estimate from houses from which figures could not be obtained in time for this computation. This estimated total of $\$ 36,224,090.19$ does not cover the poultry, butter, egg and cheese business done by the meat packers; it does not cover carlot shipments of the Minneapolis Gardeners' Association which were in excess of 4,000 cars last year.

Minneapolis has a regular storage capacity for fruit and produce of 1,281 cars. This is to be increased this spring by 500 cars by construction now under way. It carried last year in storage a total of 3,021 cars. , The 1913 distribution was as follows:

Butter, 30,311 packages or 2,234,217 pounds, having a cost value of $\$ 558,554.2$.

Eggs, 136,581 cases, of a cost value of $\$ 779,511.70$.
Poultry, 313,213 pounds, of a cost value of $\$ 46$, 981.95.

Cheese, 29,754 packages or $1,811,685$ pounds, of a cost value of $\$ 36,232.70$.
Apples, 61,257 barrels, 87,696 boxes.
Meats, 456,102 pounds.

## Potato Business from 126 Stations

Regular carlot dealers in potatoes shipped out 15,288 cars last year, totaling $7,308,400$ bushels, and in excess of 300 cars of onions and cabbages. In the following 126 places, buying stations and ware. houses are maintained by one or more dealers, with banking accounts in local banks of a $\$ 200$ minimum. Many of the more prominent stations are covered by three to five houses.
Anoka, Minn. Albertville, Minn. Amherst, Wis. Aldrich, Minn. Amberg, Wis. Athelstine, Minn.
Askov, Minn.
Bethel, Minn.
Braham, Minn.
Barnesville, Minn.
Becker, Minn. Brickton, Minn. Browerville, Minn. Brainerd, Minn. Bloomer, Wis. Boyceville, Wis. Big Lake, Minn. Barnham, Minn. Cambridge, Minn. Clear Lake, Minn.

Chisago City, Minn.
Clarissa, Minn.
Custer, Wis.
Colfáx, Wis.
Crivitz, Wis.
Clayton, Wis.
Canton, Wis.
Cedar, Minn.
Detroit, Minn.
Dale, Wis.
Dancy, Wis.
Dayton, Minn.
Deer Creek, Minn.
Elk River, Minn.
Eagle Bend, Minn.
Elk Mound, Wis.
Ellis Junction, Wis.
Enfield, Minn.
Earl, Wis.
Forest Lake, Minn.

| Foreston, Minn. | Pelican Rapids, Minn. | Park Rapidi, Mins. | St. Charles, Minn. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Foley, Minn. | Pequot, Minn. | Pine River, Minn. | Stillwater, Minn. |
| Felton, Minn. | Pound, Wis. | Poskin Lake, Wis. | Sauk Rapids, Minn. |
| Forada, Minn. | Long Prairie, Minn. | Pillager, Minn. | Stacy, Minn. |
| Frederic, Wis. | Long Siding, Minn. | Perham, MLinn. | Trego, Wis. |
| Granby, Minn. | Lindstrom, Minu. | Rush City, Minn. | Turtle Lake, Wis. |
| Glyndon, Minn. | Lake Elmo, Minn. | Rock Creek, Minn. | Ulen, Minn. |
| Grantsburg, Wis. | Lovells, Minn. | Rogers, Minn. | Verndale, Minn. |
| Glenmood City, MIinn. | Marinette, Wis. | Rosemount, Minn. | Wyoming, Minn. |
| Grasston, Minn. | Monong, Wis. | Rices, Minn. | Wolverton, Minn. |
| Harris, Minn. | Markville, Minn. | Royalton, Minn. | Withrow, Minn. |
| Henrietta, Minn. | Milnor, N. D. | Rice Lake, Wis. | Wadena, Minn. |
| Hawley, Minn. | Milaca, MLinn. | Sauk Centre, Minn. | Willow River, Minn. |
| Hammel, N. D. | North Branch, Minn. | Shafer, Minn. | Webster, Wis. |
| Hugo, Minn. | Nielsville, Minn. | St. Cloud, Minn. | Wausaukee, Wis. |
| Isanti, Minn. | New Muburn, Wis. | Sebelra, Minn. | Weyerhauser, Wis. |
| Junction City, Wis. | New Brighton, Minn. | Staples, Minn. | Wheeler, Wis. |
| Little Falls, Minn. | Osseo, Minn. | Stevens Moint, Wis. | Wilson, Minn. |
| Lyle, Minn. | Ogilvie, Minn. | Shell Lake, Wis. | Wonewoe, Wis. |
| Luck, Wis. | Princeton, Minn. | Scandia, Minn. | Zimmerman, Minn. |

## Improvements Keep Pace With Growth in Population

## Expenditures in 1913 for Permanent City Work Were $\$ 3,500,000-N e t$ Bonded Tindebtedness is Only 6.8 Per Cent of 10 Per Cent Limit of Assessment Valuation Prescribed By Law-Comparison With St. Paul.

To keep pace with the growth of Minneapolis in population, industrially and commercially large expenditures have been necessary in the past few years to provide for permanent city improvements, such as bridges, pavement, sewer, water, sidewalks, etc. The expenditure up to 1913 has been $\$ 48$,000,000 on corporate property, and during the year 1913 practically $\$ 3,500,000$ was spent on permanent improvements. The following table shows corporate property and value in 1900 and 1912:

| Corporate Property (Cost) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1900 | 1912 |
| School sites and buildings | \$2,940,100 | \$6,584,400 |
| Parks and parkways. | 4,587,300 | 6,895,900 |
| Public library | 351,600 | 491,800 |
| Bridges | 1,447,500 | 2,159,200 |
| Water works | 4,370,800 | 8,359,400 |
| Sewer system | 4,491,600 | 8,362,600 |
| Curb and gutters. | 721,900 | 1,405,800 |
| Paving | 1,761,800 | 5,756,000 |
| All other | 2,574,400 | 7,977,500 |
|  | \$23,247,000 | \$47,992,600 |

Notwithstanding such heavy expenditures, the net bonded indebteduess of the city amounts to only 6.8 per cent of the 10 per cent limit of assessed valuation allowed by law. With $\$ 4,000,000$ in the sinking fand and the accretions thereto from the annual levy of one mill for this fund, all bonds will be pro. vided for at maturity.

## Three Years' Improvements Compared

Actual work on permanent improvements during the years 1910, 1911 and 1912 in Minneapolis compared with St. Paul is exhibited in the following table:
Assessed valnation:

|  | St. Paul | Minneapolis |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1910 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\$ 114,184,375$ | $\$ 197,036,479$ |
| $1911 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $125,281,180$ | $198,910,208$ |
| $1912 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $126,286,238$ | $213,398,439$ |
| Paving; total num- |  |  |
| ber of miles at |  |  |
| close of: |  |  |
| $1910 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 48 | 70 |
| $1911 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 49 | 80 |
| $1912 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 46 | 88 |

Sewers, total number of miles at close of:

| $1910 \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 292 | 276 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1911 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 305 | 299 |
| $1912 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 318 | 323 |

Water mains, total
number of miles
at close of:
1910............ 342 430
1911............ 350

468
1912.............. 364

Sidewallss, total number of miles at close of:
1910.............. 533

755
1911.............. 549
1912.............. 564

759
788
Miles of street car railway tracks:
1910
143
145
1911
144
1912
146
162
Number of sewer connections made each year:

| $1910 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,816 | 2,508 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1911 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,723 | 2,418 |
| $1912 \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1,735 | 2,530 |

Number of water connections made each year:
1910
1,832
3,613
1911
1,657
3,039
1912.

1,573
Number of street lights maintained daring 1912:
Electric arc lamps
Ornamental cluster posts

1,150
2,907

Gas lamps
Gasoline
$\begin{array}{r}321 \\ 4,604 \\ 1,287 \\ \hline 7,362\end{array}$


Total


CHART I.
Geographical Representation of Inter-Banking Relations of Minneapolis and Outside Points.
Chart I shows by a map-distribution of dots, the geographical location of 3,329 Northwestern banks carrying reserve and exchange accounts with the banks of Minneapolis. The location of these associated banks clearly indicates the sphere of financial influence of Minneapolis; namely, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Washington and parts of Wisconsin, Iowa and Idaho.

The books of Minneapolis banks as of January 15th, 1914, showed 1,416 balances carried on account of Minnesota correspondents, 925 balances on account of North Dakota banks, 343 South Dakota accounts, 161 Montana accounts, 214 Wisconsin accounts, 118 Iowa accounts, 32 Washington accounts and 120 accounts in other states.


Development of Banking Power of Minneapolis and St. Paul as Indicated by Growth of Capital and Surplus of National Banks, 1872-1913.
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Banking Power of Minneapolis and St. Paul Contrasted. Growth of Capital and Surplus, 1904 to 1913.
Chart III represents the surpassing growth of Minneapolis over St. Paul in Banking Power as indicated by the accumulation of Bank Capital and Surplus.

Since 1904 , all banks of St. Paul have increased their capital and surplus from about $\$ 6,000,000$ to $\$ 9,655,000$, or 60 per cent.
minneapolis banks entered the period with eight and a half millions of primary funds, which has since grown to $000,00-$ an increase for ten years of about 100 per cent.
This amount represents a net banking power 70 per cent greater than that of St. Paul, and a rate of growth for the decade 67 per cent greater than that of $\mathbf{S t}$. Paul.


Growth of Banking Activities of Minneapolis and St. Paul, as Indicated by Individual Deposits and Bank Balances in National Banks, 1872-1913. Chart IV graphically represents the development oi
neapolis and St. Paul.

 per centin thirteen years.


Relative Banking Activities of Minneapolis and St. Paul as Indicated by Amount and Growth of Total Deposits in All Banks, 1904-1913.
Chart V, representing total bank deposits of Minneapolis and St. Paul, respectively, indicates the relative volume and growth of banking activities in the two cities from 1904 to 1913.

During the ten-year period, St. Paul deposits increased from about $\$ 30,000,000$ to $\$ 52,000,000$ (73 per cent).
Minneapolis beginning the period with $\$ 48,000,000$ ( 57 per cent) excess over St. Paul, now holds $\$ 101,500,000$ deposits. This shows an increase for the period of 112 pen cent, or a rate of growth 54 per cent faster than that of St. Paul.

Upon this basis the relative status of Minneapolis banks to that of St. Paul banks is as 196 to 100.

## Chart VI



Historical Representation of Development of Banking Activities, National Banks, Minneapolis and St. Paul.
Chart E represents by historical curves the growth of individual deposits in national banks, and of bank balances carried for outside banks by the national banks of Minneapolis and St. Paul, respectively, during the forty-three years, ending 1913.
1890 . The financial superiority of St. Paul over Minneapolis during the early part of the period is evidenced both in the matter of individual deposits and bank balances, prior to 90. At that time the banking connections of Minneapolis became so extensive as to cause balances of outside banks carried in that city to exceed those handled in St. Paul.

The individual deposits of Minneapolis outgrew those of St. Paul in 1906, and since that time have increased by $\$ 45,000,000$, while the increase for St. Paul banks is somewhat less than $\$ 35,000,000$.
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Chart VIII


Composite and Comparative Development of Minneapolis and St. Paul as Indicated by Growth of Capital and Surplus of National Banks, 1872-íj13.
Chart VIII compares and summarizes the accumulation of capital and surplus by the national banks of Minneapolis and St. Paul for forty-two years ending 1913 .
The development of banking power during this' period is especially significant in two respects, namely, the change in relative importance as between St. Paul and Minneapolis since 1892 , and the rapid rate of accumulation in the years following 1902

A net increase of over 160 per cent is shown for the last eleven years, of which 104 per cent-about $\$ 9,000,000$ is properiy accredited to Minneapolis. In other words, in eleven years the national banks of Minneapolis have added to their capital and surplus an amount almost equal to the present total capital and surplus of the national banks of St. Paul

Chart IX


Composite and Comparative Developments of Banking Activities of Minneapolis and St. Paul, as Indicated by Growth of Individual Deposits and Bank Balances Held by National Banks, 1872-1913.
Chart IX compares and summarizes individual deposits and bank balances held by national banks of Minneapolis and St. Paul, by years from 1872 to 1913.
The financial activities of St. Paul in earlier years are evidenced by a preponderance of deposits and balances indicated below the index line until 1899 is reached. In that year, an extension of Minneapolis banking activities is indicated by a volume of bank balances exceeding that of St. Paul, and in 1907 individual deposits in Minneapolis na-
 For the year just closed, individual deposits and bank balances of Minneapolis national banks stand at $\$ 45,000,000$ and $\$ 35,000,000$ respectively, as compared with $\$ 35,000,000$ and $\$ 17,000,000$ for St. Paul. Expressed in percentage growth since 1900 , when the two cities were practically equal as to combined deposits of individual banks, Minneapolis increased by almost 400 per cent and St. Paul by a little more than 200 per cent. Minneapolis has increased infing as a criterion of the outside banking relations of the two


Chart X


Comparative Representation of Financial and Commercial Activities of Nine Western and Southern Cities Indicated by Bank Clearings for 1913.
Chart $X$ affords a comparative representation of the bank clearings of nine foremost Western and Southern cites: Kansas City, San Francisco, Minneapolis, St. Paul, New Orleans, Atlanta, Denver and Spokane.
 fact that country collections are included in Kansas City clearings, while they are not so included in the clearings of other cities.

 In so far as geographic
balf times those of St. Paul.

## Chart XI



The Course of Bank Clearings by Years: Minne apolis and St. Paul, 1884 to 1913.
Chart XI represents the relative development of financial and commercial activities in the cities of Minneapolis and St. Paul as indicated by the curves of respective bank clearings during the period of thirty years, each beginning in 1884 with annual clearings amounting to about $\$ 100$,000,000 .

The growth of bank clearings has advanced to $\$ 530,500,000$ for St. Paul and to $\$ 1,312,500,000$ for Minneapolis, making a total of $\$ 1,843$,-
White it is to be observed that the bank clearings of St. Paul have grown steadily throughout the period, it is noteworthy that the financial activities of Minneapolis, as indicated by the curve of clearings, has advanced much more rapidly since 1894 and, during the last three years the increase has approximated 26 per cent, while $\mathbf{S t}$. Paul has practically remained at a standstill.


Comparison of Financlal Activities of Nine Western and Southern Cities as Indicated by Annual Course of Bank Clearings During Last Five Years. The Course of Clearings for Erach Year is Shown in Its Percentage Relation to the Previous Year.
Chart XII contrasts the annual movement of Bank Clearings for five years in each of the nine important cities of the south and west: Minneapolis, San Francisco, Kansas City, Seattle, New Orleans, Spokane, St. Paul and Denver, and Minneapolis and St. Paul combined.

Bank clearings may properly be accepted as a significant criterion of current business activities and especially of banking operations.

It should be observed, also, that in the cities here shown bank clearings are not inflated by speculative stock market transactions as in certain eastern cities.

Excepting San Francisco, Minneapolis makes by far the best showing of the group, and Minneapolis and St. Paul averaged together excel all individual cities throughout the period, excepting San Francisco and Minneapolis.

The apparent superiority of San Francisco is traceable to the prosperity of that city, probably due to reconstruction activities continuing during the year 1911, when all other localities, save New Orleans, experi enced a severe depression in business. A significant feature of the San Francisco curve resides in the 10 per cent decline for the year 1913.

During this last year, only three of the nine cities sustained their own rate of advance evidenced in 1912, viz.: Minneapolis, Kansas City and Seattle, having respective growths of 11 per cent, 5 per cent and 10 per cent. However, the relative decline of $S$. Paul is more than compensated for by the advance of Minneapolis, cent However, the relative decline of St. Paul 43 mer cent.

Incidentally, the tendency, otherwise apparent, of financial activities of the Northwest is centralize in Minneapolis rather than in St. Paul, is here shown.

The relatively negative showing of Denver as to growth of financial activities, revealed by bank clearings, affords striking comparison with all other centers.

Because of the fact that country collections are included in Kansas City clearings and are not so included in the clearings of other cities, the relative showing of Kansas City is properly subject to a measure of discount.
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Relative Bank Clearings of Nine Leading Western and Southern Cities. Five Years by Index Numbers.
Chart XIII supplements and "checks" the accuracy of Chart XII in representing the course of business activities centering in the more important cities of the south and west, excepting St. Louis. St. Louis is excepted from the group because of influences affecting clearings arising from the financial relations of St. Louis as a central reserve city, and not necessarily significant of natural and indigenous commercial attributes. In this chart the movement for each year is expressed in percentage terms of their respective clearings for 1909.

The favorable position of Minneapolis and San Francisco, as shown by Chart XII, is here substantiated, and the superior acceleration of Minneapolis clearings in comparison with each member of the group for the last two years is apparent.


Geographical Distribution of Farm Crop Values.
Chart XIV represents the
igitizedtisisfealse reprerved that the states whose commercial and financial activities focus upon Minneapolis are constituted of large sized farms, the main products of which seek a cash market.



Wheat Producing Areas of the United States.
Chart XV represents graphically the predominance of the Northwestern States in the production of the principal food crop of the nation, as indicated by the United States census figures.

The movement of the wheat rea during recent years indicates a rapid advance in the volume of wheat produced in Canadian districts immediately north of Minnesota and North Dakota. Economically speaking, this section of Canada will probably become and remain tributary to the wheat markets of Minneapolis.

## Chart XVI



Estry. (TO follow gage 734) No. 3.
The Barley Producing Area of the United States.
Chart XVI shows that, excepting Southeast Wisconsin, almost the total barley crop of the United States is grown within the area financially and commercially associated with Minneapolis markets.

Chart XVII
Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

4835. (Te follow pare 734.) No. 10.

CHART XVII.
The Flax Producing Ares of the United Statem.
Chart XVII shows that almost the entire flax crop of the nation is grown within the three atates: Minnesota, North and South Dakota.


The Stock-Food Producing Area of the United States.
Chart XVIII. It appears from Chart XVIII that the country immediately surrounding Minneapolis, and extending westward to the mountains, plays an important role in the production of crops essential to the development of dairying and other industries dependent upon the raising of live stock The dairy products of Minnesota and Wisconsin now exceed in value and volume those of any other district, and the rate of development is so rapid as to make it a significant consideration in matters pertaining to the organization of financial and commercial institutions.

## Chart XIX



The Farm Wage Bill.
Chart XIX indicates the relatively large payment of wages for farm labor. This becomes especially significant as explained on page - when taken in connection with the seasonal demand for labor and the relatively short period of employment, the nature of crops produced, and the relatively high wage
paid


Annual Volume of Six Main Farm Crops, Oats, Wheat, Corn; Barley, Potatoes and Rye, in Four States: Minnesota,
North Dakota, South Dakota and Montana, 1900-1912.
Chart XX reveals an advance in volume of the six main crops of the four states commercially tributary to the Minneapolis market from 240,000,000 bushels in 1900, to $900,000,000$ bushels in 1912.

The production of wheat, the main staple product of this region, shows a steady increase during the period. The rapid increase of oats is noteworthy, as is also the growing importance of the corn crop.

## Chart XXI



Relative Advance of Agricultural Production in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Montana, Compared with Agricultural Production in the United States, and with Growth of Population, During a Period of Thirty-three Years.
Clart XXI graphically contrasts the increase in the volume of farm crops of the states of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Montana, with the contemporary production of like graphically contrasts the increase in the volume of farm crops of the United States considered as a whole, and with the growth of population dependent upon the food resources of the country Takigg like crops in the United States considered as a whole, and with the growth of poptlation deperse sixty points, approximately 60 per cent. During the same period farm
 This showing is particularly significant as a criterion of the growing importance of the Northwest as a surplus-food producing area and, taken in connection with the derelopment of storage, milling and commercial facilities in Minneapolis, becomes equally significant of the importance of that city as a national financial center.


Receipts of All Grains, Northern and Southern Markets Compared.
Chart XXII contrasts the development of main lines of agricultural production in four states, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Montana, tributary to Minneapolis markets with combined contemporary production of five states, Missouri, Nebraska, Kansas, Colorado and Oklahoma, tributary to Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis.

Circle A shows by black and crossed-hatched segments the relative importance of the Northern and Southern groups of states, respectively, for 1900 . It is observed that the showing of the North against the South is as $6 t$ per cent is to 23 per cent of the total United States crop.

Circle B shows a marked change in relative importance of North and South for 1912, the respective shares in the national product being 15 per cent and 19 per cent. The absolute crop increases are shown by the larger areas represented in Circle B.

If the corparison be made in terms of the total of principal agricultural products west of the Mississippi River, the showing of the North against the South is as 121 per cent to 441 per cent for 1900, indicated in circle C; and, for 1912, 27 per cent for the North as against 241 per cent for the South.

If, now, attention be directed to the representation of respective rates of increase sustained by the Northern and Southern states and by the entire United States, as shown in the lower lefthand section of the chart, it appears that the crop of 1912 exceeded that of 1900 by 591 per cent
for the United States, 32 per cent for the Southern states and 2724 per cent for the Northern states.

If again, consideration be given to the relative volume of principal farm crops, excluding corn; the above mentioned Northern states produced 11 per cent of the United States total in 1900, as against 26 per cent for the South, and, in 1912, four Northern states produced 26 per cent of the total crop as against 15 per cent grown by the five states lying to the south.
Of the crop west of the Mississippi, again excluding corn, the Northern states produced in 190021 per cent as against 35 per cent for the Southern group, and, in 1912, 42 per cent as contrasted with $24 \downarrow$ per cent.

Excluding corn, the relative increase in farm output for the entire United States, 1912 over 1900, was 292 per cent; for the South, 47 per cent; and for Minnesota, the Dakotas and Montana combined, 316 per cent.

In matters of financial moment there are three reasons why corn should be given less weight in the relative consideration of crop values than may properly be assigned to other grains; namely, the fact of large amounts being fed on the farm, the relatively simple marketing process requiring less capital and credit, and the fact that corn moves slowly being usually financed on six months paper instead of short paper as are other crops.

## Chart ${ }^{\text {B }}$ XXIIII



Receipts of Grains at Five Important Grain Markets, 1900-1906-1912.
See Grain Exhibits L and B
Chart XXIII illustrates the relative importance of the grain trade of the Minneapolis district contrasted with that of the entire area tributary to Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis. It should be understood also that in the grain trade, Duluth and Minneapolis constitute a market unit; the Duluth transactions, being of the nature of City and St. Louis. It should be understood also that in the grain trade, Duluth and Min

That the actual grain handied in the Minnesota markets constituted 58 per cent of the total in 1900,54 per cent in 1906, and 62 per cent in 1902 , is significant. St. Paul is not 2 grain market.

## Chart XXIV



Magnitude of Minnesota Grain and Milling Activities Contrasted with Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis.
Chart XXIV graphically contrasts Minnesota elevator and milling activities with those of Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis. Obviously the day of rivalry among these, the largest cereal centers of the world, has passed.
At the present time the elevator capacity of Minneapolis and the Lake Superior terminals is over 150 per cent greater than the combined carrying power of Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis.

During last year (1913) the actual maximum burden of grain carried in terminal storage in Minnesota and financed in Minneapolis was 180 per cent more than the combined amounts for Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis. And an amount of grain was carried by country elevators in Minnesota and the Dakotas over half as great as the contents of the terminal bins-constituting a total of over $75,000,000$ bushels.

The milling capacity of Minneapolis and the lake port is 235 per cent greater than the combined capacity of Omaha, Kansas City and St. Louis; and the country mills of Minnesota have a combined capacity as great as that of Minneapolis.

Chart XXV
 apolis, amounting for the year ending $D$
ber and December, 1913, not available).
 "in" and "out" merchandise, for St. Sepresenting merchandise only, shows 225,021 cars "in" and "out" for Minn

Chart XXVI


See Table 17

## Classified Freight Traffic of Minneapolis and St Paul

Chart XXVI supplements Chart XXV by a subdivision of traffic by cities into 14 classes, showing the superiority of Minneapolis over St. Paul in the following ten classes: Agricultural implements and machinery; grain and seeds; linseed oil; cement and brick; coal; flour; millstuff; merchandise; oil-cake and meal and miscellancous. St. Paul excells in the handing of hay, lumber, live stock and meats.
(Data compiled from official weekly reports of all roads entering Minneapolis and St. Paul for Minncapolis Civic and Commerce Association.)

Chart XXVII


See Table 9

Chart XXVII represents the seasonal fluctuations of freight movement as evidenced by monthly records throughout a period of six years.
By averaging, "cars received" during the six Januaries, the six Februaries, and succeeding months pas a pas; by treating "cars forwarded" in like man-
年, by again taking the total of the average "in and out" movement by mo nths, we have significant criteria of normal seasonal fluctuations.


 A minor peak, involving
It is probable that in h
region is equally probable.

Chart XXVIII
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## Chart XXIX



Minnesota and Montana.


 Digitized forfance of these states, but as a forecast of future mining activities and mineral values to be handled and financed in the Northwest.

## Chart XXX



## Relative Mineral Production of Minnesota and Montana.

Chart XXX represents the relative position of Minnesota and Montana in the production of mineral values. Minnesota alone, in the $\$ 57,000,000$ of ore values exceeds the value of metal products in any other state, except Michigan. The copper output of Montana places that state third in the list of all metal producing states. The mineral values produced in 1913 by Minnesota, Montana, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Idaho (states lying within the proposed Northwestern Reserve Bank district), exceed the combined mineral values produced by California, Colorado, Missouri, Nevada and Utah.


The Northern Railway Net.
 Chart XXXI. The Minnesota district is not lacking in mechanical facilities of trade; the growth
is such as to constitute Minneapolis the natural focus of transportation activities to the Northwest.


Comparative Transportation Facilities, Minneapolis and Chicago.
 and distance a significant factor in the location of banking facilities.

## Chart XXXIII

See Table 10

## Chart XXXIV

## See Table 11



The Development of Foreign Trade.
Chart XXXIII represents the growth of exports and imports in Minnesota, Dakota and Montana districts for a period of eleven years. The increase in exports from $\$ 15,000,000$ to $\$ 62,000,000$ during this period is significant of a rapid development in foreign trade. The industrial activities contributing to this foreign trade constitute one of the most important economic units involved in the production and distribution of food products, and the conduct of this business foreign trade constitute one of the most important economic units involved in the production and distribution of
consequently requires the development of commercial and financial agencies of a somewhat specialized nature.

The processes of finance which have developed spontaneously in connection with the foreign trade in flour and grain, are strikingly similar to the operations involved in the European discount system, and are quite different from financial methods in customary use in other sections of this country.

During the last four years the custom house receipts for the Minnesota customs district accredit the following proportions to Minneapolis business:
1910-50.7 per cent. 1911-62.1 per cent. 1912-70.9 per cent. 1913-62.1 per cent.

## Chart XXXV



Chart XXXVI



Development of Banking in Minneapolis, 1904-1913.

TABLE 1.
Composite and Comparative Statement of Capital and Surplus, National Banks of Minneapolis and st. Paul. 1872-1913.

| YEAR | Minneapolis |  |  | ST. PAUL |  |  | MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL Combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Capital | Surplus | Total | Capital | Surplus | Total | Capital | Surplus | Total |
| 1872 | \$542,000 | \$41,585 | \$583,585 | \$1,077,900 | \$249,021 | \$1,326,921 | \$1,619,900 | \$290,606 | \$1,910,506 |
| 1873 | 550,000 | 49,037 | 699,037 | 1,800,000 | 306,069 | 2,106,069 | 2,350,000 | 355,106 | 2,705,106 |
| 1874 | 650,000 | 88,956 | 748,956 | 1,800,000 | 333,000 | 2,133,000 | 2,450,000 | 431,956 | 2,881,956 |
| 1875 | 750,000 | 111,436 | 861,426 | 1,800,000 | 366,000 | 2,166,000 | 2,550,000 | 477,426 | 3,027,426 |
| 1878 | 850,000 | 125,182 | 076,182 | 1,700,000 | 368,000 | 2,068,000 | 2,500,000 | 493,182 | 3,043,182 |
| 1877 | 950,000 | 92,967 | 1,042,967 | 1,700,000 | 344,000 | 2,044,000 | 2,650,000 | 436,967 | 3,086,967 |
| 1878 | 1,250,000 | 100,446 | 1,350,446 | 1,700,000 | 349,500 | 2,049,500 | 2,950,000 | 449,946 | 3,399,946 |
| 1879 | 1,250,000 | 112,000 | 1,362,000 | 1,700,000 | 355,000 | 2,055,000 | 2,950,000 | 467,000 | 3,417,000 |
| 1880 | 1,250,000 | 105,688 | 1,365,688 | 2,200,000 | 505,000 | 2,705,000 | 3,450,000 | 610,588 | 4,060,588 |
| 1881 | 1,100,000 | 71,588 | 1,171,568 | 2,200,000 | 575,000 | 2,775,000 | 3,300,000 | 646,558 | 3,946,558 |
| 1882 | 1,600,000 | 115,000 | 1,715,000 | 2,200,000 | 635,000 | 2,835,000 | 3,800,000 | 750,000 | 4,550,000 |
| 1883 | 1,850,000 | 172,500 | 2,022,500 | 4,700,000 | 805,000 | 5,505,000 | 6,550,000 | 977,500 | 7,527,500 |
| 1884 | 3,197,700 | - 240,000 | 3,437,700 | 5,200,000 | 1,010,000 | 6,210,000 | $8,397,700$ | 1,250,000 | 9,647,700 |
| 1885 | 3,100,000 | 265,000 | 3,365,000 | 5,200,000 | 1,010,000 | 6,210,000 | $8,300,000$ | 1,275,000 | 9,575,000 |
| 1888 | 3,500,000 | 250,100 | 3,780,100 | 5,700,000 | 1,128,000 | 6,828,000 | 9,200,000 | 1,408,100 | 10,608,100 |
| 1887 | 3,700,000 | 356,500 | 4,056,500 | 5,700,000 | 1,161,000 | 6,861,000 | 9,400,000 | 1,517,500 | 10,917,500 |
| 1888 | 4,250,000 | 496,000 | 4,746,000 | 5,200,000 | 1,208,500 | 6,408,500 | 9,450,000 | 1,704,500 | 11,154,500 |
| 1880 | 4,500,000 | 524,000 | 6,024,000 | 5,200,000 | 1,247,000 | 6,447,000 | 9,700,000 | 1,871,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 11,471,000 \\ & 1,50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 1890 | 4,500,000 | 602,000 | 5,102,000 | 5,200,000 | 1,290,000 | 6,490,000 | 9,700,000 | 1,892,000 | 11,592,000 |
| 1891 | 4,840,000 | 600,000 | 5,440,000 | 4,800,000 | 1,283,000 | 6,083,000 | 9,640,000 9731,000 | $1,883,000$ $1,937,000$ | 11,523,000 |
| 1892 1893 | $4,981,000$ $8,400,000$ | 639,000 | $5,570,000$ $6,074,000$ | $4,800,000$ $2,800,000$ | $1,298,000$ $1,103,000$ | $6,098,000$ $3,903,000$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 3 1 , 0 0 0}$ $8,200,000$ | $1,937,000$ $1,777,000$ | $11,668,000$ $9,977,000$ |
| 1893 1804 | $6,400,000$ $5,700,000$ | 674,000 369,000 | $6,074,000$ $6,069,000$ | 2,800,000 | 1,103,000 | $3,903,000$ $5,005,000$ | $8,200,000$ $9,500,000$ | 1,7774,000 | 11,074,000 |
| 1895 | 6,200,000 | 399,500 | 5,599,500 | 3,800,000 | 1,055,000 | 4,855,000 | 9,000,000 | 1,454,500 | 10,454,500 |
| 1896 | 5,200,000 | 461,000 | $5,661,000$ | 3,800,000 | 1,055,000 | 4,855,000 | 9,000,000 | 1,516,000 | 10,516,000 |
| 1897 | 4,600,000 | 491,000 | 4,991,000 | 3,800,000 | 855,000 | 4,655,000 | $8,300,000$ | 1,346,000 | 9,646,000 |
| 1898 | 4,500,000 | 512,000 | 6,012,000 | 3, $3,00,000$ | 657,000 | 4,457,000 | 8,300,000 | 1,169,000 | $9,469,000$ 8,930 |
| 1890 | 4,000,000 | 669,600 | $4,569,500$ 4,697 | 3,800,000 | 561,000 667,000 | $4,361,000$ $4,467,000$ | $7,800,000$ $7,800,000$ | $1,130,500$ $1,364,000$ | 8,930,500 |
| 1900 1901 | $4,000,000$ $3,250,000$ | 697,000 695,000 | $4,697,000$ $3.945,000$ | $3,800,000$ $3,800,000$ | 667,000 783,000 | $4,467,000$ $4,583,000$ | $7,800,000$ $7,050,000$ | 1,364,000 | $8,164,000$ $8,528,000$ |
| 1902 | $3,260,000$ $3,250,000$ | 895,000 | 4,055,000 | 3,800,000 | 830,000 | 4,630,000 | 7,050,000 | 1,635,000 | 8,685,000 |
| 1903 | 4,450,000 | 1,670,000 | 6,120,000 | 4,000,000 | 1,036,000 | 5,036,000 | 7,050,000 | 2,706,000 | 11,156,000 |
| 1904 | 4,450,000 | 2,251,190 | 6,701,190 | 4,000,000 | 1,205,000 | 5,205,000 | 8,450,000 | 3,458,190 | 11,906,190 |
| 1005 | 4,700,000 | 2,552,083 | 7,252,083 | $4,200,000$ 4,450 | 1,205,000 | 5,405,000 5,895,000 | $8,900,000$ $\mathbf{9 , 1 5 0} 000$ | $3,757,083$ $4,397,083$ | $12,657,083$ $13,547,083$ |
| 1906 1907 | $4,700,000$ $5,700,000$ | $2,962,083$ $4,362,033$ | $7,652,033$ $10,052,083$ | 4,450,000 | 1,245,000 | $\mathbf{5 , 8 9 5}, 000$ $6,365,000$ | $9,800,000$ | 6,617,083 | 16,417,083 |
| 1908 | 6,700,000 | 6,362,083 | 11,052,083 | 4,100,000 | 2,600,000 | 6,700,000 | 9,800,000 | 7,952,083 | 17,752,083 |
| 1909 | 6,650,000 | E,235,143 | 10,885,143 | 4,100,000 | 2,740,000 | 6,840,000 | 9,750,000 | 7,975,143 | 17,725,143 |
| 1910 | 6,900,000 | 5,594,361 | 12,494,361 | 4,100,000 | 3,120,000 | 7,220,000 | 11,000,000 | 8,714,361 | 19,714,361 |
| 1911 | 6,800,000 | 6,835,000 | 12,635,000 | 4,100,000 | 3,390,000 | 7,490,000 | 10,900,000 | 9,225,000 | 20,125,000 |
| 1912 | 6,800,000 | 6,860,000 | 12,660,000 | 4,100,000 | $3,500,000$ 3,700000 | $7,600,000$ $9,600,000$ | $10,900,000$ $13,400,000$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ $\mathbf{9 , 9 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $20,260,000$ $\mathbf{2 3 , 3 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

From Annual Reports of Comptroller of United States Currency showing conditions of National Banks as of time of last whll for each year.

TABLE 2.
ST. PAUL BANE8, 1904-1913.

| Year | Capital | Surplus | Deposits | Loans \& Discounts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1904. | \$4,400,000.00 | \$1,537,500.00 | \$29,715,850.00 | \$19,166,199.00 |
| 1905. | 4,450,000.00 | 1,367,000.00 | 34,404,490.00 | 22,222,242.00 |
| 1906. | 4,550,000.00 | 1,500,000.00 | 33,016,400.00 | 21,749,708.00 |
| 1907. | 4,200,000.00 | 2,290,000.00 | 34,017,055.00 | 23,377,848.00 |
| 1908. | 4,200,000.00 | 2,640,000,00 | 34,756,368.00 | 23,221,940.00 |
| 1909. | 4,250,000.00 | 2,990,000.00 | 46,022,344.00 | 30,226,256.00 |
| 1910. | 4,275,000 00 | 3,246,000.00 | 42,075,252.00 | 20,853,907.00 |
| 1911. | 4,275,000.00 | 3,506,000.00 | 51,312,364.00 | 31,800,531,00 |
| 1912. | 4,125,000.00 | 3,500,000.00 | 45,851,516.00 | 30,016,580.00 |
| 1913. | 5,850,000.00 | 3,805,000.00 | 51,186,053.00 | 30,443,186.00 |

MINNEAPOLIS BANES, 1904-1913.

| Year | Capital | Surplus | Deposits | Loans and Discounts | Mortgage Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1904. | \$6,736,000.00 | \$2,769,000.00 | \$47,074,347.00 | \$36,002,403.00 | \$838,967,00 |
| 1905. | 6,235,000.00 | 3,202,083.00 | 54,235,940.00 | 41,676,224.00 | 849,851.00 |
| 1906. | 6,285,000.00 | 3,938,083.00 | 67,695,672.00 | 44,542,603.00 | 1,139,399.00 |
| 1307. | 6,585,000.00 | 4,829,869.00 | 66,518,044.00 | 47,102,518.00 | 1,278,061.00 |
| 1908. | c,085,000.00 | 5,387,839.00 | 76,871,340.00 | 61,190,301.00 | 1,325,891.00 |
| 1909. | 8,025,000.00 | 5,973,433.00 | 90,094,807.00 | 60,400,087.00 | 2,251,010.00 |
| 1910. | 0,005,000.00 | 6,172,705.00 | 82,257,137.00 | E7,649,377.00 | 2,597,964.00 |
| 1911. | 9,030,000.00 | 7,073,100.00 | 92,385,492.00 | 64,339,821.00 | 2,819,225.00 |
| 1912. | 9,230,000.00 | 6,788,500.00 | 100,028,630.00 | 60,658,514.00 | 8,107,250.00 |
| 1913. | 9,750,000.00 | 7,065,600.00 | 101,506,300.00 | 69,861,735.00 | 8,662,454.00 |

TABLE 3.
COMPOSITE AND COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF DEPOSITS, BANK ACCOUNTS HELD, AND LOANS AND DISCOUNTS, NATIONAI BANKS OF MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL, 1872-1913.

| Year | MINNEAPOLIS |  |  | ST. PAUL |  |  | MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL Combined |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deposits | Due to Other Banks | Loans and Discounts | Deposits | Due to Other Banks | Loans and Discounts | Deposits | Due to Other Banks | Loans and Discounts |
| 1872 | \$1,257,074 | \$17,177 | \$1,252,199 | \$1,698,210 | \$184,245 | \$2,287,656 | \$2,955,284 | \$201,423 | \$3,539,835 |
| 1873 | 1,689,024 | 11,625 | 1,495,330 | 2,189,573 | 264,026 | 3,101,109 | 3,878,597 | 275,551 | 4,596,439 |
| 1874 | 1,430,997 | 9,221 | 1,605,802 | 2,161,820 | 244,441 | 3,309,674 | 3,592,817 | 253,662 | 4,915,476 |
| 1875 | 1,479,396 | 19,955 | 1,681,083 | 2,069,116 | 423,846 | 3,493,684 | 3,548,452 | 443,801 | 5,184,767 |
| 1876 | 1,848,783 | 7,346 | 2,067,998 | 1,970,621 | 249,699 | 3,346,836 | 3,819,404 | 257,045 | 5,414,834 |
| 1877 | 1,652,413 | 30,557 | 2,003,378 | 2,034,397 | 379,102 | 3,496,385 | 3,586,810 | 409,659 | $5,499,763$ |
| 1878 | 1,697,071 | 11,518 | 2,385,666 | 2,274,634 | 420,089 | 3,957,241 | 3,871,705 | 431,607 | 6,342,907 |
| 1879 | 1,757,743 | 20,260 | 2,475,757 | 3,046,325 | 484,406 | 4,179,319 | 4,804,068 | 504,666 | 6,655,076 |
| 1880 | 2,181,752 | 33,916 | 2,779,663 | 3,831,334 | 637,656 | 5,649,917 | 6,013,086 | 671,571 | 8,429,580 |
| 1881 | 2,683,748 | 194,921 | 2,828,945 | 5,674,455 | 2,439,416 | 8,218,517 | 8,358,203 | 2,634,337 | 11,047,462 |
| 1882 | 3,164,097 | 384,648 | 4,167,438 | 5,355,628 | 1,626,473 | 7,712,386 | 8,519,725 | 2,011,121 | 11,879,824 |
| 1883 | 3,929,053 | 698,554 | 6,010,971 | 7,202,440 | 2,024,281 | 11,936,617 | 11,131,493 | 2,622,835 | 16,947,588 |
| 1884 | 3,673,815 | 860,937 | 6,506,855 | 7,960,941 | 1,858,387 | 11,776,824 | 11,634,756 | 2,719,324 | 18,283,679 |
| 1885 | 4,993,903 | 1,210,959 | 7,286,506 | 8,265,325 | 2,697,088 | 13,474,671 | 13,259,228 | 3,908,047 | 20,761,177 |
| 1886 | 6,432,282 | 2,016,154 | 8,861,778 | 8,602,267 | 2,869,748 | 14,100,447 | 15,034,549 | 4,885,902 | 22,952,225 |
| 1887 | 7,891,992 | 1,608,351 | 10,611,976 | 10,190,599 | 3,621,011 | 16,654,230 | 18,082,591 | 5,229,362 | 27,266,206 |
| 1888 | 8,200,820 | 1,977,496 | 10,809,041 | 9,227,664 | 3,667,296 | 14,805,213 | 17,428,484 | 5,644,792 | 25,614,254 |
| 1889 | 7,464,167 | 1,739,904 | 10,680,360 | 8,986,680 | 2,704,261 | 13,858,350 | 16,450,847 | 4,444,165 | 24,538,700 |
| 1890 | 8,636,538 | 2,156,718 | 11,453,914 | 10,375,295 | 3,220,717 | 15,451,475 | 19,011,833 | 5,377,435 | 26,905,389 |
| 1891 | 10,132,934 | 1,893,640 | 12,548,910 | 8,813,795 | 4,202,278 | 13,093,768 | 18,946,729 | 6,095,918 | $25,642,678$ |
| 1892 | 9,419,458 | 2,900,484 | 12,926,689 | 10,316,417 | 4,256,769 | 15,973,906 | 19,735,875 | 7,157,253 | 28,900,595 |
| 1893 | 7,403,824 | 1,633,041 | 12,320,648 | 7,167,692 | 2,284,589 | 9,647,745 | 14,571,516 | 3,917,630 | 21,968,293 |
| 1894 | 7,466,034 | 2,983,314 | 10,462,364 | 8,147,106 | 3,967,775 | 11,489,675 | 15,613,140 | 6,951,089 | 21,942,039 |
| 1895 | 8,703,001 | 3,052,630 | 10,945,360 | 8,145,523 | 3,212,655 | 11,161,029 | 16,848,524 | 6,265,185 | 22,106,389 |
| 1896 | 7,264,701 | 2,819,618 | 10,788,168 | 9,485,486 | 2,884,752 | 10,450,811 | 16,750,187 | 5,704,370 | $21,238,979$ |
| 1897 | 8,305,070 | 4,676,198 | 9,320,950 | 0,506,325 | 5,328,600 | 8,803,064 | 17,811,395 | 10,004,798 | $18,124,014$ |
| 1898 | 8,413,198 | 3,988,839 | 10,299,184 | 10,432,375 | 4,346,011 | 9,715,305 | 19,845,573 | 8,334,850 | 20,014,489 |
| 1899 | 11,639,221 | 6,040,106 | 13,462,823 | 12,820,912 | 6,095,662 | 10,480,123 | 24,460,133 | 12,135,768 | 23,942,946 |
| 1800 | 10,507,430 | 6,440,690 | 14,237,051 | 12,675,315 | 5,402,036 | 11,468,471 | 23,182,745 | 11,842,726 | 25,755,522 |
| 1801 | 11,452,152 | 8,391,526 | 15,539,542 | 13,408,835 | 6,353,680 | 12,884,441 | 24,860,987 | 14,745,206 | 28,423,983 |
| 1902 | 14,102,483 | 9,857,094 | 18,843,204 | 15,258,516 | 6,714,107 | 14,514,000 | 29,360,999 | 16,571,201 | $33,357,204$ |
| 1903 | 13,590,509 | 9,037,683 | 20,808,904 | 14,990,496 | 6,339,928 | 14,870,466 | 28,581,005 | 15,377,611 | $35,769,370$ |
| 1904 | 16,567,054 | 8,063,258 | 20,692,701 | 16,709,339 | 6,819,743 | 16,677,743 | 32,276,393 | 15,783,001 | 37,370,444 |
| 1905 | 16,852,252 | 11,632,248 | 23,070,656 | 18,333,904 | 8,879,473 | 18,622,657 | 35,186,156 | $20,511,721$ | $41,693,313$ |
| 1906 | 20,904,970 | 14,549,840 | 27,357,772 | 20,934,055 | 10,370,882 | 20,834,714 | 41,839,025 | 24,920,722 | $48,192,486$ |
| 1907 | 28,549,817 | 17,855,984 | 87,839,299 | 21,707,545 | 10,549,441 | 22,418,522 | 50,257,362 | 28,405,425 | 60,257,821 |
| 1908 | 35,645,299 | 28,982,892 | 43,805,783 | 23,325,549 | 13,173,037 | 25,207,868 | 58,970,848 | 42,155,929 | 69,013,651 |
| 1909 | 42,384,436 | 22,288,071 | 46,665,934 | 26,191,331 | 12,758,014 | 26, 124, 190 | 68,575,767 | 35,046,035 | 72,790,124 |
| 1910 | 37,634,467 | 26,279,090 | 48,158,592 | 26,105,386 | 13,361,246 | 28,381,361 | 63,739,853 | 39,640,336 | 76,539,953 |
| 1911 | 39,983,615 | 21,607,203 | 47,926,682 | 25,831,838 | 12,010,953 | $28,340,837$ | $65,815,453$ | $33,618,156$ | $76,267,519$ |
| 1912 | 47,724,674 | 27,701,775 | 67,363,665 | 26,939,658 | 13,067,938 | 29,766,788 | 74,664,332 | 40,769,713 | 87,130,453 |
| 1913 | 45,740,698 | 34,715,470 | 69,484,125 | 34,629,419 | 16,934,486 | 39,595,571 | 80,370,117 | 51,699,956 | 99,079,696 |

From Annual Reports of Comptroller of United States Currency showing condition of National Banks as of time of ast call for each year.

TABLE 4.
ANNOAL BANK OLEARINGS.

| Years | Spokane | Denver | Seattle | $\underset{\text { Francieco }}{\text { San }}$ | New Orleans | Kansas City | St. Paul | Minneapolis | Minneapolis and St. Paul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913 | \$219,265,776 | \$425,607,021 | 8664,857,448 | \$2,624,428,825 | \$980,083,873 | \$2,850,362,611 | \$530,515,562 | \$1,312,412,257 | \$1,842,927,819 |
| 1912 | 225,436,618 | 487,848,306 | 602,430,001 | 2,077,501,052 | 1,058,324,963 | 2,713,027,216 | 679,166,754 | 1,182,232,466 | 1,761,309,220 |
| 1011 | 210,937,580 | 458,887,827 | 552,640,350 | 2,427,075,543 | 1,013,007,623 | 2,578,730,359 | 531,574,517 | 1,068,090,804 | 1,599,665,411 |
| 1010 | 241,052,850 | 493,040,023 | 690,003,365 | 2,323,772,871 | 987,491,235 | 2,634,557,738 | 576,156,228 | 1,166,659,665 | 1,731,815,893 |
| 1009 | 200,504,834 | 466,450,933 | 686,006,855 | 1,970,872,570 | 904,231,709 | 2,305,530,983 | 518,244,363 | 1,029,914,856 | 1,548,159,219 |
| 1908 | 153,805,741 | 400,996,642 | 429,409,252 | 1,757,151,850 | 780,007,353 | 1,847,511,024 | 483,970,978 | 1,057,468,860 | 1,541,445,838 |
| 1907 | 150,700,500 | 407,803,850 | 488,591,471 | 2,133,883,626 | 056,538,205 | 1,640,175,013 | 484,801,068 | 1,158,462,150 | 1,643,353,818 |
| 1908 | 114,220,008 | 349,774,100 | 485,920,021 | 1,008,400,770 | 1,020,252,303 | 1,331,675,055 | 410,406,276 | 990,800,203 | 1,410,356,479 |
| 1005 | 82,049,548 | 327,957,000 | 301,000,202 | 1,834,540,780 | 962,771,000 | 1,107,005,507 | 342,751,235 | 913,679,669 | 1,256,330,794 |
| 1004 | 62,084,485 | 235,725,730 | 222,217,308 | 1,534,031,137. | 970,928,984 | 1,097,887,150 | 315,805,304 | 843,230,773 | 1,150,036.167 |
| 1903 | 65,007,015 | 237,324,450 | 206,913,521 | 1,520,198,082 | 827,710,850 | 1,074,878,589 | 309,230,108 | 741,049,348 | 1,050,270,456 |
| 1902 | 44,234,601 | 230,309,178 | 101,885,973 | 1,373,362,025 | 872,300,577 | 088,204,008 | 204,107,119 | 720,752,332 | 1,014,040,461 |
| 1001 | 20,428,112 | 228,469,100 | 144,634,367 | 1,178,160,736 | 603,551,124 | 918,108,416 | 200,413,773 | 626,020,457 | 880,434,230 |
| 1900 | 28,127,365 | 246,942,331 | 130,323,281 | 1,020,582,505 | 556,700,701 | 775,204,813 | 247,080,054 | 579,904,076 | 827,055,030 |
| 1899 | 31,903,127 | 178,206,504 | 103,327,017 | 971,015,072 | 458,219,218 | 648,270,711 | 230,306,401 | 659,706,249 | 770,011,710 |
| 1808 | 23,004,272 | 161,355,840 | 68,443,035 | 813,153,024 | 435,723,085 | 685,204,638 | 221,105,702 | 400,222,572 | 681,328,274 |
| 1887 | 10,622,772 | 124,414,245 | 36,045,228 | 750,780,144 | 415,078,408 | 540,837,381 | 107,712,210 | 414,697,615 | 012,300,825 |
| 1890 | 12,540,092 | 121,388,046 | 28,157,065 | 683,220,509 | 466,556,010 | 503,702,013 | 228,875,313 | 302,905,674 | 621,840,087 |
| 1885 | 10,034,888 | 138,288,035 | 25,601,157 | 002,078,240 | 487,0.18;184 | 520,871,222 | 222,332,180 | 372,898,944 | 595,227,530 |
| 1894 | 7,027,150 | 137,317,784 | 26,080,926 | 658,526,806 | 434,003,308 | 480,502,029 | 183,850,876 | 308,000,020 | 482,750,800 |
| 1893 | 14,491,418 | 185,335,869 | 40,147,625 | 609,285,878 | 500,807,031 | 474,072,605 | 207,679,400 | 832,243,860 | 530,923,350 |
| 1892 |  | 266,885,178 | 55,520,536 | 815,265,480 | 508,139,314 | 510,186,011 | 271,076,157 | 438,063,526 | 700,129,683 |
| 1801 |  | 230,134,970 | 48,977,349 | 893,268,703 | 514,807,422 | 460,471,785 | 242,075,278 | 366,715,248 | 608,700,526 |
| 1800 |  | 255,497,797 | 56,953,220 | 851,086,173 | 624,442,837 | 490,906,771 | 225,504,807 | 303,012,012 | 520,476,009 |

## TABLङ 5.

FGARLY OLEARINGS OF MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL, 1881.1913.


TABLE 6.
COMPARATIVE PRODUCTION CEIEF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTB, 1800-1912.
OATS, WHEAT, CORN, BARLEY, POTATOES AND RYE IN BUSHELS

|  | Total United States | Total of Four States Minnesota, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana | \% of Total United States | Total for Five States Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Colorado | \% of Total United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 3,730,306,667 | 241,872,000 | $61 \%$ | 865,250,000 | 23\% |
| 1912 | 5,953,485,000 | 901,122,000 | 15\% | 1,142,081,000 | 19\% |
|  | Total All States West of Mississippi | Total of Four States Minnesota, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana | $\%$ of Total States West of Mississippi | Total of Five States Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Colorado | \% of Total States West of Mississippi |
| 1900 | 1,937,825,712 | 241,872,000 | 12\% | 865,250,000 | 441\% |
| 1912 | 3,314,327,000 | 901,122,000 | $27 \%$ | 1,142,081,000 | 343\% |

OATS, WHEAT, BARLEY, POTATOES AND RYE

|  | Total United States | Total of Four States Minnesota, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana | $\%$ of Total United States | Total of Five States Migsouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Colorado | \% of Total United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 1,625,204,151 | 177,253,000 | $11 \%$ | 292,003,000 | 18\% |
| 1912 | 2,828,730,000 | 737,228,000 | 26\% | 430,722,000 | 15\% |
|  | Total of All States West of Mississippi | Total of Four States Minnesota, South Dakota, North Dakota, Montana | $\%$ of Total States West of Mississippi | Total of Five States Missouri, Kansas, Olkahoma, Nebraska, Colorado | \% of Total Stries West of Mississippi |
| 1900 | 840,530,641 | 177,253,000 | 21\% | 292,903,000 | 35\% |
| 1912 | 1,736,700,000 | 737,228,000 | 42\% | 430,722,000 | 241\% |

TABLE 7.

## PRODUCTION OF FARM CROPS IN MINNESOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA AND MONTANA, 1880-1912.

(000 omitted)

|  | Wheat | Rye | Oats | Corn | Barley | Potatoes | Total | Percent <br> Increase Over 1890 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1880.. | 41,819 | 260 | 24,071 | 19,529 | 3,493 | 6,056 | 95,228 | $-54 \%$ |
| 1881. | 47,767 | 272 | 27,639 | 20,799 | 4,557 | 6,384 | 107,418 | -48\% |
| 1882.. | 45,175 | 487 | 34,650 | 25,795 | 7,730 | 6,551 | 120,388 | -42\% |
| 1883. . | 50,843. | 656 | 41,687 | 20,049 | 8,057 | 7,374 | 128,666 | -31.5\% |
| 1884. | 65,009 | 665 | 49,652 | 37,601 | 11,021 | 7,213 | 171,161 | -17\% |
| 1885. | 63,913 | 629 | 52,548 | 33,798 | 10,506 | 8,225 | 169,619 | -18\% |
| 1886. | 75,069 | 544 | 63,373 | 35,732 | 9,759 | 8,799 | 193,276 | -6\% |
| 1887. | 90,465 | 384 | 79,768 | 39,098 | 11,540 | 10,661 | 231,916 | 12\% |
| 1888. | 67,918 | 684 | 79,538 | 39,458 | 13,426 | 12,782 | 213,806 | 3\% |
| 1889. | 88,647 | 1,361 | 78,996 | 36,029 | 11,735 | 12,620 | 229,388 | 11\% |
| 1890. | 80,255 | 1,031 | 66,045 | 33,337 | 14,027 | 11,516 | 206,211 | 00\% |
| 1891. | 139,008 | 1,389 | 95,698 | 43,327 | 19,132 | 10,642 | 309,196 | 50\% |
| 1892. | 108,873 | 1,364 | 76,465 | 42,294 | 17,782 | 11,300 | 258,078 | 25\% |
| 1893. | 78,588 | 1,158 | 71,052 | 46,063 | 14,652 | 11,760 | 223,273 | 8.5\% |
| 1894. | 88,434 | 1,323 | 73,654 | 20,925 | 15,738 | 8,889 | 208,963 | 1.5\% |
| 1895. | 156,968 | 1,606 | 117,664 | 49,072 | 28,963 | 33,508 | 387,781 | 88\% |
| 1806. | 105,235 | 1,133 | 89,013. | 66,594 | 18,574 | 20,276 | 300,825 | 46\% |
| 1897. | 111,954 | 1,119 | 68,782 | 50,142 | 25,838 | 10,876 | 268,711 | 30\% |
| 1898. | 178,213 | 1,296 | 89,966 | 59,149 | 18,070 | 15,699 | 362,393 | 76\% |
| 1899. | 159,504 | 1,438 | 88,325 | 61,777 | 16,679 | 19,052 | 346,775 | 68\% |
| 1900. | 86,765 | 1,195 | 63,428 | 64,619 | 11,020 | 14,845 | 241,872 | 18\% |
| 1901. | 193,429 | 2,836 | 115,054 | 67,337 | 36,103 | 15,625 | 430,384 | 109\% |
| 1902. | 188,952 | 3,381 | 142,467 | 65,326 | 51,407 | 20,059 | 471,582 | 128\% |
| 1903. | 175,931 | 2,866 | 125,453 | 84,603 | 51,640 | 16,152 | 456,645 | 121\% |
| 1904. | 156,390 | 2,658 | 150,318 | 87,686 | 59,952 | 21,821 | 478,805 | 133\% |
| 1905. | 195,033 | 2,650 | 162,757 | 103,147 | 162,757 | 18,452 | 644,796 | 213\% |
| 1906. | 178,950 | 2,804 | 167,409 | 117,225 | 70,788 | 20,276 | 557,452 | 170\% |
| 1907. | 159,213 | 2,652 | 138,813 | 93,950 | 63,080 | 23,024 | 480,732 | 133\% |
| 1908. | 178,550 | 2,660 | 133,702 | 108,462 | 76,297 | 20,380 | 520,051 | 153\% |
| 1009. | 227,188 | 3,394 | 217,157 | 128,671 | 74,137 | 31,300 | 681,847 | 231\% |
| 1910. | 156,920 | 2,758 | 151,065 | 122,516 | 52,524 | 16,920 | 659,615 | 220\% |
| 1911 | 144,234 | 5,400 | 151,005 | 132,740 | 55,078 | 38,997 | 527,454 | 156\% |
| 1912. | 282,389 | 7,437 | 293,390 | 163,894 | 101,666 | 52,346 | 901,122 | 337\% |

TABLE 8.
UNITED STATES PRODUCTION OF WHEAT, RYE, OATS, CORN, BARLEY AND POTATOES, 1880-1912.
(000 omitted)

|  | Wheat | Rye | Oats | Corn | Barley | Potatoes | Total | Percent <br> Increase <br> Over 1890 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1880 | 498,550 | 24,541 | 417,885 | 1,717,435 | 45,105 | 167,660 | 2,871,236 | 8\% |
| 1881 | 383,280 | 20,705 | 416,481 | 1,194,916 | 41,101 | 109,145 | 2,085,688 | -22\% |
| 1882. | 504,185 | 20,960 | 488,250 | 1,617,025 | 48,954 | 170,973 | 2,859,347 | 8\% |
| 1883 | 421,086 | 28,059 | 571,302 | 1,551,087 | 50,136 | 208,164 | 3,349,814 | 26\% |
| 1884 | 512,765 | 28,640 | 583,628 | 1,795,528 | 61,203 | 100,042 | 3,172,406 | 19\% |
| 1885 | 357,112 | 21,706 | 620,409 | 1,936,176 | 58,360 | 175,029 | 3,157,842 | 19\% |
| 1886. | 457,218 | 24,489 | 624,134 | 1,665,441 | 50,428 | 168,051 | 2,098,761 | 13\% |
| 1887 | 456,329 | 20,693 | 659,618 | 1,456,161 | 56,812 | 134,103 | 2,783,716 | 5\% |
| 1888. | 415,868 | 28,415 | 701,735 | 1,987,790 | 63,884 | 202,365 | 3,400,057 | 28\% |
| 1889. | 490,560 | 28,420 | 751,515 | 2,112,892 | 78,333 | 204,881 | 3,666,601 | 34\% |
| 1890. | 399,262 | 25,807 | 523,621 | 1,489,970 | 67,168 | 148,200 | 2,654,118 | 00\% |
| 1801. | 611,780 | 31,751 | 738,304 | 2,000,154 | 86,839 | 254,424 | 3,783,442 | 43\% |
| 1892. | 515,949 | 27,979 | 661,035 | 1,628,464 | 80,097 | 156,655 | 3,070,179 | 16\% |
| 1893. | 308,132 | 26,555 | 638,855 | 1,010,406 | 60,869 | 183,034 | 2,933,941 | 10\% |
| 1894 | 460,267 | 26,728 | 662,037 | 1,212,770 | 61,400 | 170,787 | 2,593,989 | -2\% |
| 1805. | 467,103 | 27,210 | 824,444 | 2,151,138 | 87,073 | 297,337 | 3,854,205 | 45\% |
| 1896. | 427,684 | 24,369 | 707,346 | 2,283,875 | 69,695 | 252,235 | 3,765,204 | 42\% |
| 1897. | 530,149 | 27,363 | 608,768 | 1,002,968 | 66,685 | 164,016 | 3,380,849 | 28\% |
| 1898. | 675,149 | 25,658 | 730,907 | 1,024,185 | 55,702 | 102,306 | 3,603,007 | 36 |
| 1899 | 547,304 | 23,982 | 796,178 | 2,078,144 | 73,382 | 228,783 | 3,747,763 | 41\% |
| 1900. | 522,230 | 23,996 | 809,12B | 2,105,103 | 58,026 | 210,027 | 3,730,308 | 41\% |
| 1901 | 748,460 | 30,345 | 736,809 | 1,522,520 | 109,933 | 187,698 | 3,335,665 | 25\% |
| 1902. | 670,063 | 33,631 | 987,843 | 2,523,648 | 134,054 | 284,633 | 4,834,772 | 79\% |
| 1903. | 637,822 | 29,363 | 784,004 | 2,244,177 | 131,861 | 247,128 | 4,074,445 | 63\% |
| 1904. | 552,400 | 27,242 | 894,596 | 2,467,481 | 139,749 | 332,830 | 4,414,298 | 66\% |
| 1905. | 092,979 | 28,486 | 953,216 | 2,707,994 | 136,551 | 260,741 | 4,779,967 | 80\% |
| 1906.. | 735,261 | 33,375 | 064,905 | 2,927,415 | 178,916 | 308,038 | 5,147,910 | 94\% |
| 1907. | 634,487 | 31,566 | 754,443 | 2,592,320 | 153,507 | 208,262 | 4,464,275 | 68\% |
| 1908. | 664,602 | 31,851 | 807,156 | 2,663,651 | 166,756 | 278,985 | 4,018,001 | 70\% |
| 1000. | 683,350 | 32,239 | 1,007,353 | 2,772,376 | 170,284 | 376,537 | 5,042,139 | 90\% |
| 1910. | 635,121 | 34,897 | 1,186,341 | 2,886,360 | 173,832 | 349,032 | 5,265,483 | 99\% |
| 1911.. | 621,338 | 33,119 | 922,208 | 2,531,488 | . 160,240 | 292,737 | 4,561,220 | 72\% |
| 191 | 730,267 | 35,664 | 1,418,337 | 3,124,746 | 223,824 | 420,647 | 5,953,485 | 184\% |

TABLE 9.

## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CARS RECEIVED AND FORWARDFD AT MINNEAPOTIS FOR SIX YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1913.

| MONTE | RECEIVED |  |  |  |  |  | Average Received 6 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 | 1913 |  |
| January. | 22,208 | 19,153 | 26,404 | 24,503 | 25,225 | 30,912 | 24,734 |
| February . | 19,211 | 19,896 | 25,078 | 20,653 | 26,169 | 27,221 | 23,038 |
| March. | 22,787 | 22,401 | 27,821 | 24,304 | 25,946 | 30,211 | 25,578 |
| April. | 16,717 | 17,239 | 20,177 | 20,943 | 21,701 | 24,507 | 20,214 |
| May. | 16,619 | 19,333 | 20,309 | 22,564 | 19,971 | 23,761 | 20,426 |
| June. | 18,354 | 20,207 | 21,109 | 21,915 | 18,650 | 23,906 | 20,690 |
| July.... | 18,695 | 17,917 | 19,584 | 21,189 | 20,354 | 23,057 | 20,132 |
| August. | 21,826 | 20,713 | 26,730 | 26,426 | 28,848 | 28,953 | 25,582 |
| September. | 39,040 | 32,675 | 33,826 | 35,642 | 38,066 | 41,234 | 36,747 |
| October.. | 35,904 | 36,075 | 35,702 | 36,957 | 45,922 | 40,401 | 38,493 |
| November. | 25,938 | 33,349 | 29,758 | 35,314 | 41,788 | 35,309 | 33,576 |
| December. | 24,076 | 19,186 | 30,833 | 30,597 | 42,049 | 33,268 | 30,001 |
| Total. | 281,375 | 278,144 | 317,331 | 321,007 | 354,689 | 362,740 |  |


|  | FORWARDED |  |  |  |  |  | Average Forwarded 6 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 | 1913 |  |
| January. | 20,084 | 19,140 | 21,688 | 20,312 | 20,410 | 28,568 | 21,700 |
| February. | 20,046 | 18,736 | 21,857 | 20,085 | 22,720 | 24,830 | 21,379 |
| March.. | 23,043 | 25,236 | 26,012 | 27,204 | 26,621 | 28,962 | 26,179 |
| April. | 21,419 | 22,858 | 22,511 | 24,731 | 27,437 | 28,378 | 24,555 |
| May. | 19,991 | 22,828 | 22,871 | 23,828 | 24,974 | 26,024 | 23,569 |
| June. | 20,866 | 23,983 | 24,053 | 22,834 | 24,169 | 26,142 | 23,674 |
| July. | 21,508 | 21,861 | 21,486 | 22,554 | 25,571 | 27,213 | 23,365 |
| Auguat. | 21,346 | 22,383 | 23,813 | 25,915 | 28,404 | 29,059 | 25,153 |
| September. | 27,520 | 28,965 | 26,648 | 26,211 | 32,791 | 31,963 | 29,016 |
| October. | 30,075 | 32,950 | 28,099 | 28,440 | 39,364 | 34,874 | 32,300 |
| November. | 23,611 | 30,385 | 24,725 | 24,435 | 36,257 | 29,300 | 28,118 |
| December. | 20,336 | 18,739 | 23,145 | 22,955 | 28,880 | 28,441 | 23,749 |
| Total. | 269,845 | 288,064 | 286,908 | 289,504 | 337,598 | 344,654 |  |

TABLE 10
TOTAL VALUES OF IMPORTS AND EXPORTS OF MERCHANDISE DURING EACH CALENDAR YEAR, 1902-1912, DULUTH, MINNESOTA, MONTANA AND IDAHO, NORTH AND SOUTH DAKOTA.

Imports.

|  | Duluth | Minnesota | Montana and Idaho | North and South Dakota | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Increase Over } \\ \text { Previous } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1902.. | \$130,210 | \$2,290,145 | \$363,108 | \$2,525,050 | \$5,308,513 | .... |
| 1903. | 137,787 | 2,874,490 | 562,078 | 3,404,043 | 7,060,208 | 33\% |
| 1904.. | 142,499 | 2,510,774 | 748,660 | 2,109,324 | 5,511,203 | 22\% |
| 1905. | 101,134 | 3,509,479 | 1,308,885 | 1,546,005 | 6,406,403 | 18\% |
| 1906.. | 95,338 | 4,824,528 | 1,333,873 | 1,507,054 | 7,761,693 | 20\% |
| 1907. | 138,575 | 6,405,303 | 1,797,212 | 1,674,764 | 10,105,854 | 30\% |
| 1908.. | 109,974 | 5,474,544 | 1,453,910 | 1,003,814 | 8,642,251 | 15\% |
| 1909.. | 143,158 | 6,153,289 | 1,703,698 | 2,262,416 | 10,262,501 | 19\% |
| 1910.. | 399,396 | 6,853,751 | 3,015,307 | 2,991,014 | 13,260,368 | 29\% |
| 1911. | 482,104 | 5,948,107 | 004,848 | 3,203,250 | 10,538,309 | 20\% |
| 1912. | 2,138,681 | 0,064,578 | 2,370,080 | 5,032,071 | 19,206,910 | 82\% |

Exports.


Department of Commerce and Labor, Monthly Summary of Commerce and Finance, December, 1912, Pagea $768-789$.

TABLE 11.
POSTOFFICE-RECEIPTS
Minneapolis and St. Paul, 1902-1913

|  | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1005 | 1908 | 1007 | 1008 | 1909 | 1010 | 1011 | 1912 | 1913 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneapolis. | \$961,004 | \$1,070,900 | \$1,189,572 | \$1,306,676 | \$1,452,440 | \$1,547,154 | \$1,576,082 | \$1,739,011 | \$1,968,715 | \$2,000,490 | \$2,150,195 | \$2,395,481 |
| St. Paul. | \$620,445 | 703,8308 | \$733,830 | \$757,410 | \$823,663 | \$1,002,474 | \$1,026,961 | \$1,003,397 | \$1,186,140 | \$1,206,334 | \$1,278,508 | \$1,479,751 |
| Total. | \$1,587,449 | \$1,774,730 | \$1,823,402 | 82,064,092 | \$2,276,103 | \$2,540,629 | \$2,603,943 | \$2,833,008 | \$3,154,855 | \$3,206,824 | \$3,428,793 | \$3,875,032 |

TABLE 12.
BANK CLEARINGS OF MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL FOR EAOH WEEK YHOM 1910 TO 1913, aND AVERAGE WEEKLY CLEARINGS FOR THE FOUR-YEAR PERIOD.

| Weeks | , | 1913 | 1012 | 1911 | 1910 | Average for four years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Minneapolis. St. Paul..... | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 28,014,075 \\ 12,635,035 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,070,340 \\ 8,805,514 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,237,680 \\ 9,851,551 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,987,762 \\ 10,885,277 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,077,436 \\ 10,566,844 \end{array}$ |
| 2 | Minneapolis. St. Paul.... | $\begin{aligned} & 28,712,740 \\ & 10,157,369 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,308,992 \\ 9,931,138 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,640,321 \\ & 10,066,195 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,895,166 \\ & 10,094,653 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,364,302 \\ & 10,062,338 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Minneapolis. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $28,680,769$ $\mathbf{9 , 4 5 7 , 6 6 7}$ | $18,230,633$ $9,460,339$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,832,680 \\ 0,475,328 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,128,480 \\ 9,840,067 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22,193,088 \\ 9,560,600 \end{array}$ |
| 4 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | 24,635,493 $\mathbf{9 , 0 0 1 , 2 9 3}$ | $17,967,602$ $10,155,614$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,069,032 \\ 9,260,126 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,382,750 \\ & 10,360,266 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,768,919 \\ 9,694,324 \end{array}$ |
| 5 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 22,401,132 \\ 9,303,278 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,940 ; 715 \\ 9,882,583 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,009,088 \\ 9,262,205 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,686,704 \\ 9,050,275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,289,409 \\ 9,374,607 \end{array}$ |
| 6 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . | $\begin{aligned} & 21,737,346 \\ & 10,200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,920,246 \\ & 10,564,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,723,474 \\ 8,870,081 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 7 , 0 5 2 , 6 5 8} \\ 8,506,816 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 9 , 1 0 8 , 4 3 1} \\ \mathbf{9 , 5 3 5 , 4 6 2} \end{array}$ |
| 7 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 21,634,828 \\ 8,000,000 \end{array}$ | $19,138,853$ $9,037,795$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,106,430 \\ 8,401,716 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,916,876 \\ & 10,053,752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 0 , 1 7 4 , 2 4 6} \\ 8,873,315 \end{array}$ |
| 8 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 19,707,366 \\ 8,387,501 \end{array}$ | $16,129,274$ $9,219,425$ | $16,136,975$ $9,256,481$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,923,322 \\ & 10,384,006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,479,984 \\ 9,312,078 \end{array}$ |
| 9 | Minneapolis St. Paul. . . | $\begin{aligned} & 25,018,438 \\ & 11,772,220 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,360,466 \\ & 16,720,585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,316,666 \\ & 12,307,527 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,282,861 \\ & 12,110,360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,993,326 \\ & 13,229,922 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Minneapolis. St. Paul.... | $\begin{aligned} & 25,136,314 \\ & 14,000,046 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,375,791 \\ & 12,376,674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,883,920 \\ & 11,882,314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,620,083 \\ & 11,637,231 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,179,027 \\ & 12,474,066 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 3 , 3 3 6 , 0 8 5} \\ 9,388,066 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,419,540 \\ & 10,632,513 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,828,634 \\ & 10,679,727 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,385,608 \\ & 11,430,937 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,492,441 \\ & 10,533,035 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 19,498,313 \\ 9,621,361 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,714,480 \\ & 12,475,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17,223,408 } \\ & 11,905,854 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,808,869 \\ & 10,605,532 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,061,267 \\ & 11,174,636 \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 23,164,296 \\ & 11,721,804 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,995,026 \\ & 12,817,811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,175,161 \\ 9,009,088 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,629,729 \\ & 12,179,040 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,738,658 \\ & 11,432,310 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | Minneapo St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 21,279,790 \\ 9,149,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,139,368 \\ 8,775,266 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,779,482 \\ & 10,614,933 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,890,427 \\ & 11,277,387 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,396,766 \\ 9,954,352 \end{array}$ |
| 15 | Minneapolis. St. Paul..... | $\begin{array}{r} 21,323,231 \\ 8,825,795 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,461,481 \\ & 11,117,634 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 7 , 1 8 9 , 1 6 7} \\ 9,667,076 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,758,536 \\ & 11,372,707 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,418,103 \\ & 10,236,015 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . | $\begin{array}{r} 28,267,1826 \\ 9,790,889 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,651,999 \\ 9,512,993 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,699,826 \\ & 11,580,880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,084,435 \\ & 10,550,044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,976,991 \\ & 10,360,951 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 20,469,467 \\ 9,272,740 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,686,081 \\ 9,811,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,938,135 \\ 8,952,061 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,688,171 \\ & 10,088,247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,417,988 \\ 9,531,437 \end{array}$ |
| 18 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 22,010,858 \\ 8,752,239 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,842,979 \\ & 10,684,086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,772,618 \\ & 10,816,041 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,409,221 \\ & 12,789,442 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,768,894 \\ & 10,760,827 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | Minneapolis St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 21,370,432 \\ 8,627,015 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,871,877 \\ 9,227,245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,122,890 \\ 8,668,241 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,243,142 \\ & 11,474,014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,662,085 \\ 9,574,128 \end{array}$ |
| 20 | Minneapolis. St. Paul..... | $\begin{array}{r} 22,204,769 \\ 9,558,898 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,801,294 \\ & 10,206,302 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,762,856 \\ 8,507,795 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,635,694 \\ & 10,237,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,076,152 \\ 9,600,123 \end{array}$ |
| 21 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 19,243,970 \\ 9,583,264 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,892,348 \\ 9,870,456 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,634,146 \\ 9,51,237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,759,988 \\ & 11,835,885 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,829,619 \\ & 10,200,210 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 16,863,892 \\ 8,000,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,531,525 \\ 8,201,392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,680,838 \\ 8,337,964 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,645,955 \\ & 10,711,941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 5 , 9 0 5 , 6 5 1} \\ 8,312,825 \end{array}$ |
| 23 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 28,778,496 \\ 9,796,473 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,190,583 \\ & 10,553,264 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,078,835 \\ 9,884,068 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,677,639 \\ 9,708,752 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,980,888 \\ 0,985,639 \end{array}$ |
| 24 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 23,477,280 \\ 9,252,326 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,377,883 \\ & 10,859,279 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,903,161 \\ 9,608,378 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,808,697 \\ & 11,475,817 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,016,730 \\ & 10,298,950 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 24,150,586 \\ \mathbf{9 , 1 1 6 , 8 2 7} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,777,050 \\ & 10,889,784 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,338,286 \\ 9,437,019 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,379,952 \\ & 12,336,085 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,911,468 \\ & 10,444,928 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 12-Continued.
BANK CLEARINGS OF MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL FOR EACH WHER FROM 1910 TO 1913, AND AVERAGE WEERLY CLEARINGS FOR THE FOUR-YEAR PERIOD.

| Weeks |  | 1913 | 1912 | 1911 | 1910 | Average for four years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | Minneapolis St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 22,089,431 \\ 10,094,115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,381,843 \\ 10,684,912 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,662,695 \\ 9,989,366 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 19,550,443 \\ 12,544,952 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 18,921,103 \\ 10,828,336 \end{array}$ |
| 27 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 22,000,000 \\ & 10,009,828 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,532,738 \\ 9,921,432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 7 , 1 6 7 , 9 6 1} \\ -8,879,543 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,646,086 \\ & 10,089,986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,586,696 \\ 9,725,197 \end{array}$ |
| 28 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 22,718,208 \\ 9,594,763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 9 , 9 6 2 , 4 7 7} \\ & \mathbf{1 0 , 2 8 4 , 0 4 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,360,945 \\ & 10,038,275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,090,235 \\ & 11,165,054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20,280,466 \\ & 10,270,533 \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{array}{r} 22,062,419 \\ 9,368,529 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,079,929 \\ & \mathbf{1 0 , 0 3 9 , 5 3 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,738,195 \\ & 11,357,424 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,300,904 \\ & 12,356,512 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,045,361 \\ & 10,780,450 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 19,247,669 \\ & 10,042,555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,638,882 \\ & 10,770,209 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14,558,822 \\ & 10,838,704 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,602,652 \\ & 11,647,167 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16,762,006 \\ & 10,824,658 \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . | $\begin{array}{r} 17,766,664 \\ 9,790,461 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,412,684 \\ 9,227,734 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,512,245 \\ 9,224,968 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,968,904 \\ & 10,951,131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,917,623 \\ 9,798,573 \end{array}$ |
| 32 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,165,978 \\ 9,057,322 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,562,214 \\ 9,217,165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 6 , 9 4 2 , 0 9 4} \\ \mathbf{9 , 2 6 9 , 4 7 7} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,028,726 \\ 9,131,571 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,422,253 \\ 9,168,884 \end{array}$ |
| 33 | Minneapolis. St. Paul..... | $\begin{array}{r} 21,592,589 \\ 9,824,147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19,495,970 \\ & 10,223,133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,870,342 \\ 8,719,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,247,368 \\ 9,834,564 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,801,567 \\ 9,650,355 \end{array}$ |
| 34 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. . . . | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 0 , 5 0 0 , 0 0 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 4 4 2 , 1 9 1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,762,109 \\ & 10,213,335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,781,414 \\ 9,655,816 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,172,894 \\ 9,625,232 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,564,104 \\ 9,734,143 \end{array}$ |
| 35 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. .... | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 5 , 9 9 7 , 4 4 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 4 5 6 , 7 8 6} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,110,328 \\ 9,016,763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,193,456 \\ 8,995,344 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,262,331 \\ 8,088,792 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,640,889 \\ 8,889,421 \end{array}$ |
| 36 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . . | $\begin{array}{r} 30,608,632 \\ 9,879,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 5 , 6 4 8 , 1 8 8} \\ \mathbf{9 , 8 1 3 , 1 5 7} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,108,972 \\ & 10,293,298 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 5 , 2 8 8 , 7 2 7} \\ & \mathbf{1 0 , 3 9 9 , 1 0 5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 5}, 913,630 \\ & 10,096,328 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . . . . | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 3 , 0 8 0 , 8 5 4} \\ & 10,277,523 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,713,817 \\ & 10,937,103 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,958,143 \\ 9,917,002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,478,578 \\ & 10,841,345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,307,848 \\ & 10,493,248 \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 31,448,842 \\ & 11,908,806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,115,315 \\ & \mathbf{1 0 , 1 0 0 , 1 9 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,630,232 \\ & 10,004,677 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,794,525 \\ & 10,830,813 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,246,728 \\ & 10,711,122 \end{aligned}$ |
| 39 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 32,082,172 \\ & 11,052,646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,383,904 \\ & 11,275,439 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29,328,899 \\ 10,946,370 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,552,863 \\ & 11,876,091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 9 , 0 8 6 , 9 5 9} \\ & 11,287,637 \end{aligned}$ |
| 40 | Mizneap St. Pauf. | $\begin{aligned} & 33,446,512 \\ & 10,583,509 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 2 , 1 7 6 , 9 9 6} \\ & 12,525,484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,912,600 \\ & 11,202,463 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,896,561 \\ & 10,828,747 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,358,165 \\ & 11,285,051 \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 | Minneapolis. St. Paul.... | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3 1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \\ \mathbf{9 , 4 8 8 , 3 8 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 4 , 7 9 7 , 3 3 0} \\ & \mathbf{1 2 , 5 4 8 , 2 6 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,976,974 \\ & 12,543,015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,799,407 \\ & 11,659,638 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,968,428 \\ & 11,559,824 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul | $\begin{aligned} & 30,713,204 \\ & 12,852,306 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,358,419 \\ & 14,090,730 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,513,460 \\ & 12,285,131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 4 , 4 6 4 , 5 4 5} \\ & 14,592,857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,762,407 \\ & 13,455,256 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | Minneapolis St. Paul. . | $\begin{aligned} & 31,223,874 \\ & 10,842,289 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35,645,251 \\ & 14,763,525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32,130,074 \\ & 14,193,127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,791,838 \\ & 12,216,616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31,422,759 \\ & 13,003,889 \end{aligned}$ |
| 44 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. . | $\begin{aligned} & 37,616,505 \\ & 12,588,870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 2 , 6 6 5 , 2 1 2} \\ & 13,337,585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,198,618 \\ & 11,965,845 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,723,010 \\ & 12,805,707 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,800,836 \\ & 12,674,502 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 | Minneapolis. <br> St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 33,263,934 \\ & 12,854,282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 6 , 2 8 0 , 2 1 8} \\ & \mathbf{1 5 , 4 6 2 , 1 8 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,469,673 \\ & 12,351,491 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,343,107 \\ & 12,850,627 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0 , 8 3 9 , 2 2 9} \\ & 13,379,645 \end{aligned}$ |
| 46 | Minneapolis St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 32,283,723 \\ & 13,249,780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 3 , 3 2 0 , 5 2 9} \\ & \mathbf{1 6 , 0 9 8 , 8 8 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,841,885 \\ & 13,123,990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,636,880 \\ & 10,367,864 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,270,764 \\ & 13,210,128 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 26,076,457 \\ & 12,412,892 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,676,725 \\ & 12.843 .351 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,000,000 \\ & 11,530,862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 6 , 6 8 7 , 1 7 2} \\ & 13,927,238 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,860,088 \\ & 12,678,586 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 34,202,040 \\ & 12,090,251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 4 , 6 8 6 , 5 9 1} \\ & 12,091,388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,394,549 \\ & 11,510,072 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,079,517 \\ & 13,085,005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,090,674 \\ & 12,194,179 \end{aligned}$ |
| 49 | Minneapolis. Bt. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 30,170,893 \\ & 11,334,744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,257,431 \\ & 10,234,578 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26,201,836 \\ & 10,280,007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,000,698 \\ & 13,388,085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,657,714 \\ & 11,309,353 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | Minneapolis. St. Paul. | $\begin{aligned} & 30,331,163 \\ & 11,750,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,688,638 \\ & 11,173,317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,905,844 \\ & 10,540,324 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,330,726 \\ & 10,413,196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,314,068 \\ & 10,969,209 \end{aligned}$ |
| 61 | Minneapolis. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 2 , 6 6 4 ,}, 361 \\ \mathbf{9 , 4 9 5}, \mathbf{3 7 5} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 6 , 0 3 3 , 1 8 1} \\ \mathbf{9 , 7 9 4}, \mathbf{3 8 1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19,266,417 \\ 7,944,975 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,449,986 \\ 9,179,915 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21,600,986 \\ 9,103,661 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

TABLE 13
BANI CLEARINGS, NINE CITIES, 1909-1013

## Percentage Relations

|  | 1809 | 1910 |  | 1911 |  |  | 1012 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 4 \\ 4_{0}^{4} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ c \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | 1913 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spokane | \$206,504,834 | \$241,052,859 | 16.516.5 | \$210,037,589 | -9 | 5.5 | \$225,436,618 | 3 | 8.5 | \$210,265,776 | -3. 5.5 |
| Denver. |  | $493,046,623$ | 5.5 | 453,897,827 |  | -2 | 487,848,308 |  | $4.5$ | $25,607,021$ | 13-10 |
| Seattle. | 586,696,855 | $590,093,365$ | $11$ | 552,640,350 | -6.5 |  | 602,430,661 | 0 |  | 664,857,448 | $1013$ |
| San Francisco. | $1,979,872,570$ | 2,323,772,871 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 17 & 17 \end{array}$ | $2,427,075,543 \mid$ |  |  | 2,677,561,052 | 10 |  | 2,24,428,825 | $-232.5$ |
| New Orleans... | $904,731,769$ | $987,491,235$ |  | $1,013,007,623$ |  | $2.5$ | .,058,324,963 | 5 | 17 | 080,083,873 | 7.5 |
| Kansas | $0,395,530,983$ | $2,634,557,738$ | $10 \quad 10$ | 2,578,730,359, |  | 7.5 | 2,713,027,216 |  |  | 2,850,362,011 | 519.5 |
| St. Paul. | $518,244,303$ | $576,156,228$ | $12 \quad 12$ | 531,574,517 | -8 | 2.5 | $166,574$ |  | $12.5$ | 530,515,562 | $-8.5 \int^{2}$ |
| Minneapolis. | 1,029,914,856 | $1,155,659,665$ | 1212 | 1,088,090,894 |  |  | 1,181,232,466 | 11 | 14.5 | 1,312,412,269 | 1197.5 |
| Twin Cities. | 1,548,159,219 | 1,731,815,893 | 11.511.5 | 1,590,665,411 | -8 | 3 | 1,761,399,220 | 10 | 13.5 | 1,842,927,810 | 4.519 |

## TABLE 14

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF CAPITAL, SURPLUS, UNDIVIDED PROFITS, BANKING CAP. ITAL, GROSS DEPOSITS, LOANS AND DISCOUNTS-ALL BANKS-MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUI-1904-1913.

MINNEAPOLIS.


*ST. PAUL.

| 1004. | 4,655,000 | 1,249,000 | 743,000 | 6,647,000 | 32,074,000 | '20,285,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1905. | 4,980,000 | 1,405,000 | 862,000 | 7,307,000 | 36,870,000 | 24,502,000 |
| 1906. | 4,750,000 | 2,173,000 | 508,000 | 7,491,000 | 41,357,000 | 26,561,000 |
| 1907. | 4,750,000 | 2,544,000 | 64,000 | 7,035,000 | 42,653,000 | 27,295,000 |
| 1008. | 4,850,000 | 2,848,000 | 582,000 | 8,280,000 | 48,473,000 | 29,816,000 |
| 1809 | 4,875,000 | 3,123,000 | 799,000 | 8,797,000 | 51,848,000 | 34,024,000 |
| 1910. | 4,900,000 | 3,593,000 | 833,000 | 9,326,000 | 50,349,000 | 34,476,000 |
| 1911. | 4,950,000 | 3,977,000 | 905,000 | 9,832,000 | 56,417,000 | 36,768,000 |
| 1912. | 4,950,000 | 4,249,000 | 939,000 | 10,138,000 | 53,352,000 | 36,337,000 |
| 1013 | 7,225,000 | 4,679,000 | 1,279,000 | 13,183,000 | 68,428,000 | 48,494,000 |

${ }^{*}$ Including Stock Yards National Bank, South St. Paul.

TABLE 15
TWIN CITY BANKS, 1912.

| MINNEAPOLIS |  |  | ST. PAUL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Capital and Surplus | Deposits |  |  | Capital and Surplus | Deposits |
| National Banks $\qquad$ <br> State Banks. $\qquad$ <br> Savings Banke. $\qquad$ <br> Trust Companies. $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 14,209,894 \\ 1,404,230 \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \\ 2, \ldots 00,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 43,232,170 \\ 8,341,139 \\ 19,786,428 \end{array}$ | National Banks. $\qquad$ <br> State. $\qquad$ <br> Saving. $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,574,182 \\ 531,418 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 26,681,695 \\ 2,382,736 \\ 5,370,039 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Resources | Trust Companics. |  | 625,000 | Resources |
|  |  | 6,980,919 |  |  | 2,031,102 |  |
|  | TOTALS FOR BOTH CITIES |  |  |  |  | Note:- <br> Figures for State and Savings Banks and Trust Com panics taken from the Third Annual Report, Department of Banking, Minnesota, 1912. |  |
|  |  |  | Capital and Surplus | Deposits |  |  |  |
| Note:- <br> Figures for National Banks taken from the report of the Comptroller of Currency, 1912 | National Banks. State. Savings |  | \$22,784,076 | 869,913,865 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,935,648 | $10,724,175$ $25,157,367$ |  |  |  |
|  | Trust Companies......... |  | 2,925,000 | Resources |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 88,012,021 |  |  |  |

TABLG 16
COMBINED MLNNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL BANKS AND TRUST OOMPANIES-CAPITAL, SURPLUS, DEPOSITS, LOANS AND DISCOUNTS, DUE TO BANKS, 1904-1813

## MINNEAPOIIS.



ST, PAUL.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1904^{*} . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \end{aligned}$ | $305,000$ | 92,50095,500 |  | 1,698,219 |  | 1,618,286 | 0 | $484,411$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 250,000-18 |  |  | 1,672,444 | $-2$ | 1,539,220 | $-5$ | $442,383$ | $-9$ |
| 1006*.. | 0,000-40 | 70,000 | $-27$ | 1,428,738 | -14 | 1,259,977 | -18 | 245,652 | -45 |
| 1007*. | 150,000 0 | 95,400 | 36 | 1,508,997 |  | 1,413,817 | 12 | 333,797 | 36 |
| 1908. | 650,000 333 | 350 | 270 | 5,001,112 |  |  | 166 |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1800. | 675,000 4 | 264,500 | 7 | 5,850,748 | 17 | 4,092,894 | 9 | 375,972 | 13 |
| 1810. | 740,000 $\quad 10$ | 288,500 | 9 | 7,520,638 |  | 5,269,111 | 29 | 395,909 | 22 |
| 1011 | 890,000 14 | 449,025 | 55 | 8,183,501 | -14 | 5,725,818 | 9 | 391,111 | -2 |
| 1012. | 740,000-17! | 476,900 | 6 | 9,618,627 | 17 | 6,649,903 | 16 | 556,493 | 42 |
| 1913. | 1,115,000 50 | 533,845 | 12 | 10,968,894 | 14. | 7,845,297 | 18 | 532,179 | -4 |

*No record for Bavings Banks and Trust Companics.

TABLE 17
PREIGHT TRAFFIO-CARS RECEIVED AND FORWARDED, BY COMMODITIES, MINNEAPOLIS AND ST. PAUL, 1913.

| COMMODITIES | RECEIVED |  |  | FORWARDED |  |  | TOTAL RECEIVED AND FORWARDED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minneapolis | St. Paul | Minnoapolis Excess | Minneapolis | St. Paul | Minneapolis Excess | Minneapolis | St. Paul | Minneapolig and St. Paul | Minneapo <br> Over | Excess aut |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cars |  |
| Agricultural Imp. and Machinery | 11,786 | 3,577 | 8,208 | 9,607 | 3,164 | 6,443 | 21,393 | 6,741 | 28,134 | 14,652 | 218 |
| Grain and Seeds. | 154,208 | 4,934 | 149,274 | 71,673 | 1,089 | 70,584 | 225,881 | 6,023 | 231,904 | 219,858 | 8,150 |
| Hay and Straw. | 4,238 | 6,837 | -2,699 | 574 | 667 | -93 | 4,812 | 7,504 | 12,316 | -2,692 | -86 |
| Linseed Oil. | 21 | 16 | 5 | 3,808 | 2,259 | 1,044 | 8,324 | 2,275 | 5,589 | 1,049 | 46 |
| Lumber. | 12,578 | 18,768 | -6,180 | 7,161 | 9,354 | -2,198 | 19,739 | 28,122 | 47,861 | -8,383 | 30 |
| Cement, Brick, etc. | 8,717 | 7,476 | 1,241 | 1,961 | 2,821 | -880 | 1,06T | 10,297 | 20,975 | 381 | 4 |
| Coal. | 32,908 | 29,247 | 3,658 | 229 | 452 | -228 | 33,134 | 29,609 | 62,833 | 3,485 | 12 |
| Flour. | 3,928 | 1,238 | 2,690 | 13,017 | 918 | 12,088 | 76,946 | 2,158 | 79,101 | 74,789 | 3,460 |
| Milletufis. | 8,370 |  | 8,370 | 29,956 |  | 29,956 | 88,326 |  | 33,326 | 38,326 |  |
| Live Stock. |  | 42,746 | -42,746 |  | 16,071 | -16,071 |  | 68,817 | 58,817 | -58,817 |  |
| Meat and Packing House Prod. . |  | 1,706 | -1,708 |  | 11,982 | -11,982 |  | 13,688 | 13,688 | -13,688 |  |
| Merchandise. | 68,026 | 72,432 | -8,503 | 166,095 | 83,765 | 72,830 | 225,021 | 156,197 | 381,218 | 68,824 | 44 |
| Oil Cake and Meal | 4 |  | 4 | 6,916 |  | 6,916 | 6,920 |  | 6,920 | 8,980 |  |
| Miscellaneous. | 69,380 | 59,589 | 9,741 | 38,016 | 29,740 | 2,276 | 102,346 | 89,329 | 191,675 | 18,017 | 46 |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 870,011 | 1248,566 | 121,448 | 393,508 | 162,282 | 280,298 | 788,519 | 410,848 | 1,174,367 | 852,071 | $\cdots$ |

Kote-Reductions of Commodities reported in.tons, barrels and pounds to cars, are computed upon the following table of equivalents:
$10,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Merchandise- 1 car .
260 bbls. Flour
$\begin{array}{ll}260 \text { tons. Cour } \\ 20 \text { tone Mill Stuffe - } 1 & \text { " } \\ 20\end{array}$
20 ton Oil Cake -1"

TABLE 18
VALUE OF VARIOUS CROPS-MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NORTH AND BOUTH DAKOTA. (000 omitted)
WHEAT

|  | 1900 | 1001 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1009 | 1910 | 1911 | 1012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. | \$32,451 | \$48,062 | \$48,849 | \$48,750 | \$59,460 | \$51,428 | \$36,271 | \$62,192 | \$84,444 | \$54,811 | \$60,160 | \$40,420 | \$48,938 |
| Montana | 1,177 | 1,577 | 1,460 | 1,838 | 2,311 | 2,010 | 2,110 | 3,243 | 3,185 | 5,439 | 6,022 | 9,470 | 12,381 |
| North Dakota | 7,642 | 32,028 | 36,466 | 34,802 | 43,653 | 52,180 | 49,074 | 47,063 | -62,054 | 107,439 | 34,650 | 65,148 | 99,236 |
| South Dakota. | 11,687 | 27,381 | 25,065 | 29,297 | 24,830 | 29,569 | 25,593 | 28,907 | 34,833 | 42,354 | 41,581 | 13,468 | 36,008 |
| Total | \$52,957 | \$109,048 | \$111,640 | \$114,687 | \$130,354 | \$135,196 | \$113,048 | \$142,305 | \$165,416 | \$210,043 | \$143,013 | \$128,506 | \$196,563 |
| U. S. Total. | 323,515 | 467,350 | 422,224 | 443,025 | 510,490 | 518,373 | 400,333 | 554,437 | 116,826 | 730,046 | 661,051 | 543,063 | 555,280 |

OATS

|  | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1804 | 1905 | 1906 | 1007 | 1908 | 1809 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. | \$10,058 | \$22,350 | 822,210 | \$20,643 | \$22,146 | \$19,361 | \$19,443 | \$25,414 | \$25,372 | \$32,864 | \$27,341 | \$26,886 | \$31,96 |
| Montana. | 1,079 | 2,228 | 2,401 | 2,630 | 2,900 | 3,177 | 3,741 | 5,410 | 5,177 | 5,798 | 6,817 | 8,468 | 7,907 |
| North Dakots. | 2,016 | 7,780 | 7,948 | 6,772 | 7,442 | 10,717 | 10,931 | 12,936 | 13,750 | 21,743 | 5,607 | 21,004 | 20,948 |
| South Dakota. | 3,037 | 6,649 | 6,989 | 7,907 | 6,956 | 6,464 | 11,602 | 12,764 | 12,872 | 14,812 | 10,695 | 4,900 | 13,098 |
| Total. | \$16,190 | \$39,00 | \$39,54 | \$37,058 | \$39,444 | \$30,717 | \$45,717 | \$56,524 | \$57,171 | \$75,217 | \$50,460 | \$01,256 | \$74,005 |
| U. S. Tota | 208,669 | 293,659 | 303,585 | 267,662 | 279,900 | 277,048 | 306,293 | 235,568 | 381,171 | 405,120 | 408,388 | 414,663 | 452,469 |

(Continued on next page)

## VALUE OF VARIOUS CROPS-MINEESOTA, MONTANA, NORTH AND BOUTH DAKOTA-Continued. (000 omitted) CORI

|  | 1900 | 1901 | 1002 | 1903 | 1904 | 1005 | 1006 | 1907 | 1908 | 1009 | 1010 | 1911 | 1912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. | \$9,220 | \$16,109 | \$13,531 | \$15,478 | \$15,051 | \$16,160 | \$17,051 | \$21,802 | \$25,750 | \$33,270 | \$30,019 | \$30,294 | \$28,925 |
| Montana. | 14 | 70 | 59 | 57 | 59 | 52 |  | 61 | 85 | 236 | 350 | 424 | 428 |
| North Dakota. | 160 | 690 | 722 | 910 | 768 | 885 | 1,626 | 1,848 | 2,314 | 2,718 | 1,705 | 4,350 | 3,766 |
| South Dakota. | 0,401 | 13,429 | 12,223 | 14,566 | 15,788 | 16,001 | 18,216 | 21,700 | 28,838 | 27,779 | 21,000 | 26,935 | 28,248 |
| Total. | \$18,795 | \$30,307 | \$26,535 | \$31,009 | \$31,664 | \$31,107 | \$36,954 | \$45,411 | \$56,906 | \$64,003 | \$53,074 | \$71,003 | \$61,367 |
| U. S. Total. | 751,220 | 921,556 | 1,017,017 | 952,869 | 1,087,461 | 1,116,697 | 1,166,626 | 1,336,901 | 1,616,145 | 1,477,223 | 1,384,817 | 1,565,258 | 1,520,454 |

BARLEY

|  | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1005 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota. | \$2,765 | 89,756 | 89,604 | \$10,280 | 810,279 | 80,284 | \$11,057 | \$17,864 | \$15,925 | \$14,852 | \$16,191 | \$26,904 | \$17,227 |
| Montana. | 97 | 365 | 337 | 425 | 324 | 281 | 265 | 400 | 534 | 1,197 | 903 | 728 | 755. |
| North Dakota. | 670 | 2,904 | 5,710 | 4,489 | 4,905 | 5,798 | 5,219 | 0,075 | 8,432 | 8,913 | 2,985 | 17,404 | 12,307 |
| South Dakota. | 479 | 2,739 | 3,303 | 3,517 | 3,132 | 2,889 | 7,331 | 12,276 | 11,558 | 8,960 | 10,633 | 4,847 | 9,686 |
| Total. | \$4,011 | \$15,764 | \$19,044 | \$18,711 | \$18,640 | \$18,252 | \$23,872 | \$39,615 | \$36,449 | 333,922 | \$30,712 | \$40,883 | \$39,975 |
| U. S. Total | 24,075 | 49,705 | 61,899 | 60,168 | 58,652 | 55,047 | 74,236 | 102,290 | 92,442 | 93,971 | 93,785 | 130,182 | 223,824 |

(Continued on next page)

## VALUE OF VARIOUS CROPS-MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NORTH AND SOUTH DAKOTA-Oontinued ( 000 omitted) <br> RYE

|  | 1000 | 1901 | 1002 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1000 | 1907 | 1008 | 1000 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minneosta. | \$435 | \$927 | \$930 | \$787 | \$1,055 | \$845 | \$854 | \$1,079 | 81,026 | \$1,308 | \$1,251 | 83,501 | \$3,013 |
| Montana. |  | 29 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 24 |  | 32 | 27 | 44 | 54 | 132 | 141 |
| North Dakota. | 34 | 140 | 207 | 158 | 249 | 208 | 204 | 227 | 281 | 272 | 81 | 454 | 406 |
| South Dakota. . | 11 | 239 | 283 | 282 | 318 | 296 | 280 | 336 | 330 | 341 | 363 | 99 | 162 |
| Total. |  | \$1,341 | \$1,450 | \$1,250 | \$1,851 | \$1,373 |  | \$1,674 | \$1,664 | 82,025 | \$1,740 | \$4,186 | \$3,722 |
| U. S.tTotal. | 12,295 | 16,910 | 17,081 | 15,994 | 18,748 | 17,414 | 19,671 | 23,068 | 23,455 | 23,809 | 23,840 | 27,557 | 23,636 |

potatoes

|  | 1900 | 1901 | 1902 | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minnesota.......... . . | \$2,591 | \$49 | \$5,769 | \$5,466 | \$4,059 | \$5,513 | \$4,486 | \$6,004 | \$6,171 | \$6,440 | \$6,442 | \$15,008 | \$0,261 |
| Montana. | 340 |  | 881 | 999 | 1,148 | 969 | 1,307 | 1,350 | 1,932 | 2,295 | 2,550 | 2,997 | 2,442 |
| North Dakota. | 753 | 9 | 822 | 976 | 885 | 918 | 1,135 | 1,490 | 1,428 | 1,980 | 1,300 | 2,772 | 1,864 |
| South Dakota. | 1,451 |  | 1,035 | 1,559 | 953 | 1,279 | 1,240 | 1,638 | 2,066 | 2,520 | 2,057 | 2,822 | 2,344 |
| Total. | \$5,135 |  | \$8,507 | \$9,000 | \$7,045 | \$8,679 | \$8,168 | \$10,482 | \$11,597 | \$13,235 | \$12,355 | \$23,599 | \$15,911 |
| U. S. Total. . | 90,811 | 8,523 | 134,111 | 151,638 | 150,673 | 160,821 | 157,547 | 184,184 | 197,039 | 206,545 | 187,985 | 233,778 | 212,550 |


[^0]:    One Flour Mill, 500 barrels capacity.
    Five Flour Mills (Country), Financed.
    Two Elevators, 40,000 bushels capacity.
    Hay Receipts, $20,9,50$ tong at $\$ 10.00-\$ 2,099,500.00$.
    Grain Receipts, year ending August 31, 1913-
    114 cars inspected.
    600 cars forwarded from Minneapolis (estimated).

[^1]:    *Figures not available.

[^2]:    Acme Harvesting Machine Co.
    Appleton Manufacturing Co.
    Aultman \& Taylor Machinery Co.

[^3]:    
    
    
    

